



# Representations on the Addendum of Focussed Changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan

## Contents

<b>Section Name</b>	<b>Page</b>
Sustainability Appraisal	1 - 13
Policy R01 (I) Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation	14 – 125
Policy R18 Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield	126 – 234
Policy R19 Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield	235 – 347
Policy R25 Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore	348 – 779
Policy R26 Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore	780 - 1211

# Addendum of Focussed Changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan (Regulation 19)

Report Date:

10/02/2020

CHAPTER: Addendum of Focussed Sustainability Appraisal  
Changes to the Pre-Submission

## 26565 Object

**Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All these proposals appear to token gestures pandering to the affluent areas of Brentwood. They show no joined up thinking, there are no explanations of traffic resolution unless you are in the Shenfield area of course. In my opinion they are poorly thought out and are simply not justifications but excuses for a bad plan which will be pushed through despite protests from residents and tax payers. It is in a mess still!

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26565 - 2712 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

## 26620 Object

**Respondent: Mr Timothy Webb [5612]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Object to all document particularly R25 and R26  
Not legally compliant as still contravenes Green Belt legislation and national policy; unsound as R25 and R26 changes grossly inadequate as fail to rectify destruction of Green Belt, loss of agricultural land, access issues on Redrose Lane, impact on school and medical facilities, minimal public transport, flood risk.  
Failure comply with Duty to Cooperate as local residents and elected representative concerns are disregarded.  
Proposed changes are superficial/more more radical reform required. Housing demand should be addressed with high density in and around Brentwood Town - blocks of flats and above shops. Executed effectively in Dagenham Heathway.

Change To Plan: Failure comply with Duty to Cooperate as local residents and elected representative concerns are disregarded.  
Proposed changes are superficial/more more radical reform required. Housing demand should be addressed with high density in and around Brentwood Town - blocks of flats and above shops. Executed effectively in Dagenham Heathway.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26620 - 5612 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, iii, iv

## 26678 Object

**Respondent: Ingatestone and Fryerning Parish Council (Ms Rosemary Spouge) [8811]**      **Agent: N/A**

Summary: We recognise that the amendments do not alter the Plan's spatial strategy but seek to respond to concerns in specific areas of the Borough by redistributing housing growth, and it is in that context that we write. Our last comprehensive comments were, on 3rd March 2016 (copy attached), we had not begun the evidence gathering for our Neighbourhood Plan, as our Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee was not convened until November 2017. The research on our own housing needs, relating to our existing 2,000 households and the requirements of our residents for the planning period the LDP covers, was only started in 2018.  
We had attempted to establish what the demand for housing is via Brentwood's own records but have not succeeded in obtaining a figure. Recently we have learnt that that your planning department policy team has been discussing local demand but they believe that the figure can only be based on the proposed development sites within the Regulation 19 Brentwood Local Plan Pre-Submission draft. Additionally this would include a figure for windfall sites expected in the area which would account for an additional 5%.  
In summary - the proposed housing for our Parish is not based on local demand, but rather on what a proposed development site could accommodate. Therefore the figure for our Parish would be R22 as the site and 57 dwellings for the yield, plus 5% for the Parish as a whole. Mountnessing Parish is adjacent to Ingatestone and Fryerning, and has been the subject of considerable new build housing in the last few years. In the current LDP a further development site is identified for 161 dwellings, amounting therefore to some 229 units that will be looking towards our Parish to meet much of its infrastructure needs, namely, pre-schools, schools, health services, parking, rail services etc. These figures are confirmed in Appendix 1 to your Addendum document.  
The LDP does also identify a site for Employment close to both the sites identified above (R21 and R22). The Parish would wish to work with Brentwood Borough Council and Mountnessing Parish Council to enable this site to provide some of the infrastructure needs essential to both local communities.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26678 - 8811 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26679 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Each item is different and must not be considered as a single representation. You must see each item and they have implications for multiple sites.

Change To Plan: Remove Sites R25 & R26 from the LDP. Reasons and detailed alternatives are specified in my actual multiple representations. The questions below refer to DPD, I assume this is a typo and you are referring to the LDP and have answered on that basis.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26679 - 4759 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii, iii

**26690 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: SA Report Addendum 2.5.6 -refers to delivery of new homes alongside infrastructure, but NOTHING has been considered or planned for Blackmore. R25 & R26 should be removed entirely from the LDP and their allocation transferred to R01 unless an appropriate infrastructure improvement plan can be incorporated into the Plan to facilitate the development.

SA Report Addendum 2.11.3 - recognises that the existing planned reduction of 20 homes at R25/R26 is insufficient to affect the retention of agricultural land. To facilitate this objective R25 & R26 should be completely removed from the plan and the allocation transferred to R01.

SA Non-Tech Summary - R25 & R26 fail at least 8 of the stated Objectives required for the LDP. These sites should be completely removed from the plan and the allocation transferred to R01.

SA Non-Tech Summary - This report discusses how developing some sites would, or would not, successfully achieve the objective of reducing Car Dependency. However this test has not been applied to R25 & R26 which require absolute total Car Dependency for any new homes. R25 & R26 should be removed entirely from the plan to meet the LDP goal of reducing car dependency.

SA Non-Tech Summary - raises "omission sites" incl Honeypot Lane R022 and considers the benefits/disadvantages of their reintroduction. Honeypot Lane was eminently more suitable than any of the 4 sites now seeking reduction in the Focussed Changes, yet was removed without the opportunity for proper review in November 2018. The only reasons I have heard for the removal are a) the site was in the area of a Council member who would need to consider the views of voters b) There is a short pinch point in the access road to the site which would make development access difficult. However the pinch point is still wider than the entire length of Redrose Lane which is being suggested for development of both R25 & R26. The Honeypot Lane site, inexplicably, is still not considered as an alternative to the Northern Villages Allocation. R022 should be re-included in the Plan as this would allow all R18, R19, R25, & R26 to be completely removed and also not require an increased burden being added to R01.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26690 - 4759 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26691 Object****Respondent: Transport for London (Ms Lucy Wakelin) [8812]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: In written representations dated 14th March 2019 (see attachment 2), we supported the principle of the Strategic Housing Allocation R10: Brentwood Railway Station Car Park, however we did not agree with the approximate capacity of 100 homes for the following reasons:

\* This capacity was not in line with the content of the Draft Brentwood Town Centre Design Guide which identified that up to "405 units per hectare is suitable around key transport nodes, such as Brentwood Station."

\* Brentwood Railway Station Car Park is a brownfield site, located within a town centre and adjacent to a significant transport interchange. It is, therefore, a prime opportunity to optimise housing delivery in a highly accessible location in line with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) paragraphs 103 and 118 (D).

\* Feasibility studies indicated that a decked design could allow a greater density to be achieved on the site whilst still providing a compatible and neighbourly form of development.

\* The draft site allocation boundary did not include a section of car park towards the east. The whole parcel of land which TfL has an interest in and for which we are exploring development feasibility measures 1.39ha.

we suggested that the indicative density was increased. A density of 405 units per hectare would better reflect the sites capacity; if this figure is applied to the site area of 1.39 hectares this would equate to around 560 homes. This is considered a more realistic housing figure for the site, to ensure that the site is fully optimised and the Addendum of Focussed Changes should be amended accordingly.

Change To Plan: Increase density of site Allocation R10 Brentwood Railway Station Car Park and reflect this in the Addendum and Regulation 19 Draft Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26691 - 8812 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26737 Object****Respondent: Redrow Homes [6669]****Agent: Pegasus Group (Ms Nicky Parsons) [6706]**

Summary: I represent Redrow Homes Ltd (RHL), who has an interest in emerging site allocation R21 (Ingatestone Garden Centre). I have previously submitted comments to earlier versions of the plan on behalf of RHL and these comments remain valid.

My comment to the addendum document relates to Appendix 1 of that document and the absence of any change to the proposed trajectory in relation to this site.

As you know, RHL has a current application submitted for the redevelopment of Ingatestone Garden Centre (part of R21). That application is well-advanced and is currently held up by the plan-making process. RHL repeats its desire to commence development of this site at the earliest opportunity and reminds you that it is the legal owner of the land. It is an established house-builder, willing and able to deliver homes at this site as soon as the Council can grant it permission to do so.

I therefore write to request that you update your trajectory at Appendix 1 to reflect the fact RHL could deliver homes from year 2020/21 rather than 2021/22 as currently listed. This would tie in with another garden centre that you have proposed for allocation (R07), which is also in the Green Belt but that your records indicate as not being the subject of an application (current or otherwise). R07 is identified as delivering from 2020/21 and given the advanced stage that RHL is at, R21 should also be identified as starting delivery in the same year.

The prompt delivery of sites such as Ingatestone Garden Centre will be crucial in ensuring that your Council can make significant in-roads in the current housing land supply deficit. RHL remains at your service to assist you in demonstrating to the Inspector how it can help you in this regard.

Change To Plan: update your trajectory at Appendix 1 to reflect the fact RHL could deliver homes from year 2020/21 rather than 2021/22 as currently listed. This would tie in with another garden centre that you have proposed for allocation (R07), which is also in the Green Belt but that your records indicate as not being the subject of an application (current or otherwise). R07 is identified as delivering from 2020/21 and given the advanced stage that RHL is at, R21 should also be identified as starting delivery in the same year.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26737 - 6669 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26750 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Housing Trajectory

Basildon Council objects to the housing trajectory, particularly on the reliance on DHGV to deliver at an accelerated rate of construction and early within the plan-period. The housing trajectory included within the Addendum of Focussed Changes with regard to Dunton Hills Garden Village assumes that delivery will commence in 2022/23 (within the next five years) starting with a rate of 100 homes per annum, climbing to 300 homes per annum by 2026/27. This seems overly optimistic given that the allocation is currently within the extent of the Green Belt, requires master planning and will need to be subject to an Examination in Public in order to determine whether it should be allocated, before going through the planning application process and elements of the condition discharge process before development on site can even commence. Development commencement on-site will meanwhile be reliant on essential utility and infrastructure provision. No evidence was provided within the Reg19LP or the Addendum of Focussed Changes as to how the housing trajectory in general has been developed. Furthermore, there is no specific evidence published setting out the evidence base or any form of a development framework/ masterplan for the Dunton Hills Garden Village which explains how the proposed accelerated rate of delivery will be possible to achieve. Early residents of the Dunton Hills Garden Village, should it be approved, will rely on some services and facilities outside the 'village' to meet their initial needs. As an example, the Dunton Hills Garden Village will require new primary and secondary school provision. However, whilst the Brentwood Infrastructure Delivery Plan shows the primary provision in particular being delivered early, it is not economically viable to operate a school with low pupil numbers, and it may be the case that the village grows for a number of years with these pupils travelling to other schools in the locality, whilst operational primary and then secondary education provision is secured.

The Council therefore seeks for evidence to be provided demonstrating a realistic delivery trajectory for DHGV so that the potential short-medium term pressures on services and facilities in nearby settlements can be assessed, understood and planned for by service providers and neighbouring authorities. This will help ensure adequate mitigation provisions can be put in place to reduce any potential negative impacts on Basildon Borough residents living nearby.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26750 - 8820 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26751 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Transport and Infrastructure impacts of DHGV

The Addendum of Focussed Changes provided an opportunity for the Brentwood Local Plan to clarify matters relating to transport and infrastructure mitigation measures on the surrounding areas. The DHGV is within close proximity of the administrative boundaries with Basildon & Thurrock Boroughs, and Basildon Council still remains concerned by the lack of mitigation measures on potential infrastructure impacts and is disappointed that Brentwood Council have not taken the opportunity to address this through the Addendum of Focussed Changes.

Basildon Council are aware that Brentwood see themselves as a standalone housing market Area, however development in the proximity of administrative boundaries will have cross boundary infrastructure impacts that need to be addressed but both the Reg19 LP and the Addendum of Focussed changes do not appear to have addressed. It is noted that the need for new connections into Basildon Borough in terms of walking, cycling, public transport or road do not appear to be mentioned as being necessary to make it sustainable

The transport mitigation measures included in the pre submission local plan are concentrated within Brentwood and ignore the fact that Laindon Station, has more platforms and has greater commutable capacity than West Horndon and could become an alternative choice for residents of the Dunton Hills Garden Village. Furthermore, early residents of the Dunton Hills Garden Village, will rely on some services and facilities outside the 'village' to meet their initial needs. As an example, Dunton Hills Garden Village is proposing new primary and secondary school provision. However, until such a time as the critical mass for new homes is established, it is more likely that Basildon Borough's facilities in Laindon will be picking up the demands of new users arising from the new settlement.

While using Basildon Infrastructure like the station, schools and the hospital, there will be added pressure on the A127, Basildon road network and public transport services.

It is questionable whether it can be adequately demonstrated by the Brentwood Local Plan that the allocations chosen, represent the most sustainable option without identifying and testing the viability of specific highway mitigation measures that will be necessary to make them deliverable and sustainable. Without this work, Brentwood Borough could find its ability to unlock the capacity to deliver new communities and homes, particularly at an accelerated pace becomes hindered by a lack of infrastructure capacity.

It should not be assumed that such growth can just be absorbed by the nearby infrastructure and services and Basildon Council expects policies in the Brentwood Local Plan to make it clear that S106/CIL or other funding receipts will be spent outside Brentwood Borough to sufficiently address where negative direct or residual impacts could otherwise occur.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26751 - 8820 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26757 Object****Respondent: Countryside Properties [250]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Laura Dudley-Smith) [5158]**

Summary: Policy R03

In addition to the comments made separately, we have also previously raised a recommendation for policy wording relating to an inflexible provision of employment land (2ha) to be amended or removed. This is in respect of an over-provision of employment land that has been allocated in comparison to the need identified within the Plan, and also in the interests of providing an employment use at Chelmsford Road that best meets the market demand and Borough Council objectives for this site.

Discussions with Brentwood Borough Council have confirmed that the site presents an opportunity to provide a key gateway into Shenfield and onto Brentwood in this location.

Considering the employment uses referred to in Policy PC02, it has been agreed during discussions that an entirely B1 office frontage for the site would not be suited to this role, given that such a use would be unlikely to generate a visually prolific building or a flagship/feature, or be desirable in this edge of settlement location. B2 industrial or B8 storage uses would not be consistent with the desire for this location to act as a gateway to the area, and would also have implications on the A12 gyratory through the associated movements of HGVs and other vehicles.

We are aware of interest in the use of the site for other employment generating and commercial uses which would not fall under B-class uses and may be able to play a better role in the formation of a key gateway in this location. It is recognised however that the spatial requirements of such uses are again unlikely to meet a full 2ha of land.

The proposed provision of employment uses on this site has not been justified and is not effective. The provision of 2ha on this site is not required to meet the Borough's identified employment need and conflicts with the deliverability of new homes on the site to meet the Council's housing need. Countryside Properties are confident of the ability to deliver this either through exemplary residential and landscape-led design at the entrance to the site, or through a smaller provision of employment land which is respective of the current market and likely demand in this location. As such, the provision of 2ha of land for employment purposes should be removed from the policy.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26757 - 250 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26758 Object****Respondent: Countryside Properties [250]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Laura Dudley-Smith) [5158]**

Summary: Policy R16 &amp; R17

Countryside maintain concerns over the amendment to the wording of Policy R16 & R17 that was made without justification during the previous iteration of the draft Local Plan. The previous iteration of the policy required vehicular access to be provided from "Doddinghurst Road for both site and/or Karen Close and Russell Close". The current policy is worded to allow for vehicular access from Doddinghurst Road only.

Whilst access from Doddinghurst Road is accepted as the preferred strategy for all parties, initial appraisal work in this respect has recognised a potential requirement for significant levelling and land movement which could have implications on the viability of housing delivery on the site. It is therefore requested that the policy retains flexibility for the use of the other accesses from Karen Close and Russell Close as a worst case scenario, in the interests of protecting the deliverability of the southern parcel of the site, particularly as these routes of access have been previously agreed with Essex County Council Highways. The use of these accesses may also better support the design of scheme that is fully integrated with existing development. Countryside continue to support Brentwood in the progression of their Local Plan, but wish to emphasise the continuing importance of minor amendments to specific policies, alongside the need for consistent housing delivery across the entire Plan period. This is important to ensure that the Plan is deliverable and found sound at Examination.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26758 - 250 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26761 Object****Respondent: McColl's Retail Group PLC [3662]****Agent: Smith Jenkins Ltd (Mr Samuel Dix) [8350]**

Summary: Remove McColl's site (ref 321) from existing employment site, Policy PC03. This site has now got Prior Approval for the change-of-use from offices to create 55 dwellings (19/01043/PNCOU). The Council may have previously declined to do so on the grounds that only limited information was available as to the site's likely future. However, given our client's clear intent to use the site for residential purposes, and the extant Prior Approval allowing them to do so in principle, this needs to be revisited in order for both the employment and housing provision within the Plan to be up-to-date and sound.

Change To Plan: Remove McColl's site (ref 321) from existing employment site as set out in Policy PC03, either through an additional focussed change, or by way of modification at Examination stage.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26761 - 3662 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26762 Object****Respondent: Arebray Ltd [5339]****Agent: Stutt & Parker (Mr Rory Baker) [8242]**

Summary: Salmonds Grove is a suitable and available site within Brentwood. The Addendum proposes movement of 70 homes from sites R18, R19, R25 and R26 to Dunton Hills Garden Village, with a reliance of a faster rate of delivery at DHGV within the life of the plan. This proposal exacerbated the proportion to be delivered from 34.6 to 35.6%. This delivery is unrealistic. In order to address this, the Salmonds Grove site is available for fast implementation if allocated within the plan and can be considered as part of the 5 year land supply. The justification for the Addendum changes is not backed by evidence; it is inconsistent with national policy and not positively prepared.

Change To Plan: Add Salmonds Grove to the local plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26762 - 5339 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii, iii, iv

**26778 Object****Respondent: London Borough of Havering (Mr Martyn Thomas) [7966]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The focussed changes do not address nor resolve issues that Havering raised in its original Regulation 19 consultation in Spring 2019. The representations submitted previously still stand and should be reported to the Inspector when the Brentwood Local Plan is formally submitted.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: i, iii, iv      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26778 - 7966 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, iii, iv

**26791 Object****Respondent: Hallam Land Management Ltd [2353]****Agent: Marrons Planning (Mr Gary Stephens) [8825]**

Summary: Focussed Change 13 amends the Local Development Plan Housing Trajectory and reduces the five year supply of deliverable housing by 70 dwellings. This is on the basis of the Plan being adopted in 2020, and the five year supply being calculated for Years 5 to 9 of the trajectory. Whilst the change is a relatively small reduction in supply, it still further reduces supply and an objection is maintained on the grounds of soundness in that it is not consistent with national policy in failing to identify a five year supply of specific deliverable sites against the housing requirement.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26791 - 2353 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26792 Object****Respondent: Hallam Land Management Ltd [2353]****Agent: Marrons Planning (Mr Gary Stephens) [8825]**

Summary: The minimum Local Housing Need now equates to 454 dwellings per annum, which as a consequence means the Plan no longer makes any provision for a housing supply buffer. The Addendum therefore notes that the absence of a buffer, and the greater reliance upon one site (Dunton Hills Garden Village) to meet the housing need in a location some distance from where the need is largely derived (Central Brentwood) places a greater degree of uncertainty and risk that the Housing objectives will not be met.

Change To Plan: The Council should consider through the Examination process additional allocation(s) within the Central Brentwood area in order to maintain its supply buffer and reduce the uncertainty and risks associated with the current Plan in relation to failing to meet housing need in areas where the need is derived. In this regard, HLM would also encourage the Council again to consider the evidence submitted as to the benefits of allocating or safeguarding Land west of Ongar Road for residential development.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26792 - 2353 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26794 Object****Respondent: S & J Padfield and Partners [6098]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: The Sustainability Appraisal October 2019 does not appear to have been updated to reflect our previous comments. Codham Hall Farm continues to be scored negatively against Local Wildlife Site, Ancient Wood and AQMA, GP, and school provision. These criteria should be considered differently when applied to an allocation for employment land rather than residential proposals. Through appropriate design and landscaping, adverse impacts can be avoided. A 'neutral or depending on implementation' scoring for a number of these criteria would be much more appropriate. The allocation at Brentwood Enterprise Park (E11) is also scored negatively against Local Wildlife Sites.

Change To Plan: Local Wildlife Site, Ancient Wood and AQMA, GP, and school provision criteria should be considered differently when applied to an allocation for employment land rather than residential proposals. Through appropriate design and landscaping, adverse impacts can be avoided. A 'neutral or depending on implementation' scoring for a number of these criteria would be much more appropriate

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: i, ii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26794 - 6098 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii

**26795 Object****Respondent: S & J Padfield and Partners [6098]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: The IDP: Transport & Movement chapter should make reference to the potential role that Demand Responsive public transport can play. This is being progressed by parties seeking to rationalise and progress solutions for delivering the identified sites along the Southern Growth Corridor (SGC). The reference to buses are focused on provision of traditional fixed route bus services. Additional work is required with regards to the proposed cycle network for the SGC if this is to be delivered without the need for third party land, and the progression of development on key sites should therefore not be reliant on this.

Change To Plan: Transport & Movement chapter of the IDP should make reference to the Demand Responsive public transport. Additional work is required with regards to the proposed cycle network for the SGC.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: i, ii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26795 - 6098 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii

**26796 Object****Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd [8054]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Richard Clews) [5526]**

Summary: Plan remains unsound as a result of the Focussed Changes. The higher LHN has not been incorporated, nor the requirement to identify a suitable buffer. The Plan is therefore failing to meet the requirements of the NPPF and the identified need for the Borough. The Focussed Changes do not demonstrate that the Plan will be effective in meeting housing needs, given it seeks to re-direct housing delivery from the short-term to the later years of the Plan, further decreasing its ability to meet its needs early in the Plan period.

Change To Plan: The Council should have considered the merits of identifying additional, suitable sites to deliver in the short-medium term, including those which provide specialist accommodation to meet an identified local need, such as the land west of Crosby Close (site 073). As discussed above and shown in Appendix B, this represents a suitable site with local support for specialist accommodation that has been overlooked.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26796 - 8054 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26797 Object****Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd [8054]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Richard Clews) [5526]**

Summary: The trajectory as set out in the Focussed Changes remains overly optimistic, with the concerns as to the effects of a slippage in delivery at DHGV raised forming part of the reason for the SA conclusions that the Focussed Changes will have 'uncertain negative effects' on the 'Housing' objective. We strongly disagree with the SA's further conclusions that the assessment of the PSLP against the 'Housing' objective (significant positive effects) 'broadly holds true' for the Focussed Changes (uncertain negative effects).

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26797 - 8054 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26798 Object****Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd [8054]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Richard Clews) [5526]**

Summary: The decision to discount the need for consideration of reasonable alternatives is inconsistent with national policy, and unjustified. This is apparent from the SA conclusions (discussed above), and we are highly concerned that this has reduced the ability of the Plan to meet the housing needs of the Borough going forward.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26798 - 8054 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26824 Object****Respondent: Tesco Stores Limited [3856]****Agent: GL Hearn (Mr Selwyn Atkinson) [8822]**

Summary: Risk in not meeting the LHN. Flaw in calculation allocation total in appendix 1: The NPPF states Plan should look ahead of 15 years from adoption, therefore completions for year 2016/17, 17/18 (363 net homes) should be discounted. Removed 926 extant permissions from the 'allocation total' unless the Council can provide evidence that they would still be extant at the point the Plan is adopted. Similarly, unless there is compelling evidence to suggest they are a reliable source of supply, the 410 windfall allowance should be removed from the allocation total.

Change To Plan: Revise Allocation Total for Plan period as suggested.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26824 - 3856 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26880 Object****Respondent: Icen Projects Limited (Mr Luke Challenger) [7052]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The SA states that the Local Housing Need figure has increased from 350 to 454 dwellings. The Council does not provide any evidence to demonstrate what this figure is based on, albeit it is closely related to the capped standardised method for the Borough of 452 dwellings. The PPG requires the Planning Authority to seek to meet the uncapped figure - 469 dpa. The Council has failed to consider the increased housing figure that will occur as a result of Crossrail's opening, which it is estimated will give rise to an additional need of 1,000 dwelling over the Plan Period.

Change To Plan: In order to address the soundness issues we consider that the housing target should be reviewed with regard to the new evidence and that further sources of land supply should be identified, not only to deal with shortfalls over the plan period in total, but also specifically within its first 10 years. Land to the north of West Horndon railway station is available, suitable and deliverable and can contribute to meeting this shortfall. Importantly, it can come forward independently of the wider area of growth being promoted by EASL to the south of the settlement (in Thurrock Borough). The Brentwood Local Plan needs to seriously consider early delivery to ensure the Plan provides sufficient housing for Five Year Housing Land Supply, and for years 5 - 10. Early delivery of West Horndon would assist DHGV coming forward as it would act as a catalyst for housing delivery in this area of Brentwood and enhance the attractiveness of DHGV from a purchaser's perspective. It would also help a Local Plan inspector determine that Brentwood's Local Plan is sound; as is self-evident from the present draft of the Local Plan that Brentwood's ability to meet its housing needs is inextricably linked with the release of Green Belt land, primarily at DHGV. The failure to adopt a local plan would not only result in Brentwood being unable to address its housing needs, it would deprive DHGV of the policy context in which to come forward as a planning application proposal, thereby exacerbating the deficit. We had previously set out in the West Horndon Delivery Statement (Appendix 1 to our March 2019 representations) that the site could deliver first completions by 2024. This would mean that significant numbers of homes could be delivered within the first 5 - 10 years of the plan period helping to address the soundness issues identified. We trust these representations clarify our position and that they are taken into consideration in the advancement of the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26880 - 7052 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii, iii, iv

**26884 Object****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: IDP Transport & Movement chapter should also make reference to the potential role that Demand Responsive public transport can play. This element of the Transport work is being progressed by a number of parties who are engaging proactively in seeking to rationalise and progress solutions for delivering the identified sites along the Southern Growth Corridor (SGC), and this should be referenced in the IDP. Currently, the sections of the Transport and Movement document referring to buses are focused on provision of traditional fixed route bus services, which may only one be solution adopted at Brentwood Enterprise Park.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26884 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26887 Object****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: The SA has not been updated to reflect our previous comments. The Brentwood Enterprise Park site continues to be scored negatively against Local Wildlife Site, Ancient Wood and AQMA, GP, and school provision; these criteria should be considered differently when applied to an allocation for employment land than residential proposals. Additionally, through appropriate design and landscaping, any adverse impacts can be avoided. A neutral or 'depending on implementation' scoring for a number of these criteria would be much more appropriate. The same comment applies to Codham Hall Farm (101C), which has also scored negatively against Local Wildlife Sites.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26887 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26888 Object****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: The SA: The commentary on Economy and Employment also refers to the 'Enterprise Corridor' and the role of the Enterprise Park along the corridor. We note that paragraph 9.6.8 suggests that access will be directly to Junction 29 and the M25, while the draft plan indicates that access may be achieved via the B186.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26888 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26901 Object****Respondent: Ford Motor Company [3768]****Agent: Icen Projects Limited (Mr Andrew Gale) [6082]**

Summary: We question the below aspects of the draft allocation (in the absence of robust evidence):  
 \* Retention of 2.0 hectares of employment land - Land south of Eagle Way (i.e. main Ford Headquarters);  
 \* Delivery of residential care home providing 60 x bed spaces; and  
 \* 5% self-build and custom build across the entire allocation.  
 This is contrary to the fundamental sustainability objectives of the NPPF and all previous representations submitted by Ford.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26901 - 3768 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26902 Object****Respondent: Ford Motor Company [3768]****Agent: Icen Projects Limited (Mr Andrew Gale) [6082]**

Summary: BBC's proposed phased approach to the annual housing requirement, may not deliver sufficient quantum of housing within the early years of the Plan following adoption. As such we consider that this approach would be unsound, as the Plan would not be positively prepared in this regard, unless clear evidence can be provided to demonstrate that all potential options to boost housing supply in the early years of the Plan have been explored in detail.

Change To Plan: The Ford site is identified by BBC as a proposed allocation and will make a vital contribution towards the anticipated trajectory in this context, particularly as a less constrained medium scale site. However, we consider that the further efforts should be made to ensure the capacity for the site to deliver housing on brownfield land early in the plan period are maximised, in order to ensure that the annual requirement is sound.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26902 - 3768 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26903 Object****Respondent: Ford Motor Company [3768]****Agent: Icen Projects Limited (Mr Andrew Gale) [6082]**

Summary: Ford owned site (RO4 and RO5) is currently anticipated by BBC to come forward within years 9-17 of the proposed plan period as shown in the Housing Trajectory, Appendix 1. In fact, it is realistic that the site could be delivered within 6 -10 years (2021-2025).

Change To Plan: Request that BBC review and update the Site's inclusion in the housing trajectory, including considering the Ford owned and Council Depot sites separately (see further comments below) - bringing forward the Ford owned land in years 6-10 (which Ford have demonstrated is available and deliverable). In our opinion this is necessary in order to ensure the Plan is positively prepared, having regard to the requirements of Paragraph 35 of the NPPF 2019.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26903 - 3768 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26543 Support****Respondent: Transport for London (Mr Richard Carr) [7185]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Thank you for consulting Transport for London (TfL). I can confirm that TfL has no comments to make on the focussed changes to the pre submission Local Plan.

Change To Plan: No change proposed

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26543 - 7185 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26544 Support****Respondent: Marine Management Organisation (Mr Andy Davis) [8788]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The MMO's delivery functions are; marine planning, marine licensing, wildlife licensing and enforcement, marine protected area management, marine emergencies, fisheries management and issuing European grants.

Change To Plan: No change proposed

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26544 - 8788 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26646 Support****Respondent: Environment Agency (Mr Pat Abbott) [8308]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Thank you for the consultation on the Brentwood pre-submission local plan. Having reviewed the document, we find the plan sound. We have provided comments in regards to Responding to Climate Change, Water Efficiency, Water Quality, Ecology, Flood Risk, Sustainable Drainage and Contaminated Land where we feel the plan can be enhanced.

These comments are detailed in full in the full text attached.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Yes      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26646 - 8308 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26692 Support****Respondent: Phase 2 Planning and Development Ltd (Mr. Michael Calder) [3814]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Whilst we do not wish to add to the representations already submitted on behalf of Countryside Properties, Redrow Homes, Croudace Homes and Shenfield High School, we would like to identify that this part of the allocation is now within the control of Stonebond Properties who are committed to working in partnership with the Council to deliver this part of the site at first opportunity independently from the wider allocation to boost the Council's Housing Supply. Due to the physical characteristics of the site (capable of independent access, drainage, landscaping and ecology provision) in addition to the scale of development proposed, we are confident that the scheme can come forward quickly to boost supply, without compromising the wider principles of the allocation. and which will likely be brought forward in advance of the wider site allocation.

We therefore make this representation specifically in respect of the Housing Trajectory. As is shown on the illustrative site layout attached at Appendix 1. The development of this site is not reliant upon any of the strategic site infrastructure that is required to deliver the wider allocation, with access into this parcel served by Alexander Lane. Stonebond Properties have started engagement with Essex County Council Highways in respect of access into the site.

As such, and having a controlling interest in the site, Stonebond Properties are committed to delivering 50 dwellings on the site before 2023/24 and would like to work in partnership with the Council to submit a planning application for determination upon the adoption of the Local Plan. Furthermore, should the emerging Local Plan reach a stage where the Council are confident to attach weight to emerging policies for decision making, an application may be submitted prior to adoption of the Local Plan.

We therefore request that the housing trajectory for R03 is updated to reflect the fact that this site is capable of delivery in the first five years of the plan. Indeed, the approach that the Council has taken to the housing trajectory on this site, confirming an annual delivery of 155 dwellings per year, which suggests that the Council are aware that the site will be brought forward by several developers.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26692 - 3814 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

## 26723 Support

**Respondent: Stonebond Properties Ltd [5948]**

**Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Alasdair Sherry) [6713]**

Summary: This letter has set out our views on the focussed changes to the Draft Local Plan, with particular emphasis on the role that the identified sites at Land of Stocks Lane and Land of Blackmore Road (R24 & R25 respectively) can assist the Council in meeting its requirements ahead of the Local Plan Examination.

As set out in this letter, we are responding to the contents of the AFC and specifically the housing trajectory and redistribution of housing as identified. To support our comments, we are resubmitting the representations previously made with regard to the two sites under Stonebond's control in Kelvedon Hatch. We reiterate points made at Pre-submission stage that both of these sites can accommodate additional homes above the number they are identified to assist the Council in meeting its requirement for new housing at early stages of the Plan period, which is of critical importance given the position with the Council's 5 year housing land supply shortfall.

Furthermore, the Pre-submission stage was prepared before publication of the revised NPPF in February 2019 which introduced important changes to the approach to identifying housing land supply and greater emphasis on the efficient use of land. Both of these matters clearly indicate that our proposals for R23 and R24 would be compatible with national planning policy objectives and provide justification for a review of capacity of these sites and confirmation for early delivery against the issues we identify with the both the timing of supply and redistribution of housing set out in the AFC.

We would welcome further liaison with the Council regarding the opportunities expressed in this and our previous representations as the Local Plan proceeds to the examination stage. In particular, we would be more than happy to present to the Examination a Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with the Council to reflect our proposals. The SoCG would confirm that sites R23 and R24 are available, suitable, and sustainable to aid the Council to confirm certainty of early delivery. We believe that our proposals also provide for flexibility in sources of supply to assist in the housing land requirement for the Borough.

Change To Plan: None proposed

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26723 - 5948 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

## 26759 Support

**Respondent: Highways England (Heather Archer) [8309]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Highways England will be concerned with proposals that have the potential to impact on the safe and efficient operation of the Strategic Road Network (SRN), in this case the A12 and M25 Junctions 28 and 29. We have examined the consultation documents and given the scope of the Focussed Changes we have no comments at the present time.

In terms of the sustainability appraisal, it is worth stating that a growing concern to us is air quality and the impact of development traffic contributing to emissions from traffic on the SRN. We note that Paragraph 9.2.7 of the Sustainability Appraisal Report lists a number of wide ranging policies in pursuit of air quality objectives. We shall be paying particular attention to air quality matters in future and stress the need for appropriate monitoring.

We will continue to cooperate separately with Brentwood Officers in relation to the transport assessment of your Local Plan concerning the M25 and A12.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26759 - 8309 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

## 26760 Support

**Respondent: McColl's Retail Group PLC [3662]**

**Agent: Smith Jenkins Ltd (Mr Samuel Dix) [8350]**

Summary: The focussed changes are not exhaustive and concern only five policies regarding particular allocations or sites that were already included in the pre-submission draft of the Local Plan. We have no comments on the necessity of the adjustments in housing figures that are proposed, although note that these are very minor in scale. For example, the changes to the Dunton Hills Garden Village allocation is equivalent to just a 2.5% increase in housing whilst other adjustments concern only 10 homes being deducted from allocations.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26760 - 3662 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26769 Support****Respondent: Childerditch Properties [2642]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. Andy Butcher) [2741]**

Summary: Strongly support the proposed allocation at Childerditch Industrial Estate but seek clarification regarding transport item in the IDP (T17). We question the extent to which these new cycle ways could be delivered along the A127 corridor. Who would be responsible for delivery - the IDP confirms that this will be Essex County Council but we have not been party to discussions with BBC or ECC on how this new cycle way may be delivered in either physical and monetary terms. Further clarification is required on this prior to the submission.

Change To Plan: Further clarification is required on delivery of the cycle ways structure along the A127 in either physical and monetary terms. Further clarification is required on how funding will be apportioned to developers for these works as this may impact upon the viability and delivery of the employment allocation at Childerditch Industrial Estate. Welcome a discussion with Officers prior to the submission of the Plan and in this respect, we would be happy to enter into a Statement of Common Ground with BDC in the lead up to the Examination of the Plan, to confirm the deliverability of the proposed allocation.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26769 - 2642 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26777 Support****Respondent: Natural England (Ms Laura Chellis) [8823]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Publication of Brentwood Borough Council's Addendum of Focussed Changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan, its accompanying updated Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment.

We note the changes listed in the above documents and the rebalancing of housing numbers towards Dunton Hills Garden Village.

We do not consider the modifications significantly change our position submitted to the Council as part of Reg 19 consultation, via email on 5th April 2019 (Our Ref 272769).

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26777 - 8823 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26780 Support****Respondent: Thames Chase Trust (Mr Dave Bigden) [7196]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The Thames Chase Trust would seek to see reference made to the Thames Chase Community Forest (TCCF); its importance to the area in and around the emerging Dunton Hills Garden Village and the diverse range of benefits it has provided to date and has the potential to do so in the future. It would also be beneficial to see a map of the borough, the Dunton Hills Garden Village area and its relationship, in terms of location, with the TCCF area and boundary.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes      Sound?: Yes      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26780 - 7196 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii, iii, iv

**26793 Support****Respondent: S & J Padfield and Partners [6098]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: Whilst we have no comments on the proposed reduction to the number of homes for Blackmore or Shenfield, we do consider that minor amendments should be made to other allocations within the Regulation 19 Pre-submission Local Plan where required, such as site E10. We reiterate the points made through our Regulation 19 representation, that the site as a whole should be removed from the Green Belt to allow flexibility moving forwards for not only for effective landscaping but also for the security of access arrangements.

Change To Plan: Sste E10 as a whole should be removed from the Green Belt to allow flexibility moving forwards for not only for effective landscaping but also for the security of access arrangements.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26793 - 6098 - Sustainability Appraisal - i, ii

**26882 Support****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: The Development Typology chapter of the IDP more accurately reflects the anticipated job numbers set out within information that we previously submitted to the Council, specifying that the Brentwood Enterprise Park has the potential to deliver circa 2,435 jobs across a number of sectors.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26882 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26883 Support****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: IDP Transport and Movement chapter: proposed measures to facilitate safe and efficient access (T16, T17, T18) listed as likely to be delivered in the Medium to Long term. It is anticipated that employment provision at Brentwood Enterprise Park will commence early in the Local Plan period to provide jobs to support growth in the Borough, and consider it is imperative that these measures are planned for the immediate term in order to support the much needed employment provision.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26883 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26885 Support****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: IDP: Additional work is required by all parties with regard to the proposed segregated cycle network for the southern growth corridor if this is to be delivered without the need for third party land and the progression of development on key sites should therefore not be reliant on this from day one.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26885 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

**26886 Support****Respondent: St Modwen Properties PLC and S&J Padfield and Partners [8835]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr. James Firth) [2048]**

Summary: IDP: With regard to specific mitigation measures, T24 covers improvements at the B186/A127 junction. We are currently in discussion with ECC as to how the proposed access to Brentwood Enterprise Park can be delivered alongside these solutions. It should be noted that the measures set out at T24 will need to cater for the wider planned growth in the Borough, and not be seen as a measure wholly to ensure the delivery of the Brentwood Enterprise Park.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26886 - 8835 - Sustainability Appraisal - None

CHAPTER: Addendum of Focussed Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252)  
Changes to the Pre-Submission

- 26531 Object**      **Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]**      **Agent: N/A**  
Summary: I agree with the additional house numbers but believe there could be a further 20 added.  
Change To Plan: The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDDP  
Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?:No      Tests: ii      Examination: No  
Full Reference: O - 26531 - 8477 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - ii
- 26542 Object**      **Respondent: Dr Philip Gibbs [4309]**      **Agent: N/A**  
Summary: This does not meet needs of Brentwood in the right places.  
Change To Plan: move housing development in the other direction  
Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?:No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: Yes  
Full Reference: O - 26542 - 4309 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv
- 26558 Object**      **Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712]**      **Agent: N/A**  
Summary: The initial statement that it is proposed to build an additional 70 homes at Dunton Hills Garden Village does not match up with the itemised changes. There are 70 homes being relocated from the Shenfield area and a further 20 homes from Blackmore Village area. That is a total of 90 homes. Where are the other 20 homes going to be located?  
Change To Plan:  
Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?:Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified  
Full Reference: O - 26558 - 2712 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None
- 26559 Object**      **Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712]**      **Agent: N/A**  
Summary: 1) Policy ROI  
It appears that the Local Plan is to put all the homes it possibly can into Dunton Hills Garden Village at the expense of our local environment and habitat and flood risk rather than impose such a huge number of 70 homes in Shenfield. Obviously the environment and habitat in an urban area is far more important than green belt! Dunton Hills Garden Village is growing like Topsy and will be Dunton Hills Garden Town!  
Change To Plan:  
Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?:Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified  
Full Reference: O - 26559 - 2712 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None
- 26593 Object**      **Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]**      **Agent: N/A**  
Summary: Large site suggest they take additional housing from Blackmore  
Change To Plan: Take the houses allocated to Blackmore village  
Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes      Sound?: Yes      Tests: None      Examination: No  
Full Reference: O - 26593 - 8678 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None
- 26606 Object**      **Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]**      **Agent: N/A**  
Summary: Believe Dunton should take the 50 houses from Blackmore  
Change To Plan: Dunton is a large site & will have infrastructure & access to transport links, so could take the 50 houses without problem  
Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes      Sound?:Yes      Tests: None      Examination: No  
Full Reference: O - 26606 - 8686 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26625 Object****Respondent: Punch Partnerships (PGRP) Ltd [8801]****Agent: Cordage Group (Miss Lauren Parsons) [8797]**

Summary: The proposed reduction in housing numbers in Shenfield and Blackmore reduces housing numbers in sustainable settlements where growth is needed, and puts them in a less sustainable location. In relocating the units to the proposed strategic allocation at Denton Hills, the provision of these units will inevitably occur later in the plan period, when the focus should be on early provision to address the current housing land supply shortfall.

The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding.

Change To Plan: A much better solution would be to reprovide the units lost from the Shenfield and Blackmore allocations on sustainable sites in and around Brentwood.

The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, being located on the edge of the town close to services and facilities, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, and as per the Environment Agency comments on the most recent planning application, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding. We therefore advocate that Spital Lane be allocated for housing in the emerging plan, along with other suitable smaller sites identified in the SHLAA, to make up the housing numbers lost in Shenfield and Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26625 - 8801 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26660 Object****Respondent: Sow & Grow Nursery (Mr. Derek Armiger) [303]****Agent: MR ALAN WIPPERMAN [8060]**

Summary: 2 However, they are all concerned that the Council's reliance on the large new community development at Dunton Green inherently carries more risk in providing housing over the Plan period, given the size of allocation and annual deliveries required, as it may be subject to delays arising from large scale finance and infrastructure provision as well as housing and finance market fluctuations, such that there can be substantial risks to the annual and overall housing delivery for the District during the Plan period.

3 Accordingly, they are of the view that there should be no further preferred smaller site reallocations currently identified as preferred development sites into the Dunton Green allocation for the Plan period, without sound and convincing reasoning to support this.

4 The many smaller sites identified as preferred sites, such as at the Sow N Grow Nursery site R07, provide for a more secure and dispersed risk in provision of housing delivery as these will tend to have far less upfront and complimentary infrastructure provision required before development can commence. In addition there will tend to be less upfront financial investments by developers, builders, and also by infrastructure providers making development potentially easier and quicker. The exposure to local market fluctuations may also be lessened with sites dispersed throughout the District and site assembly will not often be required. This allows the Plan to be more robust in housing delivery annually and for the Plan period.

5 Further re-allocations would also conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework, in particular, paragraphs 67- 76, and para. 68 in particular.

6 The Family also remains concerned that the supporting documents and assessments also published for this Consultation still do not have regard to the full potential of the Sow N Grow Nursery site which, together with the land owned by Mrs Dunbar, comprising the wider site R07, has again been assessed to 38 dwellings. There is clearly scope for many more, perhaps 50 dwellings plus in total as drawings used in pre-application discussions suggested. (These are in abeyance pending the Adoption of the Plan).

7 However no matters raised in the documents being consulted upon appear to in anyway significantly or adversely impact on the site being selected as a preferred site for development and release from the Green Belt, provided the approach is not extended further to other sites. The Adopted Plan is awaited, so that pre-application discussions can recommence.

The Plan and Focussed Changes continue to be supported for the earliest adoption and it is trusted that this Letter as a Response to the Focussed Changes and Supporting Documents being submitted for the above members of the Armiger Family will be brought to the Inspector's attention.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26660 - 303 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26661 Object****Respondent: Sow & Grow Nursery (Ms Kim Armiger) [4657]****Agent: MR ALAN WIPPERMAN [8060]**

Summary: 2 However, they are all concerned that the Council's reliance on the large new community development at Dunton Green inherently carries more risk in providing housing over the Plan period, given the size of allocation and annual deliveries required, as it may be subject to delays arising from large scale finance and infrastructure provision as well as housing and finance market fluctuations, such that there can be substantial risks to the annual and overall housing delivery for the District during the Plan period.

3 Accordingly, they are of the view that there should be no further preferred smaller site reallocations currently identified as preferred development sites into the Dunton Green allocation for the Plan period, without sound and convincing reasoning to support this.

4 The many smaller sites identified as preferred sites, such as at the Sow N Grow Nursery site R07, provide for a more secure and dispersed risk in provision of housing delivery as these will tend to have far less upfront and complimentary infrastructure provision required before development can commence. In addition there will tend to be less upfront financial investments by developers, builders, and also by infrastructure providers making development potentially easier and quicker. The exposure to local market fluctuations may also be lessened with sites dispersed throughout the District and site assembly will not often be required. This allows the Plan to be more robust in housing delivery annually and for the Plan period.

5 Further re-allocations would also conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework, in particular, paragraphs 67- 76, and para. 68 in particular.

6 The Family also remains concerned that the supporting documents and assessments also published for this Consultation still do not have regard to the full potential of the Sow N Grow Nursery site which, together with the land owned by Mrs Dunbar, comprising the wider site R07, has again been assessed to 38 dwellings. There is clearly scope for many more, perhaps 50 dwellings plus in total as drawings used in pre-application discussions suggested. (These are in abeyance pending the Adoption of the Plan).

7 However no matters raised in the documents being consulted upon appear to in anyway significantly or adversely impact on the site being selected as a preferred site for development and release from the Green Belt, provided the approach is not extended further to other sites. The Adopted Plan is awaited, so that pre-application discussions can recommence.

The Plan and Focussed Changes continue to be supported for the earliest adoption and it is trusted that this Letter as a Response to the Focussed Changes and Supporting Documents being submitted for the above members of the Armiger Family will be brought to the Inspector's attention.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26661 - 4657 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26662 Object****Respondent: Ms Maxine Armiger [4656]****Agent: MR ALAN WIPPERMAN [8060]**

Summary: 2 However, they are all concerned that the Council's reliance on the large new community development at Dunton Green inherently carries more risk in providing housing over the Plan period, given the size of allocation and annual deliveries required, as it may be subject to delays arising from large scale finance and infrastructure provision as well as housing and finance market fluctuations, such that there can be substantial risks to the annual and overall housing delivery for the District during the Plan period.

3 Accordingly, they are of the view that there should be no further preferred smaller site reallocations currently identified as preferred development sites into the Dunton Green allocation for the Plan period, without sound and convincing reasoning to support this.

4 The many smaller sites identified as preferred sites, such as at the Sow N Grow Nursery site R07, provide for a more secure and dispersed risk in provision of housing delivery as these will tend to have far less upfront and complimentary infrastructure provision required before development can commence. In addition there will tend to be less upfront financial investments by developers, builders, and also by infrastructure providers making development potentially easier and quicker. The exposure to local market fluctuations may also be lessened with sites dispersed throughout the District and site assembly will not often be required. This allows the Plan to be more robust in housing delivery annually and for the Plan period.

5 Further re-allocations would also conflict with the National Planning Policy Framework, in particular, paragraphs 67- 76, and para. 68 in particular.

6 The Family also remains concerned that the supporting documents and assessments also published for this Consultation still do not have regard to the full potential of the Sow N Grow Nursery site which, together with the land owned by Mrs Dunbar, comprising the wider site R07, has again been assessed to 38 dwellings. There is clearly scope for many more, perhaps 50 dwellings plus in total as drawings used in pre-application discussions suggested. (These are in abeyance pending the Adoption of the Plan).

7 However no matters raised in the documents being consulted upon appear to in anyway significantly or adversely impact on the site being selected as a preferred site for development and release from the Green Belt, provided the approach is not extended further to other sites. The Adopted Plan is awaited, so that pre-application discussions can recommence.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26662 - 4656 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

## 26712 Object

**Respondent: Mr Mr J Nicholls and Mr A Biglin (Land owners) [8368]**

**Agent: Sworders (Mrs Rachel Bryan) [5481]**

**Summary:** We support the reduction in housing numbers at the allocation sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, as this is justified by the evidence base. However, we object to the re-distribution of 70 dwellings to the Dunton Hills Garden Village (DHGV) allocation, because it would mean that fewer homes would be delivered in the early years of the plan. The reliance on DHGV to deliver such a large proportion of the Borough's housing need within the early years of the plan is too great, particularly when smaller sites are available, some of which are brownfield.

**Change To Plan:** Larger sites often take longer to deliver housing, because they typically have complex ownership structures and require significant investment in infrastructure. Research published by consultancy Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners (Start to Finish: How Quickly do Large-Scale Housing Sites Deliver? November 2016) found that for sites of over 2,000 dwellings, the average timeframe between the validation date of the planning application and the delivery of the first dwelling was just under seven years. This compares with just under three years for smaller sites of up to 99 dwellings and therefore, whilst it is justified to reduce the housing allocation at the sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, the 70 dwellings should be re-distributed to suitable smaller developments rather than being added to DHGV. Smaller sites are often able to come forward more quickly than larger sites because they are typically in single ownership and require less investment in infrastructure. They also attract smaller, more local housebuilding companies that would not be present on larger sites, enable more early deliveries and constitute a more sustainable approach towards meeting the housing need. Brownfield sites should also be prioritised in line with the requirements of the NPPF, which states in paragraph 137 that: 'before concluding that exceptional circumstances exist to justify changes to Green Belt boundaries, the strategic policy-making authority should be able to demonstrate that it has examined fully all other reasonable options for meeting its identified need for development'. As a result, brownfield land should be utilised, with greenfield land being released only when all sustainably located, available and deliverable sites have been identified as allocations. In contrast, Brentwood Borough Council propose relying entirely on the delivery of a single, large, greenfield site to be able to demonstrate and maintain a five-year supply in the early plan period - a method that has been criticised by several inspectors at Local Plan Examinations in Braintree District, Tendring District and Colchester Borough Council in relation to North Essex Garden Communities. Due to the location of the Dunton Hills Garden Village allocation, a significant proportion of Brentwood's housing would be located on the Borough boundary with Basildon. The settlement would adjoin Basildon's Green Belt and although it was once intended for both Councils to locate settlements in this area, Basildon no longer propose this. It could therefore also be considered that the authorities have not complied with their duty to co-operate. In conclusion, we object to the re-distribution of 70 dwellings into the Dunton Hills Garden Village allocation, considering instead that the dwellings should be re-allocated to more suitable smaller sites and brownfield land. Whilst we do not object to the principle of a new settlement, we do not consider that it should be relied upon to deliver such a significant proportion of the Borough's housing need within the timeframe envisaged, particularly when suitable alternative sites are available.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26712 - 8368 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - ii, iii, iv

## 26725 Object

**Respondent: West Horndon Parish Council (Mr Kim Harding) [381]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** The proposed additional homes added to Dunton Hills Garden Village ignores the fact that these homes will exacerbate an already ill-conceived and poorly planned new Garden Village, the size of which has grown and grown. The Council states that it is planning for a borough of villages however West Horndon Parish is being expected to provide a disproportionate amount of the necessary housing and industrial development. The conclusion being that the Garden Village is being used as an excuse to ensure that other parts of the borough do not have to experience the upheaval that will result from extended building works over time. The proposed Garden Village is not consistent with national sustainable development in terms of transport links, meeting climate change and combatting flooding. Sustainable movement in West Horndon Parish is already difficult and will be problematic with the Garden Village. No evidence has been provided to show appropriate assessment of the impacts on the A127 and A128, passenger numbers at West Horndon station on are already at capacity and no understanding or allowance has been made to accommodate additional passengers. The proposal is non-sustainable.

**Change To Plan:** Reduce housing and industrial units proposed for West Horndon Parish, with particular regard to Dunton Hills Garden Village.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: No

Tests: i

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26725 - 381 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i

**26727 Object****Respondent: Essex County Council (Mrs Anne Clitheroe) [6776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: NPPF para 31 requires planning policies to be underpinned by relevant and up to date evidence.

BBC need to be satisfied increase in dwelling numbers is supported by appropriate evidence base,including:

- demonstrating site is where need is (para 59)
- all other reasonable options have been fully examined, including making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and optimising density (para 137)
- updated transport evidence base fully assesses transport implications.

Proposed policy change does not address ECC's Pre-Submission Reg.19 consultation representations to this policy (March 2019).

ECC's position has not changed on this matter.

Change To Plan: As a result of the increase in dwelling numbers for this site allocation BBC should include, within the Plan evidence and supporting text for this Policy, details to demonstrate that the reallocation of dwellings to this site is where the need is (paragraph 59 of the NPPF), and that all other reasonable options for reallocating the dwellings have been fully examined, including making as much use as possible of suitable brownfield sites and underutilised land, and optimising density.

BBC should also update its transport evidence base for the Local Plan to fully assess the transport implications of the change in dwellings numbers on this site allocation.

The policy needs to be further changed to address ECC's representations to this policy made to the Pre-Submission Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation in March 2019.

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26727 - 6776 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - ii, iii, iv

**26743 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It is noted that the Addendum of Focussed Changes is proposing the redistribution of 70 proposed dwellings from the "Central Brentwood Growth Corridor" to the Dunton Hills Garden Village (DHGV). Basildon Council objects to the proposal to create a standalone new village (DHGV) to the west of the joint administrative boundary as previously indicated in our responses to Brentwood's Local Plan consultations in February 2016, March 2018 and March 2019. Basildon Council maintains the view that there currently remains a lack of credible and robust technical evidence to justify that a new village in this Green Belt location is the best option for meeting Brentwood Borough's housing needs, and continues to have doubts whether this allocation would be found sound at Examination in Public. In giving this view, Basildon Council is apprehensive that the scale of development proposed, which amounts to over a third of the borough's entire housing provision for the plan period, could be supported by infrastructure in the absence of a clear delivery plan. It remains unclear, if the proposal were to be approved, how it will relate in terms of access and connectivity to the Basildon urban area given that the nearest Town Centre and acute healthcare facilities are all within Basildon Borough.

Change To Plan: The Plan should select sites within the Central Brentwood Growth Corridor that provide opportunity for extensions to towns and villages that can encourage more sustainable travel choices and take advantage of the strategic infrastructure available.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26743 - 8820 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26744 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Basildon Council objects to the Focussed Changes 1 - 5, as they do not seem to have been informed by evidence or the Sustainability Appraisal as required by National Policy. The amendments effectively redistributes 70 proposed dwellings from the 'Central Brentwood Growth Corridor', which has opportunities to embrace more sustainable modes of transport, to a Green Belt location with a less developed public transport infrastructure. The reasons for the amendments do not seem to be supported by the evidence and appear to be based solely on the considerable number of objections received in response to the Pre-Submission Local Plan consultation in March 2019. The Brentwood Sustainability Appraisal October 2019 concludes that;

"It is difficult to draw strong conclusions, with the primary considerations being: A) decreasing the homes assigned to the Brentwood/Shenfield urban area by 50 may serve to reduce traffic through the problematic town centre AQMA, but any benefit would be marginal, and equally these are accessible locations suited to minimising car dependency; and B) increasing the number of homes assigned to DHGV by 70 is potentially associated with a degree of risk, noting the ongoing work being undertaken in respect of improving air quality along the A127 within Basildon Borough, and noting consultation responses received."

Paragraph 16 of the NPPF advises amongst other things that Plans should be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. Basildon Council has considered the two Growth Corridors identified in the Brentwood Borough Local Plan. It has reflected however that there are fundamental distinctions between them, which do not appear to have influenced site selection choices in a justified way. The Central Brentwood Growth Corridor is the location of nationally and regionally managed and maintained infrastructure - the A12 & M25 (Highways England) and the Elizabeth Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Transport for London) and East Anglia Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Abellio East Anglia). Growth in this location would maximise this infrastructure investment. The South Brentwood Growth Corridor meanwhile, consists the A127 (maintained by Essex County Council) and Essex Thameside Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by c2c).

It is not considered that the two corridors offer comparable choices in terms of the strategic importance or capacity of transport connections, and using the Sustainability Appraisal and other evidence, the Plan should select sites within the Central Brentwood Growth Corridor that provide opportunity for extensions to towns and villages that can encourage more sustainable travel choices and take advantage of the strategic infrastructure available. This would encourage commuting behaviour to shift away from private car use and therefore make this location a more sustainable and viable option to concentrate growth. Such an alternative approach would be justified by evidence and align with national policy.

## Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26744 - 8820 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26756 Object****Respondent: Countryside Properties [250]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Laura Dudley-Smith) [5158]**

Summary: Allocation of Unit Numbers

Whilst Countryside Properties can confirm their support of the draft Local Plan in principle, and in particular the allocation of land at Chelmsford Road and Doddinghurst Road for residential development, it is noted that the Focussed Changes relate exclusively to the reduction of unit numbers on 4 sites that are proposed for allocation within the Pre-Submission Local Plan, and the respective increase of the number of homes proposed for delivery as part of Dunton Hills Garden Village to accommodate the reductions.

Countryside Properties remain concerned in relation to an over-reliance on large scale strategic development for the provision of housing over the Plan period (2033). Brentwood Borough Council should protect those sites that are immediately available for the short term delivery of housing within the early stages of the Local Plan period.

Should there be specific reasons why the 4 sites have a lower capacity than initially understood, alternative sites proposed for allocation, such as land at Chelmsford Road and Doddinghurst Road have sufficient capacity to accommodate an increase in unit numbers to protect overall housing delivery numbers for the Borough. This would help to balance the reliance on Dunton Hills Garden Village for housing delivery whilst ensuring the efficient use of small to medium scale sites which are available to deliver housing immediately. Countryside are able to confirm an intention for the three developer parties with land interests at Shenfield to agree a Statement of Common Ground, which is expected to provide further reassurance of the short term delivery of this particular allocation in due course.

It should also be acknowledged that no growth of the sustainable settlement of Hutton has been proposed, despite its sustainability credentials and offering of small scale development sites such as land at Bayleys Mead. Such sites currently make a negligible contribution to the Green Belt and would not contribute to coalescence of settlements given the scale and enclosed nature of the site, as has been demonstrated in information submitted alongside previous representations at earlier stages of this Local Plan.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26756 - 250 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26773 Object****Respondent: Turn2us [6753]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Sam Hollingworth) [6123]**

Summary: Concerned with the proposed approach whereby Dunton Hills Garden Village will deliver at a greater rate than previously suggested, at just a fast enough rate to account for the shortfall created by the reduced capacity of site R18, R19, R25, and R26. It's wholly inappropriate to assume Dunton Hills Garden Village will accommodate an even greater number of dwellings by 2033 than the PSLP did. The PSLP as amended by the AFC remains unsound.

Change To Plan: Allocate additional site to delivery at least 70 additional homes in the early years of the plan period (2022/23 - 2024/25). Site 219 (land at Rayleigh Road, Hutton) represents an ideal site to respond to the above

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26773 - 6753 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26783 Object****Respondent: Wiggins Gee Homes Ltd [2788]****Agent: David Russell Associates (Mr David Russell) [487]**

Summary: Some justifications were given for reducing R18, R19, R25 and R26's potential capacity, but no justification is given for transferring this lost capacity to the Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (DHGV). DHGV is surrounded by too much controversy and too many outstanding issues over the timing of housing delivery and the capacity of local and regional infrastructure to fully support this strategic proposal. Brentwood's immediate neighbours continue to maintain strong opposition to the proposal. It should not be a "cure-all" repository for the Borough's planning problems.

Change To Plan: The 2.8 ha of land at Crow Green Lane, Pilgrims Hatch owned by Wiggins Gee Homes Limited is in single ownership, available now, and could be used for much needed affordable housing. It is not subject to objections from other major parties to the Local Plan preparation process. It does not have serious implications for local and regional infrastructure. It is located in the Local Plan's A12 Growth Corridor, enjoying far better environmental and social conditions than some of the other allocations being proposed in this Corridor. Its effect on the Green Belt would be negligible compared to DHGV.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26783 - 2788 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26784 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Dimond [7055]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Agree, Dunton Hills can accommodate the site planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26784 - 7055 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26789 Object****Respondent: Historic England (Andrew Marsh) [8824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The site contains three Grade II listed buildings and is surrounded by a range of other designated heritage assets. Development on site therefore has the potential to harm the significance designated heritage assets within the setting of the site. A Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is required to justify its allocation, inform the potential capacity of the site, and any mitigation measures necessary to accompany the proposals. Additional characterisation and archaeological investigations will also be fundamental to understanding the capacity of development on site.

Change To Plan: Given the sensitive nature of the site and given the lack of supporting evidence on the historic environment, we reiterate our request that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is undertaken. The HIA should determine the appropriateness or otherwise of the site for development, the extent of the development and therefore potential capacity of the site, the impacts upon the historic environment (considering each asset and its setting and its significance), impacts of development upon the asset and any potential mitigation measures necessary to accompany the proposals. Should the HIA conclude that development in the area could be acceptable and the site be allocated, the findings of the HIA should inform the Local Plan policy including development criteria and a strategy diagram which expresses the development criteria in diagrammatic form. Further archaeological investigation is undertaken as well as landscape characterisation work to inform the evidence base

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26789 - 8824 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26790 Object****Respondent: Hallam Land Management Ltd [2353]****Agent: Marrons Planning (Mr Gary Stephens) [8825]**

Summary: No clear or sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the housing trajectory for R01 Dunton Hills Garden Village in Appendix 1 is justified. Whilst the change is a relatively small increase, given the absence of evidence to support the rate of delivery proposed, an objection is maintained. As also noted from the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum (paragraph 2.9.3), there is a degree of increased risk associated with reliance on this site, as this is a large and complex site associated with delivery challenges, including in respect of infrastructure delivery.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: i, iii      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26790 - 2353 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, iii

**26804 Object****Respondent: M Scott Properties Ltd [8054]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Richard Clews) [5526]**

Summary: Cross-boundary and Statutory Consultee Objections: Basildon Borough Council have objected to the DHGV allocation raising concerns regarding the cross-boundary impacts of this site. Similarly, Essex County Council also raised concerns in respect of the transport evidence base for DHGV. As part of the Focussed Changes, the Council have seemingly overlooked these comments, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, seeking instead to direct more housing numbers to this allocation within the Plan period, and failing to consider any reasonable alternatives.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26804 - 8054 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26807 Object****Respondent: Glenda Fleming [3779]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Loading more houses on the Dunton Hills Garden Village is a risky strategy as there are many planning and infrastructure issues still to resolve.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26807 - 3779 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26813 Object****Respondent: Tesco Stores Limited [3856]****Agent: GL Hearn (Mr Selwyn Atkinson) [8822]**

Summary: We advise BBC to rethink its proposed strategy which has over the course of three drafts increased housing allocations at Dunton Hills Garden Village (DHGV). Any delay in implementing DHGV in line with the revised housing trajectory would result in housing shortfalls. The objections from Basildon and Thurrock Council are clear indicators that there has been insufficient engagement with adjoining Local Authorities over important cross boundary issues. 'The Consolidated Changes' should not be adopted until all concerning Local Authorities have discharged their duties to cooperate [in addressing all the issues relating to DHGV].

Change To Plan: recommend that the Sawyers Hall Farm site (ref: 024a and 024b) is allocated as this site is available for development now.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26813 - 3856 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26860 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christina Atkins [8118]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26860 - 8118 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26881 Object****Respondent: Icen Projects Limited (Mr Luke Challenger) [7052]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposed modifications are further delaying the delivery of housing until later in the Plan period pushing out 70 dwellings from Years 7 - 9 to Years 15 - 17. While this is a modest number of homes it demonstrates the significant challenge the Council is facing in the delivery of housing in the early years of the Plan period; The Pre-Submission Plan is seeking to deliver just a 1% buffer on top of the minimum LHN of 454 dwellings. The Sustainability Appraisal accompanying the Consultation warns against this approach, stating that there is an over reliance on Dunton Hills Garden Village for housing delivery "which leads to an increased degree of risk in respect of delays to delivery.

Change To Plan: In order to address the soundness issues we consider that the housing target should be reviewed with regard to the new evidence and that further sources of land supply should be identified, not only to deal with shortfalls over the plan period in total, but also specifically within its first 10 years. Land to the north of West Horndon railway station is available, suitable and deliverable and can contribute to meeting this shortfall. Importantly, it can come forward independently of the wider area of growth being promoted by EASL to the south of the settlement (in Thurrock Borough). The Brentwood Local Plan needs to seriously consider early delivery to ensure the Plan provides sufficient housing for Five Year Housing Land Supply, and for years 5 - 10. Early delivery of West Horndon would assist DHGV coming forward as it would act as a catalyst for housing delivery in this area of Brentwood and enhance the attractiveness of DHGV from a purchaser's perspective. It would also help a Local Plan inspector determine that Brentwood's Local Plan is sound; as is self-evident from the present draft of the Local Plan that Brentwood's ability to meet its housing needs is inextricably linked with the release of Green Belt land, primarily at DHGV. The failure to adopt a local plan would not only result in Brentwood being unable to address its housing needs, it would deprive DHGV of the policy context in which to come forward as a planning application proposal, thereby exacerbating the deficit. We had previously set out in the West Horndon Delivery Statement (Appendix 1 to our March 2019 representations) that the site could deliver first completions by 2024. This would mean that significant numbers of homes could be delivered within the first 5 - 10 years of the plan period helping to address the soundness issues identified. We trust these representations clarify our position and that they are taken into consideration in the advancement of the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26881 - 7052 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26891 Object****Respondent: L Apostolides [8836]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26891 - 8836 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26896 Object****Respondent: Mr Alex Atkins [8126]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R25 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26896 - 8126 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26904 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Atkins [8837]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26904 - 8837 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26909 Object****Respondent: Mr Joseph W E Atkins [8703]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26909 - 8703 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26914 Object****Respondent: Ms Lynn Baggott [8721]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26914 - 8721 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26924 Object****Respondent: Mr Authur Austin [8838]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26924 - 8838 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26925 Object****Respondent: Mr Authur Austin [8838]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26925 - 8838 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26932 Object****Respondent: Mr. Clive Austin [7186]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26932 - 7186 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26939 Object****Respondent: Mr Harry Austin [8839]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R26 and R25 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26939 - 8839 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26945 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Jill Austin [7272]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26945 - 7272 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26964 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Stevens [8840]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26964 - 8840 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26969 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Quested [8452]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26969 - 8452 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26976 Object****Respondent: Mr John Adkins [8734]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26976 - 8734 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26981 Object****Respondent: Ms Anne Adkins [8735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26981 - 8735 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26986 Object****Respondent: Mr Matthew Aiken [8827]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26986 - 8827 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26991 Object****Respondent: Kerry Allardyce [8828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26991 - 8828 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26992 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Bacon [8841]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25ad R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26992 - 8841 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26997 Object****Respondent: Mr David Barfoot [7177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26997 - 7177 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27006 Object****Respondent: Mr Liam Allardyce [8829]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27006 - 8829 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27011 Object****Respondent: Bernard Allen [8830]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27011 - 8830 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27016 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Allen [8831]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27016 - 8831 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27017 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Barfoot [7200]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27017 - 7200 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27026 Object****Respondent: Toni Allen [8832]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27026 - 8832 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27031 Object****Respondent: Tallulah Allen [8833]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27031 - 8833 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27036 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Allington [8316]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27036 - 8316 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27041 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Andrews [8834]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27041 - 8834 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27046 Object****Respondent: Ms Melanie Andrews [8826]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27046 - 8826 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27047 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Barrett [8842]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27047 - 8842 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27056 Object****Respondent: Ms Mandy Anthony [8737]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27056 - 8737 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27061 Object****Respondent: Mr Paul Anthony [6823]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27061 - 6823 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27062 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Bartrop [8651]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27062 - 8651 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27067 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Bartrop [8650]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27067 - 8650 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27072 Object****Respondent: Ms Anita Bastin [8843]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27072 - 8843 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27077 Object****Respondent: Ms Pauline Davidson [6327]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27077 - 6327 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27082 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Bastin [8844]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27082 - 8844 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27087 Object****Respondent: Mr James Baur [8845]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27087 - 8845 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27092 Object****Respondent: Karen Baur [1079]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27092 - 1079 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27097 Object****Respondent: Mr Kurt Baur [8846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27097 - 8846 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27102 Object****Respondent: Mr Gordon Beaman [8848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27102 - 8848 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27108 Object****Respondent: Mrs Eileen Beazley [8700]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27108 - 8700 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27115 Object****Respondent: Mr Ron Beazley [4831]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27115 - 4831 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27118 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Bedford [8673]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27118 - 8673 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27123 Object****Respondent: Mavis Beeching [8849]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27123 - 8849 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27128 Object****Respondent: Mr. Robert Beeching [3839]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27128 - 3839 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27133 Object****Respondent: Mr Cameron Beman [8850]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27133 - 8850 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27142 Object****Respondent: Mr. Brian Rafis [4554]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R6 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27142 - 4554 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27147 Object****Respondent: Ms Diane Randall [8851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27147 - 8851 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27152 Object****Respondent: Mr John Randall [8852]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R 25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27152 - 8852 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27153 Object****Respondent: Mr David Bennett [8649]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27153 - 8649 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27162 Object****Respondent: Mr Andy Davies [8853]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27162 - 8853 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27167 Object****Respondent: Ann Davis [4404]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27167 - 4404 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27172 Object****Respondent: Mr Robert Davis [4789]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27172 - 4789 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27177 Object****Respondent: Ms Maria J Bennett [8723]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27177 - 8723 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27182 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Bills [8854]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27182 - 8854 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27187 Object****Respondent: Mr Arthur Birch [4769]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27187 - 4769 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27192 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Birch [8730]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27192 - 8730 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27197 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Birch [8158]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27197 - 8158 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27202 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Bishop [8855]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27202 - 8855 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27207 Object****Respondent: Mr Cliff Black [8729]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27207 - 8729 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27212 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Black [8728]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27212 - 8728 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27217 Object****Respondent: Mr Tim Black [8248]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27217 - 8248 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27222 Object****Respondent: Ms Pam Blackmore [8856]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27222 - 8856 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27227 Object****Respondent: Ms Rosemary Blowes [8857]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27227 - 8857 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27232 Object****Respondent: Alison Ratcliffe [8860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The ECM held at Brentwood BC on 8/11/18, when sites 25 and 26 were formally included in the LDP was undemocratic and flawed, and the debate should be held again and conducted properly

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27232 - 8860 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27234 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27234 - 8648 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27244 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Bradley [8861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27244 - 8861 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27252 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ella Bradley [4875]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27252 - 4875 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27257 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The LDP, in so far as the 2 Blackmore sites are concerned, was never written strategically and indeed prior to Reg 18 the BBC position was the correct position i.e, R25 and R26 are wholly inappropriate for development. We therefore need to reverse out of Regs 18 and 19 and return us to the correct position as stated in January 2016.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27257 - 4874 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27259 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Brassett [8862]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27259 - 8862 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27266 Object****Respondent: Mrs Judith Brewster [8863]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27266 - 8863 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27272 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kelly BRITTLETON [8097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27272 - 8097 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27273 Object****Respondent: D. Rawlings [1058]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27273 - 1058 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27281 Object****Respondent: Mr Robert J Brittleton [8724]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27281 - 8724 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27285 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lisa Rawlings [8555]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27285 - 8555 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27301 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27301 - 8011 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27307 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Rayner [8553]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP . I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27307 - 8553 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27312 Object****Respondent: David Read [8864]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27312 - 8864 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27319 Object****Respondent: Vera Read [8865]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27319 - 8865 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27332 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brooks [8683]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27332 - 8683 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27339 Object****Respondent: Mr Ray Brooks [8643]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27339 - 8643 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27364 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27364 - 8686 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27367 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sara Harris [8122]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27367 - 8122 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27372 Object****Respondent: Ms Leanne Hartley [8325]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27372 - 8325 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27377 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Herring [4841]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27377 - 4841 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27382 Object****Respondent: Miss Jade Hayes [8136]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27382 - 8136 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27387 Object****Respondent: Mrs Helen Haynes [8416]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27387 - 8416 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27393 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Haynes [8138]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27393 - 8138 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27394 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Haynes [8138]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27394 - 8138 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27403 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Heed [8868]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27403 - 8868 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27404 Object****Respondent: Mr Raymond Hatfield [8869]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27404 - 8869 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27409 Object****Respondent: Ms Joanne Browne [8870]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27409 - 8870 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27414 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Budd [8871]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27414 - 8871 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27419 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Budd [8872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27419 - 8872 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27424 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Reed [4708]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26 and let the village undertake it's own survey for what the residents need - which will ONLY go on Brownfield.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27424 - 4708 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27426 Object****Respondent: Mr Carl Budge [8873]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27426 - 8873 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27434 Object****Respondent: Theresa Reed [8876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: The proposed developments in Blackmore are not only disproportionate, but suffering from the location of our village in proximity to other developments not under the control of Brentwood.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27434 - 8876 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27439 Object****Respondent: Ms Kaye Bundy [8874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27439 - 8874 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27441 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Richardson [4859]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27441 - 4859 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27449 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Burgess [5030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27449 - 5030 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27450 Object****Respondent: Ian Richardson [8878]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27450 - 8878 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27455 Object****Respondent: Mr John Richardson [4858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27455 - 4858 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27460 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Richardson [8192]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27460 - 8192 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27465 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Richardson [7330]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27465 - 7330 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27471 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Burgess [5030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27471 - 5030 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27474 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Richardson [8562]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27474 - 8562 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27479 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sue Rigley [8879]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27479 - 8879 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27484 Object****Respondent: Steve Rigley [8880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27484 - 8880 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27490 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Burgess [4863]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27490 - 4863 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27495 Object****Respondent: Mrs Brigid Robinson [4897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27495 - 4897 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27499 Object****Respondent: Mr Shaun Burnett [8881]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27499 - 8881 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27505 Object****Respondent: Jaquiline Robinson [8883]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27505 - 8883 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27507 Object****Respondent: Mr. Christopher Burrow [4618]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27507 - 4618 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27515 Object****Respondent: Ms Jean Bury [8716]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27515 - 8716 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27520 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Robinson [4899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27520 - 4899 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27525 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Bury [8717]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27525 - 8717 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27530 Object****Respondent: Mr David Rolfs [8566]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Blackmore has great history, dating back to Tudor times, with its church going back considerably further. We must care for such a heritage. We do not want it destroyed "on our watch".

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27530 - 8566 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27535 Object****Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Rolfs [8567]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Deal Tree Health Centre is already operating at figures beyond the optimum number of patients per GP, as outlined in the Brentwood Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). New housing has already impacted this further, with developments in Rookery Road and The Elms in Lower Road Mounthessing, along with travellers who have occupied land on the Chelmsford Road all squeezing Deal Tree Health Centre further. The addition of the proposed new properties in Blackmore under R25 and R26 will further exacerbate the problem.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27535 - 8567 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27540 Object****Respondent: Andrew Romang [8884]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27540 - 8884 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27545 Object****Respondent: Ms Jan Butler [8885]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27545 - 8885 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27549 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Butler [5017]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27549 - 5017 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27554 Object****Respondent: Ms Bonnie Cain [8886]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27554 - 8886 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27559 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Carter [8887]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27559 - 8887 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27564 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27564 - 4874 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27569 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Romang [8107]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27569 - 8107 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27574 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Romang [4374]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27574 - 4374 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27579 Object****Respondent: Mr Clive Rosewell [8563]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27579 - 8563 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27584 Object****Respondent: Joanne Ryan [8889]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27584 - 8889 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27589 Object****Respondent: Nichola Ryan [8890]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27589 - 8890 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27594 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Ryan [4937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27594 - 4937 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27599 Object****Respondent: Robert Ryan [8891]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27599 - 8891 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27604 Object****Respondent: Mr Callum Cartwright [8370]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27604 - 8370 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27606 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Sanders [8474]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27606 - 8474 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27614 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Sanders [4923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27614 - 4923 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27618 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27618 - 7193 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27624 Object****Respondent: Mrs Malanie Sanders [8511]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27624 - 8511 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27625 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27625 - 7195 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27634 Object****Respondent: Mr Barry Casswell [8888]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27634 - 8888 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27639 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Saunders [8386]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27639 - 8386 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27643 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Caton [8657]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27643 - 8657 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27649 Object****Respondent: Ms Marjorie Herring [8893]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27649 - 8893 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27650 Object****Respondent: Ronald Barry Saunders [8894]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27650 - 8894 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27659 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27659 - 8634 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27661 Object****Respondent: Mr John Caton [4881]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27661 - 4881 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27664 Object****Respondent: Mr David Saxton [4286]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27664 - 4286 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27673 Object****Respondent: Mr David Chalkley [8671]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27673 - 8671 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27679 Object****Respondent: Miss Carole Scott [8541]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27679 - 8541 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27684 Object****Respondent: Ms Kim Chalkney [8895]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27684 - 8895 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27687 Object****Respondent: Stephen Scott [8896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27687 - 8896 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27692 Object****Respondent: Ms Susan Hill [8897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27692 - 8897 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27697 Object****Respondent: Kerry Hipgrave [8898]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27697 - 8898 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27702 Object****Respondent: Mr Rick Hipgrave [8899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27702 - 8899 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27707 Object****Respondent: Kay Hobbs [8900]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27707 - 8900 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27712 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Chambers [8300]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27712 - 8300 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27717 Object****Respondent: Mrs Mandy Chambers [4846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27717 - 4846 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27722 Object****Respondent: Mrs Trina Chambers [8348]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27722 - 8348 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27727 Object****Respondent: Ms Julie Chandler [8352]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27727 - 8352 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27732 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anita Clark [8168]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27732 - 8168 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27737 Object****Respondent: Mr Joshua Clark [8135]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27737 - 8135 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27742 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27742 - 2456 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27747 Object****Respondent: Mr David Coates [8133]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27747 - 8133 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27752 Object****Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cohen [8313]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27752 - 8313 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27757 Object****Respondent: Ms Karen Cohen [8901]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27757 - 8901 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27762 Object****Respondent: Mr Marc Cohen [4268]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27762 - 4268 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27767 Object****Respondent: Ms Wendy Cohen [6923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27767 - 6923 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27772 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Colbert [8902]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDPI disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27772 - 8902 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27777 Object****Respondent: Mr Barry Coldham [8656]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27777 - 8656 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27782 Object****Respondent: Mrs Louise Coldham [8666]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27782 - 8666 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27787 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Cole [8903]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27787 - 8903 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27792 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Cook [8794]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27792 - 8794 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27797 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joann Cook [8669]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27797 - 8669 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27802 Object****Respondent: Mr Daniel Cracknell [8142]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27802 - 8142 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27807 Object****Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cross [7016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27807 - 7016 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27812 Object****Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27812 - 4547 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27817 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christine Tabor [8427]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27817 - 8427 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27822 Object****Respondent: Mr Frank Tabor [8424]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27822 - 8424 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27827 Object****Respondent: Ms Gloria Tanner [8904]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27827 - 8904 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27832 Object****Respondent: Miss Chloe Taylor [8429]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27832 - 8429 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27837 Object****Respondent: Mr Dean Taylor [6978]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27837 - 6978 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27842 Object****Respondent: Mrs Elisabeth Taylor [2918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27842 - 2918 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27847 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Taylor [8905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27847 - 8905 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27852 Object****Respondent: Mr James Taylor [8430]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27852 - 8430 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27857 Object****Respondent: Ms Nikki Taylor [8906]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27857 - 8906 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27862 Object****Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27862 - 6880 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27867 Object****Respondent: Mr Steven Taylor [8431]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27867 - 8431 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27872 Object****Respondent: Ms Shirley Taylor [8907]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27872 - 8907 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27873 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sophia Severn [4876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27873 - 4876 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27882 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sila Sheridan [5201]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27882 - 5201 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27887 Object****Respondent: Collin Sherwood [8908]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27887 - 8908 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27892 Object****Respondent: Mrs Valerie Sherwood [8015]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27892 - 8015 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27897 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Slimm [5042]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27897 - 5042 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27902 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Smith [8910]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27902 - 8910 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27907 Object****Respondent: Barry Smith [8911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27907 - 8911 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27912 Object****Respondent: Mr Ritchie Hobbs [8909]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27912 - 8909 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27917 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27917 - 4759 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27922 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janice Holbrook [4700]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27922 - 4700 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27927 Object****Respondent: Ms Lauren Holbrook [8912]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27927 - 8912 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27932 Object****Respondent: Miss Ami Holmes [8653]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27932 - 8653 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27937 Object****Respondent: Mr Ben Holmes [8654]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27937 - 8654 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27942 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Holmes [4693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27942 - 4693 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27947 Object****Respondent: Mr Ken Holmes [8691]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27947 - 8691 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27952 Object****Respondent: Mr Luke Holmes [8652]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27952 - 8652 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27957 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Holmes [8655]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27957 - 8655 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27963 Object****Respondent: Mrs Nicola Holmes [8668]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27963 - 8668 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27972 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane House [8681]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27972 - 8681 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27977 Object****Respondent: Mr Howe [8913]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27977 - 8913 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27984 Object****Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Thompson [5016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27984 - 5016 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27988 Object****Respondent: Mrs Howe [8914]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27988 - 8914 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27996 Object****Respondent: Ms Charlotte Howse [8915]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27996 - 8915 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27999 Object****Respondent: Mr David Smith [4872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27999 - 4872 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28003 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gail Hughes [8638]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28003 - 8638 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28007 Object****Respondent: Mr James Hughes [8677]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28007 - 8677 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28012 Object****Respondent: Mr John Hughes [4500]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28012 - 4500 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28015 Object****Respondent: Joyce Smith [8917]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28015 - 8917 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28019 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Hughes [8637]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28019 - 8637 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28021 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Hughes [8637]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28021 - 8637 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28026 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28026 - 4735 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28032 Object****Respondent: Lesley Smith [8918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28032 - 8918 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28037 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kate Hurford [4275]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28037 - 4275 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28038 Object****Respondent: Marisa Smith [8919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28038 - 8919 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28048 Object****Respondent: William Alan Smith [8920]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. 1. Focussed Change 4 - PART D  
If you allow this farm to be developed whatever the developers say 12 dwellings they will be up to the A414 in the blink of an eye. 2. Focussed Change 5 - PART B  
Honeypot Lane is close to all amenities inc the M25 (both directions) and Romford. I lived in the area a lot of my life and I know it well. We were close to everything. It has good schools - St Peter's is a great attraction as are all of the senior schools. 3. Additional Comments The original meeting was conducted in a disgusting manner. No evidence was discussed about Blackmore, just a vote. Not the way to conduct an important meeting.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28048 - 8920 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28055 Object****Respondent: Malcolm Hurford [7304]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28055 - 7304 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28058 Object****Respondent: Ms Dawn Ireland [4861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28058 - 4861 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28063 Object****Respondent: Mrs Melanie Snelling [8547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28063 - 8547 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28068 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Snelling [6960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28068 - 6960 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28073 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Snook [8484]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28073 - 8484 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28078 Object****Respondent: Mr Nicholas Thororgood [8916]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28078 - 8916 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28087 Object****Respondent: Ms Annie Jackson [8921]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28087 - 8921 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28088 Object****Respondent: Ms Emma Thwaite [8922]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28088 - 8922 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28093 Object****Respondent: Mrs Deborah Thwaite [8175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28093 - 8175 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28098 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Thwaite [6964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28098 - 6964 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28103 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Thwaite [4475]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28103 - 4475 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28108 Object****Respondent: Mr Derek Tillet [8923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28108 - 8923 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28116 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28116 - 8388 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28121 Object****Respondent: Peter Southgate [8925]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28121 - 8925 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28126 Object****Respondent: Vyvian Southgate [8926]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28126 - 8926 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28131 Object****Respondent: Deborah Spencer [8927]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28131 - 8927 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28136 Object****Respondent: Kevin Spencer [8928]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28136 - 8928 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28143 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Tomey [8428]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28143 - 8428 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28148 Object****Respondent: Liam Spencer [8929]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28148 - 8929 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28153 Object****Respondent: Dean Spicer [8930]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28153 - 8930 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28159 Object****Respondent: Paul Springate [8931]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28159 - 8931 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28167 Object****Respondent: Mr Khodad Jahromi [8190]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28167 - 8190 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28172 Object****Respondent: Gulay Jahromi [8933]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28172 - 8933 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28177 Object****Respondent: Ms Mitra Jahromi [8934]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28177 - 8934 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28182 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28182 - 8177 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28188 Object****Respondent: David Janes [8935]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28188 - 8935 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28191 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28191 - 5175 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28196 Object****Respondent: Mrs Catherine Jennings [8693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28196 - 8693 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28201 Object****Respondent: Dr. S.J. Jennings [1497]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28201 - 1497 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28206 Object****Respondent: Nicola Joiner [8936]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28206 - 8936 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28211 Object****Respondent: Aidan Jones [8937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28211 - 8937 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28218 Object****Respondent: Chloe Jones [8938]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28218 - 8938 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28221 Object****Respondent: Diane Jones [8939]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28221 - 8939 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28226 Object****Respondent: Miss Heather Jones [8318]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28226 - 8318 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28231 Object****Respondent: Iris Jones [8495]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28231 - 8495 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28238 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jones [8690]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28238 - 8690 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28243 Object****Respondent: Ms Sophie Jones [8940]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28243 - 8940 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28248 Object****Respondent: Sylvia Stanley [8932]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove move R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28248 - 8932 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28250 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Joyner [8375]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28250 - 8375 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28252 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Staples [8526]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28252 - 8526 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28261 Object****Respondent: Brenda Juniper [8493]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28261 - 8493 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28266 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Staples [8527]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28266 - 8527 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28271 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ann Stenning [8546]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28271 - 8546 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28274 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Juniper [8129]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28274 - 8129 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28278 Object****Respondent: Mr Terence Stenning [8544]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28278 - 8544 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28285 Object****Respondent: Andrew Stevens [8942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28285 - 8942 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28290 Object****Respondent: Benjamin Stevens [8943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28290 - 8943 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28298 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Stevens [4958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. Blackmore has been incorrectly graded and placed in the wrong category. The proposal is unsound and also there has not been enough corroboration between Brentwood and Epping, who have already placed an burden on housing which is right on the Brentwood border and this will directly affect Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28298 - 4958 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28307 Object****Respondent: Lynn Stevens [8945]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28307 - 8945 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28312 Object****Respondent: Mrs Cynthia Kirby [8453]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28312 - 8453 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28313 Object****Respondent: Sandra Stock [8946]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28313 - 8946 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28321 Object****Respondent: Lynne Stocks [8947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R6 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28321 - 8947 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28327 Object****Respondent: Mr David Kirby [8454]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28327 - 8454 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28331 Object****Respondent: Richard Stocks [8948]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28331 - 8948 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28336 Object****Respondent: Iain Stretton [8949]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28336 - 8949 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28341 Object****Respondent: Samantha Stretton [8950]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28341 - 8950 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28346 Object****Respondent: Jennifer Stucky [8951]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28346 - 8951 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28351 Object****Respondent: Steve Stuckey [8952]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28351 - 8952 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28356 Object****Respondent: Christine Swettenham [8953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28356 - 8953 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28361 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Tomey [8448]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28361 - 8448 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28366 Object****Respondent: Edward Davis [8954]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28366 - 8954 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28371 Object****Respondent: Miss Harriet Davis [8440]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28371 - 8440 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28376 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dean [8434]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28376 - 8434 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28381 Object****Respondent: Sharon Decastro-Bunce [8955]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28381 - 8955 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28386 Object****Respondent: Allan Roy Dickinson [8956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. As already expressed the village facilities are fully stretched and any additional traffic from further development would increase the existing danger in the village centre.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28386 - 8956 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28391 Object****Respondent: Mr Louis Tregent [8924]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28391 - 8924 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28397 Object****Respondent: Mr Paul Tregent [8437]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28397 - 8437 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28401 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Tregent [8433]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28401 - 8433 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28403 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28403 - 8777 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28411 Object****Respondent: Mr Dennis Trumble [8418]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28411 - 8418 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28416 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Trumble [5029]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28416 - 5029 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28423 Object****Respondent: Cariss Tsui [8694]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28423 - 8694 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28428 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rita Tuffey [4620]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28428 - 4620 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28433 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Tuffey [4621]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28433 - 4621 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28438 Object****Respondent: Mr Giovanni Vaccari [8957]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28438 - 8957 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28443 Object****Respondent: Mr Pete Vince [8123]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28443 - 8123 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28448 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Wakelin [8958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28448 - 8958 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28453 Object****Respondent: Ms Natalie Walters [8959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28453 - 8959 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28458 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Ward [8960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28458 - 8960 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28463 Object****Respondent: Ms Stephanie Kmiotek-Mutton [8961]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28463 - 8961 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28468 Object****Respondent: Harry Krajicek [8962]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28468 - 8962 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28473 Object****Respondent: Ms Madeline Krajicek [8963]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28473 - 8963 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28478 Object****Respondent: Stephen Krajicek [8964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28478 - 8964 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28487 Object****Respondent: Mr John Laing [8501]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28487 - 8501 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28488 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Laing [7046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28488 - 7046 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28493 Object****Respondent: Sarah Louise Lapena [8965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28493 - 8965 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28494 Object****Respondent: Mr John Warner [5018]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28494 - 5018 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28502 Object****Respondent: Mrs Linda Watkinson [4984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28502 - 4984 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28510 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Lawrenson [6958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28510 - 6958 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28513 Object****Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Watson [8966]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28513 - 8966 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28515 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Lennon [8506]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28515 - 8506 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28521 Object****Respondent: Mr Jon Watson [7112]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28521 - 7112 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28526 Object****Respondent: Mr Tony Watson [8967]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28526 - 8967 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28531 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Lennon [747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28531 - 747 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28533 Object****Respondent: Mr Eric John Webb [1830]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28533 - 1830 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28541 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Webb [4919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28541 - 4919 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28545 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28545 - 4396 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28551 Object****Respondent: Ms Michelle Lockton [8968]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28551 - 8968 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28553 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joan Westover [4635]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28553 - 4635 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28561 Object****Respondent: Keith Lodge [8969]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28561 - 8969 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28566 Object****Respondent: Ms Maureen Wheeler [8970]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28566 - 8970 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28573 Object****Respondent: Mr Andy Wilkins [8972]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28573 - 8972 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28575 Object****Respondent: Graeme Logan [8971]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28575 - 8971 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28581 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kim Lucas [4711]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28581 - 4711 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28586 Object****Respondent: Mr Stuart Lucas [4956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28586 - 4956 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28591 Object****Respondent: Mr Nicholas Wilkinson [8406]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28591 - 8406 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28592 Object****Respondent: Sean Lucas [8973]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28592 - 8973 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28601 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hayley Maclaurin [7097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28601 - 7097 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28606 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Manning [8974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28606 - 8974 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28611 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Wilks [8975]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28611 - 8975 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28614 Object****Respondent: Duncan Maclaurin [8976]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28614 - 8976 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28621 Object****Respondent: Mrs Edna Williams [4728]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28621 - 4728 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28626 Object****Respondent: Ms Elaine Williams [8159]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28626 - 8159 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28631 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Wiltshire [7141]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28631 - 7141 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28636 Object****Respondent: Mr John Wollaston [8183]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28636 - 8183 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28641 Object****Respondent: Mrs Marion Woolaston [8397]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28641 - 8397 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28646 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Wood [6965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28646 - 6965 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28651 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Wood [8720]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28651 - 8720 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28656 Object****Respondent: Mr Neal Woodford [8978]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28656 - 8978 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28661 Object****Respondent: Mr Matthew Woodward [8979]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28661 - 8979 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28666 Object****Respondent: Ms Ann Wright [8980]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28666 - 8980 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28671 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen York [8748]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28671 - 8748 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28676 Object****Respondent: Ms Barbara Young [8981]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28676 - 8981 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28681 Object****Respondent: Charlie Pyke [8982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28681 - 8982 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28686 Object****Respondent: Ms Hannah Pyke [8983]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28686 - 8983 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28691 Object****Respondent: Mr Harry Pyke [8984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28691 - 8984 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28696 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Pyke [8985]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28696 - 8985 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28701 Object****Respondent: Ms Eve Pulford [8987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28701 - 8987 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28706 Object****Respondent: Mr Daniel Pulford [8988]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28706 - 8988 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28711 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Marchant [8569]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28711 - 8569 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28723 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Marr [6006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28723 - 6006 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28726 Object****Respondent: Surrell McGovern [8991]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28726 - 8991 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28735 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Miers [8695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28735 - 8695 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28740 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Miers [3959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28740 - 3959 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28745 Object****Respondent: Alex Mills [8993]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28745 - 8993 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28750 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Mills [8533]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28750 - 8533 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28755 Object****Respondent: Greg Mills [8994]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28755 - 8994 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28760 Object****Respondent: Ms Karen Page [9000]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28760 - 9000 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28765 Object****Respondent: Ms Marquite Peacham [8999]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28765 - 8999 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28770 Object****Respondent: Ms Janice Plummer [8997]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28770 - 8997 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28775 Object****Respondent: Ms Judith Phillips [8615]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28775 - 8615 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28780 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jill Pritchard [4269]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28780 - 4269 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28785 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Power [8610]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28785 - 8610 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28790 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Poulton [8149]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28790 - 8149 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28796 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Poulton [8119]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28796 - 8119 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28800 Object****Respondent: Miss Natasha Playle [4291]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28800 - 4291 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28805 Object****Respondent: Ms Polyblank [8996]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28805 - 8996 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28810 Object****Respondent: Ms Gillian Pope [8995]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28810 - 8995 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28815 Object****Respondent: Lloyd Piper [8616]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28815 - 8616 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28820 Object****Respondent: Mr Frederick Piper [8380]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28820 - 8380 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28825 Object****Respondent: Mrs Eileen Piper [8381]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28825 - 8381 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28826 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28826 - 8417 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28834 Object****Respondent: Mr Douglas Piper [603]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28834 - 603 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28840 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Dimond [7055]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Reducing the proposed number of houses on the Blackmore green belt sites does not address the objections to the LDP regarding unjustifiable loss of green belt.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28840 - 7055 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28845 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Dimond [4851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Development in remote rural villages such as Blackmore will inevitably lead to increased road traffic because of the lack of jobs and infrastructure. More suitable sites with far better infrastructure are not being fully utilised. All proposed alterations to green belt boundaries should be fully evidenced and justified according to National Planning Policy and this has not happened, the choice of sites has been developer-lead. Alternatives to green belt development in the immediate vicinity of Blackmore village are being ignored by the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28845 - 4851 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28850 Object****Respondent: Mr Conrad Dixon [8688]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: The extra demand on infrastructure has not been adequately planned for or costed. To proceed on this basis would be reckless, given the risk of road traffic accidents and higher flood risk. There are more sound locations available for the proposed developments.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28850 - 8688 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28855 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Dodd [5498]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28855 - 5498 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28860 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Dodd [4828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: I am concerned by the development being undertaken by Epping Council on Fingrith Hall Lane that is a real threat to Blackmore local services. There does not appear to have been any published consultation between Brentwood planners and Epping DC and no evidence of working together planners that is a requirement in these circumstances. This should be rectified without further delay.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28860 - 4828 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28862 Object****Respondent: Jack Mills [9001]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28862 - 9001 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28870 Object****Respondent: Carla Downton [9002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28870 - 9002 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28875 Object****Respondent: Jane Mills [9003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28875 - 9003 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28879 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Downton [8432]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28879 - 8432 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28883 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Mills [6982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28883 - 6982 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28890 Object****Respondent: Christine Drew [9004]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28890 - 9004 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28894 Object****Respondent: Anna Dunk [8426]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28894 - 8426 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28896 Object****Respondent: Toby Mills [9005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28896 - 9005 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28904 Object****Respondent: Dennis Mitchell [9006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28904 - 9006 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28909 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorna Mitchell [8391]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28909 - 8391 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28914 Object****Respondent: Mr Sean Moore [8520]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28914 - 8520 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28919 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shui-Lin Moore [8521]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28919 - 8521 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28924 Object****Respondent: Anastasia Mootoosamy [9007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28924 - 9007 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28929 Object****Respondent: John Moppett [9008]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28929 - 9008 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28934 Object****Respondent: Mr Bryan Moreton [8513]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28934 - 8513 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28939 Object****Respondent: Gloria Moreton [9009]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28939 - 9009 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28944 Object****Respondent: Samantha Dunk [8438]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Just to reinforce the fact that the infrastructure in our tiny village is wholly inadequate to support building on the scale proposed on our beautiful Green Belt land. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28944 - 8438 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28949 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Durdant-Pead [8117]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Had Blackmore been given the correct status in keeping with its size and facilities then this situation would never have got underway. Blackmore is not a 'Large Village' given it only has one local corner shop to provide for its current residents. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28949 - 8117 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28954 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Durdant-Pead [8326]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: As a new resident in Blackmore it is obvious that the Village cannot sustain the proposed growth to the population by way of more housing. The Village is not a 'Large Village' and does not meet the criteria to be considered as such. Therefore the current LDP for Blackmore should be abandoned.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28954 - 8326 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28959 Object****Respondent: Mr John Eaton [8124]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28959 - 8124 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28964 Object****Respondent: Kirsty Edwards [8450]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28964 - 8450 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28969 Object****Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28969 - 8477 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28974 Object****Respondent: J Ellis [9010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28974 - 9010 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28979 Object****Respondent: Matthew Emerson [9011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28979 - 9011 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28984 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28984 - 4848 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28989 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28989 - 4987 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28994 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Morgan [4505]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28994 - 4505 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**28999 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lesley Moss [7053]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28999 - 7053 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29004 Object****Respondent: Mr and Mrs Brian and Lesley Moss [2905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29004 - 2905 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29009 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Moulder [4719]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29009 - 4719 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29018 Object****Respondent: Stuart Moulder [4713]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29018 - 4713 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29019 Object****Respondent: Mr Gerald Mountstevens [4911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29019 - 4911 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29024 Object****Respondent: Mr Lewis Pincombe [8745]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29024 - 8745 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29029 Object****Respondent: Patricia Mountstevens [9012]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29029 - 9012 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29033 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Pincombe [8614]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29033 - 8614 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29037 Object****Respondent: Mrs Vicky Mumby [8378]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29037 - 8378 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29043 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Pavitt [8746]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29043 - 8746 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29047 Object****Respondent: Dr Murray Wood [7003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29047 - 7003 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29052 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Pavitt [8747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29052 - 8747 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29058 Object****Respondent: Ms Sylvia Pascoe [7953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29058 - 7953 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29063 Object****Respondent: Mr John and Maureen Murrell [6846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29063 - 6846 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29068 Object****Respondent: Mr Tony Parris [9013]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29068 - 9013 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29073 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29073 - 8315 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29078 Object****Respondent: Ms Sheena Parish [9014]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29078 - 9014 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29088 Object****Respondent: Mr Albert Pardoe [8002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29088 - 8002 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29093 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Pallet [1313]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29093 - 1313 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29098 Object****Respondent: Miss Emily Dimond [7227]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: I believe the more suitable brownfield locations have not been fully considered before planning building on Blackmore's Greenfield sites (R25 & R26). As recommendation under the National Planning Policy all other alternatives should be fully considered before greenbelt development is authorised. I therefore wholly OBJECT to the inclusion of these sites within the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29098 - 7227 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29103 Object****Respondent: Callie Emmett [9019]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29103 - 9019 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29108 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Owen [9016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29108 - 9016 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29111 Object****Respondent: MR David Emmett [8445]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29111 - 8445 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29117 Object****Respondent: Ms Amanda Owen [9017]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29117 - 9017 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29122 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Emmett [8372]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29122 - 8372 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29127 Object****Respondent: Ms Jennifer Emmett [4896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29127 - 4896 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29133 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Emmett [8436]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29133 - 8436 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29140 Object****Respondent: Mr Scott Osborne [8456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29140 - 8456 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29143 Object****Respondent: Mrs Faye Osborne [8458]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29143 - 8458 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29148 Object****Respondent: Mr John Orbell [4805]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29148 - 4805 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29153 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gemma Olley [8462]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29153 - 8462 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29154 Object****Respondent: Ann Eustace [9020]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29154 - 9020 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29161 Object****Respondent: Mr David Olley [8461]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29161 - 8461 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29168 Object****Respondent: Kathleen Evans [9021]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29168 - 9021 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29171 Object****Respondent: Mr Neil O'Riordan [8630]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29171 - 8630 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29175 Object****Respondent: Pat Fahy [9022]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29175 - 9022 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29183 Object****Respondent: Pat Fearnley [9024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29183 - 9024 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29188 Object****Respondent: Mr Brett O'Hara [9023]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29188 - 9023 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29193 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew O'Hara [9025]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29193 - 9025 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29198 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29198 - 5892 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29202 Object****Respondent: Ms Suzanne O'Hara [9026]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29202 - 9026 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29208 Object****Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29208 - 9027 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29215 Object****Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29215 - 9027 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29217 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29217 - 5892 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29223 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Finlay [8191]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29223 - 8191 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29224 Object****Respondent: Ms Tracey O'Brien [9028]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29224 - 9028 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29232 Object****Respondent: Ms Jill Griffiths [5024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29232 - 5024 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29238 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ceri Fisher [8459]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: The process that has been followed seems flawed. 1. The parish comments were not taken into consideration at the hearing when the decision was made by BBC because they ran out of time and the parish representations were not heard. 2. I do not believe that the local villagers concerns have been listened to or addressed, hence the strong feelings that have caused the formation of BVHA and so many responses for the size of our community. 3. There are other sites more suitable that have not been considered, eg. Stondon Massey Parish have welcomed opportunities for more housing to regenerate their village. 4. The broader development picture has not been looked at, the development plans of Epping Borough council and the already agreed building that is going on. 5. A proper impact study has not been completed looking at whether the village can cope with this level of development, looking at the whole picture of recent housing expansion not just the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29238 - 8459 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29239 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Gregory [9029]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29239 - 9029 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29248 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anne Gregory [4305]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29248 - 4305 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29253 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Technically the LDP has been poorly executed and poorly considered. Lack of joined up consultation with the neighbouring borough, not allowing local parish representations to be heard, not considering the overwhelming response of the villages that live here. We don't object to building, but use the brown field sites and common sense please.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29253 - 8480 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29254 Object****Respondent: Ms Doreen Greenshields [8460]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29254 - 8460 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29263 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Fletcher [8470]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29263 - 8470 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29267 Object****Respondent: Paul Fletcher [9030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Building on greenbelt would downgrade its designation leading to potentially further development on greenbelt land. If houses are built on sites R25 and R26 what plans would prevent further development of greenbelt land around Blackmore and throughout the Borough of Brentwood?

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29267 - 9030 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29272 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Foreman [4394]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29272 - 4394 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29277 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lucille Foreman [8574]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29277 - 8574 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29282 Object****Respondent: Sally French [9031]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29282 - 9031 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29287 Object****Respondent: Mr Lee Fullick [8467]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29287 - 8467 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29292 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Fullick [8464]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29292 - 8464 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29297 Object****Respondent: Daniel Furnell [9032]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29297 - 9032 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29302 Object****Respondent: Mrs Grace Furnell [8182]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29302 - 8182 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29307 Object****Respondent: Mr Ricky Gardner [7282]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29307 - 7282 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29312 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Garrett [4947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29312 - 4947 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29317 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Murrell [8519]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29317 - 8519 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29322 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Murrell [8560]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29322 - 8560 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29327 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Murrell [8517]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29327 - 8517 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29332 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Newcombe [8598]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29332 - 8598 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29337 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hazel Newcombe [8597]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29337 - 8597 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29342 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Newton [8601]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29342 - 8601 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29347 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Geary [8483]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29347 - 8483 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29348 Object****Respondent: Mrs Tina Newton [8600]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29348 - 8600 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29356 Object****Respondent: Doddinghurst Infant School (Ms. Ingrid Nicholson) [4339]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29356 - 4339 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29358 Object****Respondent: Mrs Doreen Gray [9033]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I disagree - Dunton Hills cannot accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29358 - 9033 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29360 Object****Respondent: Beverley Gibson [9034]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29360 - 9034 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29369 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Gill [8492]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29369 - 8492 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29377 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joanne Gill [4758]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29377 - 4758 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29383 Object****Respondent: Mr John Ginivan [8476]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29383 - 8476 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29385 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Gordon [9035]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29385 - 9035 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29392 Object****Respondent: Mr Bruno Giordan [8104]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29392 - 8104 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29396 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Nicholson [4709]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29396 - 4709 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29399 Object****Respondent: Mr David Goodall [9036]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29399 - 9036 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29405 Object****Respondent: Mrs M.H. Giordan [1540]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29405 - 1540 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29412 Object****Respondent: Valerie Godbee [4943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29412 - 4943 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29417 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Godbee [4942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29417 - 4942 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29422 Object****Respondent: Mrs Niyazi [9039]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29422 - 9039 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29427 Object****Respondent: Ms Viola Sherwin [9040]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 29427 - 9040 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29432 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Slaughter [9041]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29432 - 9041 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29437 Object****Respondent: Tom McLaren [8992]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore. The number of houses allocated to Blackmore is small and can easily be accommodated through either windfall sites or existing brownfield sites within the borough which are not currently scheduled for development.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29437 - 8992 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29439 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP I disagree - Dunton Hills should not be made to accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29439 - 8944 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26514 Support****Respondent: Cllr Chris Hossack [1974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a minor increase to what is already a substantial proposal. The increment in housing numbers should be easily absorbed into the overall development proposal

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26514 - 1974 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26532 Support****Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I believe there is capacity to support the additional houses.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26532 - 8477 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26587 Support****Respondent: Rochford District Council (Daniel Goodman) [7964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Rochford District Council raises no objection to the proposed amendments to Brentwood Borough Council's Pre-Submission Draft Local Plan, namely the revised capacities of various sites proposed for development. Brentwood Borough Council should, however, satisfy themselves and the Inspector that the policies subject to amendment, in the context of its wider spatial strategy, are both sound and deliverable. In particular, Brentwood Borough Council should satisfy itself that the rate of development suggested for 'Dunton Hills Garden Village' is deliverable and realistic in order to ensure no unmet needs will arise.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26587 - 7964 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26588 Support****Respondent: Rochford District Council (Daniel Goodman) [7964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Rochford District Council would like to acknowledge the importance of Brentwood Borough Council continuing to engage positively on strategic issues, including as part of the Association of South Essex Local Authorities (ASELA) and in the preparation of the South Essex Joint Strategic Plan. Brentwood Borough Council is expected to continue to discharge its Duty to Co-operate with Rochford District Council and work positively to ensure that their mutual aspirations and vision for South Essex, set out in the Memorandum of Understanding dated July 2018, can be realised in the most effective, sustainable and equitable way.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26588 - 7964 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26592 Support****Respondent: CEG Land Promotions Limited [5050]****Agent: Lichfields (Mrs Victoria Barrett-Mudhoo) [8770]**

Summary: CEG note the Council's proposal to increase the number of new homes to be provided for within the DHGV strategic housing allocation in the plan period to 2033. CEG considers this to be a relatively small increase in the number of new homes when compared to what was previously proposed to be provided by the end of the plan period and the total indicative capacity of the DHGV allocation overall.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26592 - 5050 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26632 Support****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Support

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26632 - 8417 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26639 Support****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Support

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26639 - 8679 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26652 Support****Respondent: Anglian Water (Mr Stewart Patience) [6824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: As an infrastructure provider we closely monitor housing growth in our region to align our planned investment with additional demand for water recycling infrastructure. Therefore we have no comments to make relating to the focused change to Policy R01.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26652 - 6824 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26659 Support****Respondent: Wood (on behalf of National Grid) (Ms Lucy Bartley) [8094]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: the following sites have been identified as being crossed or in close proximity to National Grid infrastructure. Further details are provided in the table overleaf.

Electricity Transmission

Site Ref Asset Details Appendix Ref E11- Brentwood Enterprise Park

ZB Route - 275Kv two circuit route from Warley substation in Havering to Waltham Cross substation in Epping Forest ET329 (GT113)

Gas Transmission Site Ref Asset Details Appendix Ref R01

Strategic Allocation Brentwood Hills Garden Village

FM05 - Braintree to Horndon GT111

R06 - Land off Nags Head Lane, Brentwood

FM18 - Stapleford Tawney to Tilbury Thames North GT112

E11- Brentwood Enterprise Park

FM18 - Stapleford Tawney to Tilbury Thames North GT113 (ET329)

Please see attached plan referenced ET329, GT111, GT112 & GT113 at Appendix 2. The proposed sites are crossed by a National Grid high voltage electricity transmission overhead line and/or National Grid underground high-pressure gas pipeline. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. Where changes are proposed to ground levels beneath an existing line then it is important that changes inground levels do not result in safety clearances being infringed. National Grid can, on request, provide to developers detailed line profile drawings that detail the height of conductors, above ordnance datum, at a specific site. You can find National Grid's guidelines for developing near Over Head Lines here: [https://www.nationalgrid.com/sites/default/files/documents/Development%20near%20overhead%20lines\\_0.pdf](https://www.nationalgrid.com/sites/default/files/documents/Development%20near%20overhead%20lines_0.pdf)

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26659 - 8094 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26701 Support****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: By proposing to build so many new builds, a suitable infrastructure and facilities can be incorporated in the planning permission.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26701 - 4396 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26713 Support****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Dutton village has the required infrastructure ie trains, bus along with doctors and schools to support increased numbers of dwellings

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26713 - 7195 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26770 Support****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This adds homes where they are already planned for the long term, ultimately having no adverse impact on use of land, especially green belt, as other changes would do.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26770 - 5175 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26919 Support****Respondent: Mr David Hall [4867]****Agent: N/A**Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26919 - 4867 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26929 Support****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Hall [8684]****Agent: N/A**Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26929 - 8684 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26940 Support****Respondent: Mr Kevin Hall [6734]****Agent: N/A**Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26. Increase houses in Dunton and Priests Lane

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26940 - 6734 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26954 Support****Respondent: Mr. Chris Hamilton [3835]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26954 - 3835 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**26959 Support****Respondent: Mrs Mandy Hamilton [8633]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26959 - 8633 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27237 Support****Respondent: Mr Alan Hardy [8858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27237 - 8858 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27286 Support****Respondent: David Hammond [577]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27286 - 577 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27295 Support****Respondent: Mrs June Harrington [4776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27295 - 4776 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27300 Support****Respondent: Mr Lawrence Harrington [4778]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27300 - 4778 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27324 Support****Respondent: Ms Tina Harrington [4779]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27324 - 4779 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27337 Support****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q4 FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27337 - 8679 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27347 Support****Respondent: Mr Andrew Harris [8628]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27347 - 8628 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27352 Support****Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
 A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27352 - 8678 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**27357 Support****Respondent: Laura Harris [8685]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27357 - 8685 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

**29083 Support****Respondent: Mrs Beth Pardoe [8613]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 29083 - 8613 - Policy R01 (I): Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation (page 252) - None

CHAPTER: Addendum of Focussed Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290)  
Changes to the Pre-Submission

**26533 Object** Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477] Agent: N/A

Summary: This site is BROWNFIELD, not Greenbelt like sites R25 and R26.

Change To Plan: The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and policies R25 and R26 reduced by 20.

Legally Compliant?: No Duty to Co-operate?: No Sound?: No Tests: i Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26533 - 8477 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i

**26560 Object** Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712] Agent: N/A

Summary: The reduction in the number of homes from 55 to 35 (almost 40%) in the Crescent Drive area is purportedly due to i) inconsistency of character, ii) implications on traffic and safety, iii) Development on an urban open space, iv) environment, habitat and flood impact. Shenfield is an affluent area so any extra homes are unwelcome and out of character unless they are large and expensive. The need for homes must outweigh this and the council must find a way to build homes where needed, not where residents object on this basis. This is NIMBYISM of the highest order and should NOT be allowed. Come on Brentwood do the right thing by ALL borough residents not just the rich few! Crescent Drive to be a quiet almost traffic free area when I go to the Community Hospital so where is the traffic coming from? It is within 1 mile of the A12 so where is the issue with highway access? This sounds like a made up excuse to give padding to this reduction of home build in the area. It is nonsense. How can a suburban area have an environment and habitat and flood risk which is of more importance than Green Belt? Our area of green belt is under severe risk as it is with the Thames tunnel plan and Brentwood council are making matters worse by adding to this pressure. In a Green Belt borough emphasis should be on urban/suburban new build not on using green belt as an easy option. Why are Shenfield opinions more important than that of West Horndon opinions? These justifications appear fatuous to me and this proposed change should be rescinded as the council and planning department appear to be making fools of themselves. These are not serious justifications for a re-think, more like a plan to try and shift as much new build as possible as far away from Brentwood Town as possible. When all recent road improvements are on the A12 corridor and the high speed link on rail is coming to Shenfield surely it makes sense to put as many new homes as possible in that area which is also rich in the settlement hierarchy with good transport links, shops and open areas. So again there in an obvious disconnect with no joined up thinking

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified Sound?: Not Specified Tests: None Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26560 - 2712 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26564 Object** Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712] Agent: N/A

Summary: As a separate issue, why has the number of homes on brownfields sites reduced from 1152 to 1132?. There is no mention of where, when or why! Still, I expect they will be relocated to Dunton Hills Garden Town obviously.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified Sound?: Not Specified Tests: None Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26564 - 2712 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26576 Object** Respondent: Mr Anthony Cross [4376] Agent: N/A

Summary: The number of proposed dwellings should not be reduced. This site, being brownfield land, is much more appropriate for development compared to greenfield sites included in the LDP. For example, the additional 20 dwellings that could be built here, would go part of the way towards enabling the removal of sites R25 and R26 from the plan.

Change To Plan: The number of dwellings to be developed on this site should remain at 55.

Legally Compliant?: No Duty to Co-operate?: No Sound?: No Tests: ii, iv Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26576 - 4376 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - ii, iv

**26594 Object** Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678] Agent: N/A

Summary: This is a Brownfield site numbers should not be reduced

Change To Plan: 20 houses should be re-instated to this site

Legally Compliant?: Yes Duty to Co-operate?: Yes Sound?: Yes Tests: None Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26594 - 8678 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26607 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The 20 home reduction should be re-instated

Change To Plan: Brownfield site in town 20 additional homes will have less impact than on a small village with no infrastructure such as Blackmore

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26607 - 8686 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26626 Object****Respondent: Punch Partnerships (PGRP) Ltd [8801]****Agent: Cordage Group (Miss Lauren Parsons) [8797]**

Summary: The proposed reduction in housing numbers in Shenfield and Blackmore reduces housing numbers in sustainable settlements where growth is needed, and puts them in a less sustainable location. In relocating the units to the proposed strategic allocation at Denton Hills, the provision of these units will inevitably occur later in the plan period, when the focus should be on early provision to address the current housing land supply shortfall. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding.

Change To Plan: A much better solution would be to reprovide the units lost from the Shenfield and Blackmore allocations on sustainable sites in and around Brentwood. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, being located on the edge of the town close to services and facilities, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, and as per the Environment Agency comments on the most recent planning application, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding. We therefore advocate that Spital Lane be allocated for housing in the emerging plan, along with other suitable smaller sites identified in the SHLAA, to make up the housing numbers lost in Shenfield and Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26626 - 8801 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26633 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield site in ton centre no reduction should be allowed.

Change To Plan: Confirm objection to reduction.

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26633 - 8417 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26640 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield site with good transport &amp; infrastructure

Change To Plan: This reduction should be reversed

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26640 - 8679 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26706 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The number of planned houses should not be reduced as there is already the local infrastructure to support the proposed level of development

Change To Plan: Leave the number of houses as originally planned

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26706 - 7193 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, iii

**26714 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The number of houses on this development should remain. This site has numerous facilities including railway, shops schools and medical support locally. Government policy is that brownfield sites must be developed in preference to green field sites and therefore the development of there's houses should be confirmed to protect any risk to greenfield sites

Change To Plan: The original number of houses must remain and not be reduced

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26714 - 7195 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, iv

**26724 Object****Respondent: Fairview New Homes Ltd (Ms Faye Wilders) [8365]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Please see attached letter

- \* The Council's failure to demonstrate that the strategic requirement of National Planning Policy Framework have need met. Therefore, the Local Plan is not legally compliant or sound;
- \* That no evidence or justification has been provided by the Council to justify the reduction in the number of units allocated of the site;
- \* The lack of evidence and justification behind the Council's decision to reallocate additional housing onto a large strategic Green Belt allocation where the delivery has already been highlighted as risk within the Sustainability Appraisal 2019; and
- \* The continued failure of the Council to support the full capacity of a strategic site, despite discussion with Development Management and Statutory Consultees which demonstrate that the site could accommodate in excess of 55 units.

Change To Plan: Please see attached letter

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26724 - 8365 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26728 Object****Respondent: Essex County Council (Mrs Anne Clitheroe) [6776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: NPPF para 31 requires planning policies to be underpinned by relevant and up to date evidence.

- BBC need to be satisfied reduction in dwelling numbers is supported by appropriate evidence base, including:
- demonstrating site makes effective and efficient use of land (paragraphs 117, 118, 122 and 123 of the NPPF)
  - is economically viable (paragraph 67)
  - updated transport evidence base fully assesses transport implications.

Proposed policy change does not address ECC's Pre-Submission Reg.19 consultation representations to this policy (March 2019).

ECC's position has not changed on this matter.

Change To Plan: As a result of the reduction in dwelling numbers for this site allocation BBC should include, within the Plan evidence and supporting text for this Policy, details to demonstrate that the site allocation makes effective and efficient use of land, and is economically viable.

BBC should also update its transport evidence base for the Local Plan to fully assess the transport implications of the change in dwellings numbers on this site allocation.

The policy needs to be further changed to address ECC's representations to this policy made to the Pre-Submission Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation in March 2019.

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26728 - 6776 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - ii, iii, iv

**26745 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Basildon Council objects to the Focussed Changes 1 - 5, as they do not seem to have been informed by evidence or the Sustainability Appraisal as required by National Policy. The amendments effectively redistributes 70 proposed dwellings from the 'Central Brentwood Growth Corridor', which has opportunities to embrace more sustainable modes of transport, to a Green Belt location with a less developed public transport infrastructure. The reasons for the amendments do not seem to be supported by the evidence and appear to be based solely on the considerable number of objections received in response to the Pre-Submission Local Plan consultation in March 2019. The Brentwood Sustainability Appraisal October 2019 concludes that;

"It is difficult to draw strong conclusions, with the primary considerations being: A) decreasing the homes assigned to the Brentwood/Shenfield urban area by 50 may serve to reduce traffic through the problematic town centre AQMA, but any benefit would be marginal, and equally these are accessible locations suited to minimising car dependency; and B) increasing the number of homes assigned to DHGV by 70 is potentially associated with a degree of risk, noting the ongoing work being undertaken in respect of improving air quality along the A127 within Basildon Borough, and noting consultation responses received."

Paragraph 16 of the NPPF advises amongst other things that Plans should be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. Basildon Council has considered the two Growth Corridors identified in the Brentwood Borough Local Plan. It has reflected however that there are fundamental distinctions between them, which do not appear to have influenced site selection choices in a justified way. The Central Brentwood Growth Corridor is the location of nationally and regionally managed and maintained infrastructure - the A12 & M25 (Highways England) and the Elizabeth Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Transport for London) and East Anglia Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Abellio East Anglia). Growth in this location would maximise this infrastructure investment. The South Brentwood Growth Corridor meanwhile, consists the A127 (maintained by Essex County Council) and Essex Thameside Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by c2c).

It is not considered that the two corridors offer comparable choices in terms of the strategic importance or capacity of transport connections, and using the Sustainability Appraisal and other evidence, the Plan should select sites within the Central Brentwood Growth Corridor that provide opportunity for extensions to towns and villages that can encourage more sustainable travel choices and take advantage of the strategic infrastructure available. This would encourage commuting behaviour to shift away from private car use and therefore make this location a more sustainable and viable option to concentrate growth. Such an alternative approach would be justified by evidence and align with national policy.

**Change To Plan:**

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26745 - 8820 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

## 26775 Object

Respondent: Philip Cunliffe-Jones [1406]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Focussed change reducing dwellings to "around 35 homes". This will keep an area of on site public open space. I consider that this change has not been positively prepared and not consistent with policies in the NPPF requiring an integrated approach to housing and community facilities. The woodland open space should be a priority and an opportunity to enhance a community asset in an area of open space deprivation is foregone.

(i) Paragraph 3 of the Focussed Changes Consultation states that a significant number of representations were received, and a summary of key concerns raised "include inconsistency with the character of the local area in regard to density; implications of increased traffic and associated safety; highway access; development on urban open space; environmental and habitats impacts; and flooding."

(ii) No site appraisal justifying the proposed change appears to have been prepared. The character of the area was established by the Glanthsams Park Estate Development scheme and layout with some statutory and charitable modifications before the redevelopment of the main hospital site in 2011 with the transfer of land for a public woodland opens space, and a footpath between the hospital and the Regional Blood Transfusion site (R18). In addition to the footpath the R18 site benefits from an easement for a right of way connecting to Worrin Road.

(iii) The proposed focussed change to R18 does not relate to the objectives of the Hospital redevelopment scheme and transfer of public woodland open space.

(iv) These objections are supported by a serious caveat in the Addendum to the SA Report prepared by AECOM Infrastructure, and a conclusion which is couched in evasive language.

(v) The Addendum is qualified by being in accordance with the established budget, and also states that information provided by third parties has not been checked. At paragraph 2.5.3 of the Addendum there is a caveat that costly "costly access and transport infrastructure upgrades will be required in order to ensure a good flow of traffic and support safe access by walking and cycling..... There is a need to question whether scheme viability could be adversely affected as a result in the reduction in the number of homes". In other words, the work has not been carried out to justify the soundness of the change

(vi) The conclusion to the Addendum at paragraph 2.5.6 is equivocal. It makes the highly dubious assertion that a response to (some but not all) concerns has positive implications for community objectives while highlighting an unquantified degree of uncertainty concerning infrastructure, including community infrastructure at DHGV.

(vii). It is open to the Council to make an order under the Highways Act creating a byway for all traffic over its easement. This would open up the public open space transferred in 2011, which has been the subject since then of encroachments and trespass. There are other options. However, the focussed change proposed seeks to take a line of minimum development with some onsite open space to avoid grasping the nettle of integrated planned development. This is unsound, unjustified and inimical to national planning policy objectives and not in the public interest.

Philip Cunliffe-Jones

### Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26775 - 1406 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - ii, iii

**26776 Object****Respondent: Philip Cunliffe-Jones [1406]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The addendum of focussed change to Policy R18 is not effective and not justified.

(i) Paragraph 3 of the Focussed Changes Consultation states that a significant number of representations were received, and a summary of key concerns raised "include inconsistency with the character of the local area in regard to density; implications of increased traffic and associated safety; highway access; development on urban open space; environmental and habitats impacts; and flooding."

(ii) No site appraisal justifying the proposed change appears to have been prepared. The character of the area was established by the Glanthsams Park Estate Development scheme and layout with some statutory and charitable modifications before the redevelopment of the main hospital site in 2011 with the transfer of land for a public woodland opens space, and a footpath between the hospital and the Regional Blood Transfusion site (R18). In addition to the footpath the R18 site benefits from an easement for a right of way connecting to Worrin Road.

(iii) The proposed focussed change to R18 does not relate to the objectives of the Hospital redevelopment scheme and transfer of public woodland open space.

(iv) These objections are supported by a serious caveat in the Addendum to the SA Report prepared by AECOM Infrastructure, and a conclusion which is couched in evasive language.

(v) The Addendum is qualified by being in accordance with the established budget, and also states that information provided by third parties has not been checked. At paragraph 2.5.3 of the Addendum there is a caveat that costly "costly access and transport infrastructure upgrades will be required in order to ensure a good flow of traffic and support safe access by walking and cycling..... There is a need to question whether scheme viability could be adversely affected as a result in the reduction in the number of homes". In other words, the work has not been carried out to justify the soundness of the change

(vi) The conclusion to the Addendum at paragraph 2.5.6 is equivocal. It makes the highly dubious assertion that a response to (some but not all) concerns has positive implications for community objectives while highlighting an unquantified degree of uncertainty concerning infrastructure, including community infrastructure at DHGV.

(vii). It is open to the Council to make an order under the Highways Act creating a byway for all traffic over its easement. This would open up the public open space transferred in 2011, which has been the subject since then of encroachments and trespass. There are other options. However, the focussed change proposed seeks to take a line of minimum development with some onsite open space to avoid grasping the nettle of integrated planned development. This is unsound, unjustified and inimical to national planning policy objectives and not in the public interest.

Philip Cunliffe-Jones

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26776 - 1406 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - ii, iii

**26861 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christina Atkins [8118]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Site received less than 1% of total Reg 19 responses. Brownfield sites should be prioritised over greenfield sites and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 and R26 should be removed entirely. Would make much more sense as Buses and Trains are close for people to go to work.

Change To Plan: The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 and R26 should be removed entirely.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26861 - 8118 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26892 Object****Respondent: L Apostolides [8836]****Agent: N/A**Summary: Q: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26892 - 8836 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26897 Object****Respondent: Mr Alex Atkins [8126]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. I agree that brownfield sites should be released first before any building can be completed on greenfield

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26897 - 8126 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26905 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Atkins [8837]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26905 - 8837 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26910 Object****Respondent: Mr Joseph W E Atkins [8703]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Green belt land should not be used at all, Brownfield Sites should be used.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26910 - 8703 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26915 Object****Respondent: Ms Lynn Baggott [8721]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26915 - 8721 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26933 Object****Respondent: Mr. Clive Austin [7186]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26933 - 7186 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26941 Object****Respondent: Mr Harry Austin [8839]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26941 - 8839 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26946 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Jill Austin [7272]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R26 and R25 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26946 - 7272 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26965 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Stevens [8840]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26965 - 8840 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26970 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Quested [8452]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26970 - 8452 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26975 Object****Respondent: Mr John Adkins [8734]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26975 - 8734 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26980 Object****Respondent: Ms Anne Adkins [8735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26980 - 8735 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26985 Object****Respondent: Mr Matthew Aiken [8827]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26985 - 8827 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26990 Object****Respondent: Kerry Allardyce [8828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26990 - 8828 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26993 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Bacon [8841]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26993 - 8841 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26998 Object****Respondent: Mr David Barfoot [7177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Given the lengths that the council goes through to protect the historic value of villages such as Blackmore, I find it staggering that it is then willing to build on green belt in the same area. If the precedent is set, where does it stop? Only Brownfield sites should be used

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26998 - 7177 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27005 Object****Respondent: Mr Liam Allardyce [8829]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27005 - 8829 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27010 Object****Respondent: Bernard Allen [8830]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27010 - 8830 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27015 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Allen [8831]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27015 - 8831 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27018 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Barfoot [7200]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. A - I disagree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27018 - 7200 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27025 Object****Respondent: Toni Allen [8832]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27025 - 8832 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27030 Object****Respondent: Tallulah Allen [8833]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27030 - 8833 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27035 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Allington [8316]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27035 - 8316 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27040 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Andrews [8834]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27040 - 8834 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27045 Object****Respondent: Ms Melanie Andrews [8826]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27045 - 8826 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27048 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Barrett [8842]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27048 - 8842 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27054 Object****Respondent: Ms Mandy Anthony [8737]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27054 - 8737 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27060 Object****Respondent: Mr Paul Anthony [6823]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27060 - 6823 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27063 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Bartrop [8651]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27063 - 8651 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27068 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Bartrop [8650]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27068 - 8650 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27073 Object****Respondent: Ms Anita Bastin [8843]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27073 - 8843 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27078 Object****Respondent: Ms Pauline Davidson [6327]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Blackmore sites are Green Belt so surely the brownfield sites should be used first.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27078 - 6327 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27083 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Bastin [8844]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 ad R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27083 - 8844 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27088 Object****Respondent: Mr James Baur [8845]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. I totally disagree with Greenfield sites being used for housing development.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27088 - 8845 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27093 Object****Respondent: Karen Baur [1079]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree  
Our greenbelt sites are precious and need to be preserved for future generations to come. Once they are used for housing this can never be recovered. There are plenty of brown fill sites that are alternatives and these should be used to the maximum.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27093 - 1079 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27098 Object****Respondent: Mr Kurt Baur [8846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Greenfield areas need to be protected for future generations to enjoy and to preserve the wildlife that live in these areas.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27098 - 8846 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27103 Object****Respondent: Mr Gordon Beaman [8848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27103 - 8848 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27104 Object****Respondent: Mr Gordon Beaman [8848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27104 - 8848 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27109 Object****Respondent: Mrs Eileen Beazley [8700]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27109 - 8700 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27116 Object****Respondent: Mr Ron Beazley [4831]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27116 - 4831 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27119 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Bedford [8673]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27119 - 8673 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27124 Object****Respondent: Mavis Beeching [8849]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27124 - 8849 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27129 Object****Respondent: Mr. Robert Beeching [3839]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27129 - 3839 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27134 Object****Respondent: Mr Cameron Beman [8850]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27134 - 8850 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27143 Object****Respondent: Mr. Brian Rafis [4554]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. Should not have a reduction this should be withdrawn Brownfield site.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27143 - 4554 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27148 Object****Respondent: Ms Diane Randall [8851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27148 - 8851 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27154 Object****Respondent: Mr John Randall [8852]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan: Remove 25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27154 - 8852 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27155 Object****Respondent: Mr David Bennett [8649]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27155 - 8649 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27163 Object****Respondent: Mr Andy Davies [8853]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27163 - 8853 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27168 Object****Respondent: Ann Davis [4404]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. The use of Green Belt land for housing should only be considered when brownfield land has been exhausted.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27168 - 4404 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27173 Object****Respondent: Mr Robert Davis [4789]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27173 - 4789 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27178 Object****Respondent: Ms Maria J Bennett [8723]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27178 - 8723 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27183 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Bills [8854]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. If there are brownfield sites still to be used. All of these should be used first. I believe greenfield sites should never be used

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27183 - 8854 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27188 Object****Respondent: Mr Arthur Birch [4769]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27188 - 4769 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27193 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Birch [8730]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 1: POLICY R01 - Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation. The allocation should be further increased and the delivery programme accelerated in order to remove policies R25 and R26 from the LDP  
A - I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27193 - 8730 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27198 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Birch [8158]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27198 - 8158 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27203 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Bishop [8855]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27203 - 8855 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27208 Object****Respondent: Mr Cliff Black [8729]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27208 - 8729 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27213 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Black [8728]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27213 - 8728 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27218 Object****Respondent: Mr Tim Black [8248]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R256 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27218 - 8248 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27223 Object****Respondent: Ms Pam Blackmore [8856]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27223 - 8856 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27228 Object****Respondent: Ms Rosemary Blowes [8857]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27228 - 8857 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27233 Object****Respondent: Alison Ratcliffe [8860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. These sites are next to existing housing and have the infrastructure and roads needed to access them. Increasing the density also makes sense.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The ECM held at Brentwood BC on 8/11/18, when sites 25 and 26 were formally included in the LDP was undemocratic and flawed, and the debate should be held again and conducted properly

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27233 - 8860 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27245 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Bradley [8861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27245 - 8861 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27253 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ella Bradley [4875]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27253 - 4875 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27258 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874] Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. The density on this site needs to be increased to NPPF advised levels. As you will note from the number of people who responded in February-March 2019 it seems incongruous that numbers on a Brownfield site should ever have been considered for a reduction.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The LDP, in so far as the 2 Blackmore sites are concerned, was never written strategically and indeed prior to Reg 18 the BBC position was the correct position i.e. R25 and R26 are wholly inappropriate for development. We therefore need to reverse out of Regs 18 and 19 and return us to the correct position as stated in January 2016.

Legally Compliant?: No Duty to Co-operate?: No Sound?: No Tests: i, ii, iii, iv Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27258 - 4874 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27261 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Brassett [8862] Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified Sound?: Not Specified Tests: None Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27261 - 8862 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27267 Object****Respondent: Mrs Judith Brewster [8863] Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. There are insufficient amenities and services available to people in Blackmore as it is. The result of extra population will cause these to be stretched so far that the village will not be able to cope. We already have very poor broadband (I have 1 mgb at best, normally .65) and no mobile signal.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified Sound?: Not Specified Tests: None Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27267 - 8863 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27274 Object****Respondent: D. Rawlings [1058] Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No Duty to Co-operate?: No Sound?: No Tests: i, ii, iii, iv Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27274 - 1058 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27275 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kelly BRITTLETON [8097] Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified Sound?: Not Specified Tests: None Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27275 - 8097 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27282 Object****Respondent: Mr Robert J Brittleton [8724]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27282 - 8724 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27287 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lisa Rawlings [8555]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27287 - 8555 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27302 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27302 - 8011 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27308 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Rayner [8553]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27308 - 8553 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27313 Object****Respondent: David Read [8864]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27313 - 8864 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27321 Object****Respondent: Vera Read [8865]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27321 - 8865 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27333 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brooks [8683]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27333 - 8683 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27341 Object****Respondent: Mr Ray Brooks [8643]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27341 - 8643 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27365 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27365 - 8686 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27368 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sara Harris [8122]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27368 - 8122 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27373 Object****Respondent: Ms Leanne Hartley [8325]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27373 - 8325 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27378 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Herring [4841]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27378 - 4841 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27383 Object****Respondent: Miss Jade Hayes [8136]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27383 - 8136 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27388 Object****Respondent: Mrs Helen Haynes [8416]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27388 - 8416 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27395 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Haynes [8138]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27395 - 8138 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27402 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Heed [8868]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27402 - 8868 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27405 Object****Respondent: Mr Raymond Hatfield [8869]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27405 - 8869 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27410 Object****Respondent: Ms Joanne Browne [8870]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27410 - 8870 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27415 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Budd [8871]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27415 - 8871 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27420 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Budd [8872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27420 - 8872 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27425 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Reed [4708]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree.  
R18 should never have been removed from the LDP and both R25 & R26 should never have been put back into the LDP as these two were originally excluded because they failed to meet infrastructure requirements and would further increase the already known flood risk for the areas in question.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26 and let the village undertake it's own survey for what the residents need - which will ONLY go on Brownfield.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27425 - 4708 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27427 Object****Respondent: Mr Carl Budge [8873]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27427 - 8873 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27435 Object****Respondent: Theresa Reed [8876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. THis is an ideal use of a brownfield site - very sensible.

Change To Plan: The proposed developments in Blackmore are not only disproportionate, but suffering from the location of our village in proximity to other developments not under the control of Brentwood.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27435 - 8876 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27440 Object****Respondent: Ms Kaye Bundy [8874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27440 - 8874 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27443 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Richardson [4859]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27443 - 4859 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27451 Object****Respondent: Ian Richardson [8878]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27451 - 8878 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27456 Object****Respondent: Mr John Richardson [4858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27456 - 4858 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27461 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Richardson [8192]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27461 - 8192 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27468 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Richardson [7330]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27468 - 7330 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27469 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Burgess [5030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27469 - 5030 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27475 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Richardson [8562]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27475 - 8562 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27480 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sue Rigley [8879]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27480 - 8879 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27485 Object****Respondent: Steve Rigley [8880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27485 - 8880 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27491 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Burgess [4863]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27491 - 4863 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27496 Object****Respondent: Mrs Brigid Robinson [4897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27496 - 4897 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27500 Object****Respondent: Mr Shaun Burnett [8881]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27500 - 8881 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27506 Object****Respondent: Jaqueline Robinson [8883]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27506 - 8883 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27509 Object****Respondent: Mr. Christopher Burrow [4618]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27509 - 4618 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27516 Object****Respondent: Ms Jean Bury [8716]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27516 - 8716 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27521 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Robinson [4899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27521 - 4899 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27526 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Bury [8717]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. As in keeping with National government policy

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27526 - 8717 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27531 Object****Respondent: Mr David Rolfs [8566]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. Central Government has said that Green Belt must be protected. It appears that the BBC is disregarding the green belt status of the village.

Change To Plan: Blackmore has great history, dating back to Tudor times, with its church going back considerably further. We must care for such a heritage. We do not want it destroyed "on our watch".

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27531 - 8566 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27536 Object****Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Rolfs [8567]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Deal Tree Health Centre is already operating at figures beyond the optimum number of patients per GP, as outlined in the Brentwood Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). New housing has already impacted this further, with developments in Rookery Road and The Elms in Lower Road Mountnessing, along with travellers who have occupied land on the Chelmsford Road all squeezing Deal Tree Health Centre further. The addition of the proposed new properties in Blackmore under R25 and R26 will further exacerbate the problem.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27536 - 8567 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27541 Object****Respondent: Andrew Romang [8884]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27541 - 8884 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27546 Object****Respondent: Ms Jan Butler [8885]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Blackmore is a heritage village and as such it's integrity should be maintained. There should be little intrusive developments.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27546 - 8885 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27550 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Butler [5017]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27550 - 5017 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27555 Object****Respondent: Ms Bonnie Cain [8886]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27555 - 8886 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27560 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Carter [8887]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27560 - 8887 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27565 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. The density on this, Brownfield, site should be increased, not decreased

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27565 - 4874 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27570 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Romang [8107]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27570 - 8107 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27575 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Romang [4374]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27575 - 4374 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27580 Object****Respondent: Mr Clive Rosewell [8563]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27580 - 8563 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27585 Object****Respondent: Joanne Ryan [8889]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27585 - 8889 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27590 Object****Respondent: Nichola Ryan [8890]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27590 - 8890 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27595 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Ryan [4937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27595 - 4937 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27600 Object****Respondent: Robert Ryan [8891]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27600 - 8891 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27605 Object****Respondent: Mr Callum Cartwright [8370]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. The council must take into account and prioritise the use of brownfield sites.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27605 - 8370 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27608 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Sanders [8474]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27608 - 8474 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27615 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Sanders [4923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27615 - 4923 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27620 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree There are several brown field sites now being developed which were not taken into account during the original process and it is essential that they are now included to stop even further over development of the village and to stop any green belt developments

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27620 - 7193 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27626 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. There are known brownfield sites that the council have not looked at or considered in the planning process. The proposed building in red rose farm is an example.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27626 - 7195 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27628 Object****Respondent: Mrs Malanie Sanders [8511]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. Crescent Drive is a brown field site with good road access and various local amenities already in place. Blackmore are green field sites with poor lane access, amenities already at breaking point. Constant flooding is also a major issue.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27628 - 8511 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27635 Object****Respondent: Mr Barry Casswell [8888]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27635 - 8888 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27640 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Saunders [8386]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R6 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27640 - 8386 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27645 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Caton [8657]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27645 - 8657 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27651 Object****Respondent: Ms Marjorie Herring [8893]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27651 - 8893 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27652 Object****Respondent: Ronald Barry Saunders [8894]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27652 - 8894 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27660 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Sites R25 and R26 are agricultural land grade 2 land in the green belt. They should be removed and Brownfields sites such as the land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield or R18 should be increased back to a minimum of 55 so keeping to the priority of utilising Brownfield sites over precious Greenfield sites.

Change To Plan: R25 and R26 should be removed and R18 should be increased back to a minimum of 55

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27660 - 8634 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27662 Object****Respondent: Mr John Caton [4881]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27662 - 4881 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27666 Object****Respondent: Mr David Saxton [4286]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27666 - 4286 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27674 Object****Respondent: Mr David Chalkley [8671]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27674 - 8671 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27680 Object****Respondent: Miss Carole Scott [8541]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. This site should not be reduced

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27680 - 8541 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27685 Object****Respondent: Ms Kim Chalkney [8895]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27685 - 8895 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27688 Object****Respondent: Stephen Scott [8896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree.  
They should be fully utilising this site & encouraging the building of flats as is haapeening in all UK towns & cities to cope with the growing demand

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27688 - 8896 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27693 Object****Respondent: Ms Susan Hill [8897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27693 - 8897 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27698 Object****Respondent: Kerry Hipgrave [8898]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27698 - 8898 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27703 Object****Respondent: Mr Rick Hipgrave [8899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27703 - 8899 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27708 Object****Respondent: Kay Hobbs [8900]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27708 - 8900 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27713 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Chambers [8300]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. This is a Brownfield site with good transport links and facilities

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27713 - 8300 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27718 Object****Respondent: Mrs Mandy Chambers [4846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27718 - 4846 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27723 Object****Respondent: Mrs Trina Chambers [8348]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Crescent Drive is Brownfield and with nearby transport links and all the facilities of Brentwood town

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27723 - 8348 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27728 Object****Respondent: Ms Julie Chandler [8352]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27728 - 8352 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27733 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anita Clark [8168]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Everything should be done to minimise impact on the Green Belt

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27733 - 8168 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27738 Object****Respondent: Mr Joshua Clark [8135]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27738 - 8135 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27743 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27743 - 2456 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27748 Object****Respondent: Mr David Coates [8133]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27748 - 8133 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27753 Object****Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cohen [8313]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27753 - 8313 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27758 Object****Respondent: Ms Karen Cohen [8901]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

This is where the Council should be building homes not green belt

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27758 - 8901 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27763 Object****Respondent: Mr Marc Cohen [4268]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27763 - 4268 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27768 Object****Respondent: Ms Wendy Cohen [6923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

It is entirely unacceptable to propose housing on green belt sites until all other sites have been used. Surely this is basic environmental sense.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27768 - 6923 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27773 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Colbert [8902]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree The Blackmore area does not have the infrastructure to accommodate such a large development as proposed.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27773 - 8902 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27778 Object****Respondent: Mr Barry Coldham [8656]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27778 - 8656 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27783 Object****Respondent: Mrs Louise Coldham [8666]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27783 - 8666 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27788 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Cole [8903]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27788 - 8903 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27793 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Cook [8794]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Brownfield site that as per government guidelines should be built upon before green belt so houses need to be re-instated

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27793 - 8794 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27798 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joann Cook [8669]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27798 - 8669 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27803 Object****Respondent: Mr Daniel Cracknell [8142]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27803 - 8142 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27808 Object****Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cross [7016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27808 - 7016 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27813 Object****Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27813 - 4547 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27818 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christine Tabor [8427]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27818 - 8427 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27823 Object****Respondent: Mr Frank Tabor [8424]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27823 - 8424 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27828 Object****Respondent: Ms Gloria Tanner [8904]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27828 - 8904 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27833 Object****Respondent: Miss Chloe Taylor [8429]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27833 - 8429 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27838 Object****Respondent: Mr Dean Taylor [6978]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27838 - 6978 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27843 Object****Respondent: Mrs Elisabeth Taylor [2918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27843 - 2918 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27848 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Taylor [8905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27848 - 8905 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27853 Object****Respondent: Mr James Taylor [8430]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27853 - 8430 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27858 Object****Respondent: Ms Nikki Taylor [8906]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27858 - 8906 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27863 Object****Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. The two plots R25 and R26 are designated grade 2 agricultural land should be removed, and alternative brownfield sites used, e.g. as in Land off Crescent Drive Shenfield.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27863 - 6880 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27868 Object****Respondent: Mr Steven Taylor [8431]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27868 - 8431 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27874 Object****Respondent: Ms Shirley Taylor [8907]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. We haven't the facilities to support this development including the increase vehicles on our roads. We have very special villages which are being always being spoiled with the increase of vehicles already.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27874 - 8907 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27875 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sophia Severn [4876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27875 - 4876 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27883 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sila Sheridan [5201]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27883 - 5201 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27888 Object****Respondent: Collin Sherwood [8908]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27888 - 8908 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27893 Object****Respondent: Mrs Valerie Sherwood [8015]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27893 - 8015 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27898 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Slimm [5042]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27898 - 5042 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27903 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Smith [8910]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27903 - 8910 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27908 Object****Respondent: Barry Smith [8911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27908 - 8911 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27913 Object****Respondent: Mr Ritchie Hobbs [8909]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27913 - 8909 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27918 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27918 - 4759 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27923 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janice Holbrook [4700]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27923 - 4700 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27928 Object****Respondent: Ms Lauren Holbrook [8912]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27928 - 8912 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27933 Object****Respondent: Miss Ami Holmes [8653]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27933 - 8653 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27938 Object****Respondent: Mr Ben Holmes [8654]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27938 - 8654 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27943 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Holmes [4693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27943 - 4693 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27948 Object****Respondent: Mr Ken Holmes [8691]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27948 - 8691 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27953 Object****Respondent: Mr Luke Holmes [8652]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27953 - 8652 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27958 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Holmes [8655]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27958 - 8655 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27964 Object****Respondent: Mrs Nicola Holmes [8668]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27964 - 8668 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27970 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shirley Holmes [8660]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27970 - 8660 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27973 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane House [8681]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27973 - 8681 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27978 Object****Respondent: Mr Howe [8913]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27978 - 8913 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27986 Object****Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Thompson [5016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27986 - 5016 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27987 Object****Respondent: Mrs Howe [8914]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27987 - 8914 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27995 Object****Respondent: Ms Charlotte Howe [8915]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27995 - 8915 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28000 Object****Respondent: Mr David Smith [4872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28000 - 4872 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28004 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gail Hughes [8638]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28004 - 8638 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28008 Object****Respondent: Mr James Hughes [8677]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28008 - 8677 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28013 Object****Respondent: Mr John Hughes [4500]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28013 - 4500 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28018 Object****Respondent: Joyce Smith [8917]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brownfield should not reduce numbers plus close to town centre with all the facilities

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28018 - 8917 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28027 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. I still even think, that an extra minimum of 55 houses in Crescent Drive, is going to cause traffic grid lock, both in Crescent Drive, and entering into the main road, leading to High Street, and from what I am witnessing there are a lot of garden infills being built, and offices changed into houses, which is going to cause massive over population in an already over crowded Brentwood.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28027 - 4735 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28033 Object****Respondent: Lesley Smith [8918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28033 - 8918 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28039 Object****Respondent: Marisa Smith [8919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28039 - 8919 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28040 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kate Hurford [4275]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28040 - 4275 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28049 Object****Respondent: William Alan Smith [8920]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Dunton Hills is much nearer to towns ie Basildon railway to Southend and London. Basildon hospital is a fine medical centre for heart and A&E. The Crescent Drive site is Brownfield near to all amenities but too expensive for the normal buyer so will not alleviate ordinary housing shortage.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. 1. Focussed Change 4 - PART D  
If you allow this farm to be developed whatever the developers say 12 dwellings they will be up to the A414 in the blink of an eye. 2. Focussed Change 5 - PART B  
Honeypot Lane is close to all amenities inc the M25 (both directions) and Romford. I lived in the area a lot of my life and I know it well. We were close to everything. It has good schools - St Peter's is a great attraction as are all of the senior schools. 3. Additional Comments The original meeting was conducted in a disgusting manner. No evidence was discussed about Blackmore, just a vote. Not the way to conduct an important meeting.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28049 - 8920 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28056 Object****Respondent: Malcolm Hurford [7304]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28056 - 7304 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28059 Object****Respondent: Ms Dawn Ireland [4861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28059 - 4861 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28064 Object****Respondent: Mrs Melanie Snelling [8547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28064 - 8547 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28069 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Snelling [6960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28069 - 6960 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28074 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Snook [8484]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28074 - 8484 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28079 Object****Respondent: Mr Nicholas Thorogood [8916]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28079 - 8916 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28086 Object****Respondent: Ms Annie Jackson [8921]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28086 - 8921 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28089 Object****Respondent: Ms Emma Thwaite [8922]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28089 - 8922 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28094 Object****Respondent: Mrs Deborah Thwaite [8175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Given the historic nature of Blackmore and the risk of flooding as well as the inadequate infrastructure to cope with additional housing it would be preferable to use the Brownfield site at Shenfield and the site at Dunton Hills for the houses which are proposed in Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28094 - 8175 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28099 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Thwaite [6964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. The plan should recognise existing planning approvals in the local area and also prioritise brownfield developments before destroying green belt land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28099 - 6964 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28104 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Thwaite [4475]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28104 - 4475 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28109 Object****Respondent: Mr Derek Tillet [8923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28109 - 8923 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28117 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. Dunton is in easy reach of the Fenchurch Street line, Basildon hospital, Basildon town it is better for commuters and young families. Crescent Drive, the blood bank, is Brownfield which is OK as long as there is protection for wildlife. These houses would be top end market so does little to relieve housing shortage in Brentwood - they are millionaire houses.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R6 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28117 - 8388 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28122 Object****Respondent: Peter Southgate [8925]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28122 - 8925 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28127 Object****Respondent: Vyvian Southgate [8926]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28127 - 8926 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28132 Object****Respondent: Deborah Spencer [8927]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28132 - 8927 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28137 Object****Respondent: Kevin Spencer [8928]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28137 - 8928 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28144 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Tomey [8428]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Blackmore should remain a small village. Local Amenities cannot accomodate a population increase. Local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, which more housing will cause.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28144 - 8428 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28149 Object****Respondent: Liam Spencer [8929]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28149 - 8929 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28154 Object****Respondent: Dean Spicer [8930]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28154 - 8930 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28160 Object****Respondent: Paul Springate [8931]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28160 - 8931 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28168 Object****Respondent: Mr Khodad Jahromi [8190]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28168 - 8190 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28173 Object****Respondent: Gulay Jahromi [8933]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28173 - 8933 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28178 Object****Respondent: Ms Mitra Jahromi [8934]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28178 - 8934 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28183 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Crescent Drive is in the midst of an urban area so development here is more appropriate as infrastructure is already in place.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28183 - 8177 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28189 Object****Respondent: David Janes [8935]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28189 - 8935 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28192 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28192 - 5175 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28197 Object****Respondent: Mrs Catherine Jennings [8693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28197 - 8693 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28202 Object****Respondent: Dr. S.J. Jennings [1497]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28202 - 1497 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28207 Object****Respondent: Nicola Joiner [8936]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28207 - 8936 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28212 Object****Respondent: Aidan Jones [8937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28212 - 8937 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28219 Object****Respondent: Chloe Jones [8938]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28219 - 8938 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28222 Object****Respondent: Diane Jones [8939]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28222 - 8939 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28227 Object****Respondent: Miss Heather Jones [8318]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28227 - 8318 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28232 Object****Respondent: Iris Jones [8495]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Green belt applications must be considered as a last resort particularly when there are brownfield options available  
Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28232 - 8495 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28239 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jones [8690]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28239 - 8690 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28244 Object****Respondent: Ms Sophie Jones [8940]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28244 - 8940 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28253 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Staples [8526]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28253 - 8526 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28257 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Joyner [8375]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield. (11% of total responses, March 2019) Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28257 - 8375 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28262 Object****Respondent: Brenda Juniper [8493]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28262 - 8493 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28267 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Staples [8527]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28267 - 8527 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28272 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ann Stenning [8546]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28272 - 8546 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28275 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Juniper [8129]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28275 - 8129 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28280 Object****Respondent: Mr Terence Stenning [8544]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28280 - 8544 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28286 Object****Respondent: Andrew Stevens [8942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28286 - 8942 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28292 Object****Respondent: Benjamin Stevens [8943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28292 - 8943 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28296 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: A major concern is the infrastructure of the areas will not cope with more population. I agree - Dunton Hills can accommodate the houses planned for Blackmore  
Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28296 - 8944 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28300 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Stevens [4958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree. There are more suitable brownfield sites within Brentwood. Our very own BVHA have identified such a site before green belt needs to be destroyed and lost forever.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. Blackmore has been incorrectly graded and placed in the wrong category. The proposal is unsound and also there has not been enough corroboration between Brentwood and Epping, who have already placed a burden on housing which is right on the Brentwood border and this will directly affect Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28300 - 4958 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28308 Object****Respondent: Lynn Stevens [8945]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28308 - 8945 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28314 Object****Respondent: Sandra Stock [8946]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28314 - 8946 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28318 Object****Respondent: Mrs Cynthia Kirby [8453]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28318 - 8453 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28322 Object****Respondent: Lynne Stocks [8947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28322 - 8947 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28328 Object****Respondent: Mr David Kirby [8454]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28328 - 8454 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28332 Object****Respondent: Richard Stocks [8948]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28332 - 8948 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28337 Object****Respondent: Iain Stretton [8949]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28337 - 8949 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28342 Object****Respondent: Samantha Stretton [8950]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28342 - 8950 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28347 Object****Respondent: Jennifer Stucky [8951]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28347 - 8951 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28352 Object****Respondent: Steve Stuckey [8952]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28352 - 8952 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28357 Object****Respondent: Christine Swettenham [8953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28357 - 8953 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28362 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Tomey [8448]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Blackmore should remain a small village. Local Amenities cannot accommodate a population increase. Local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, which more housing will cause.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28362 - 8448 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28367 Object****Respondent: Edward Davis [8954]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. The use of green belt land for housing should only be considered when brown field land has been exhausted

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28367 - 8954 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28372 Object****Respondent: Miss Harriet Davis [8440]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. The use of green belt land for housing should only be considered when brown field land has been exhausted

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28372 - 8440 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28377 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dean [8434]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. I do not think more houses should be built as amenities are stretched as it is.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28377 - 8434 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28382 Object****Respondent: Sharon Decastro-Bunce [8955]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28382 - 8955 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28387 Object****Respondent: Allan Roy Dickinson [8956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. As already expressed the village facilities are fully stretched and any additional traffic from further development would increase the existing danger in the village centre.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28387 - 8956 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28392 Object****Respondent: Mr Louis Tregent [8924]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28392 - 8924 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28396 Object****Respondent: Mr Paul Tregent [8437]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28396 - 8437 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28402 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Tregent [8433]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28402 - 8433 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28407 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28407 - 8777 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28412 Object****Respondent: Mr Dennis Trumble [8418]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28412 - 8418 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28417 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Trumble [5029]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28417 - 5029 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28424 Object****Respondent: Cariss Tsui [8694]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. There is no need to use greenfield sites if there is a brownfield site available.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28424 - 8694 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28429 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rita Tuffey [4620]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28429 - 4620 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28434 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Tuffey [4621]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28434 - 4621 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28439 Object****Respondent: Mr Giovanni Vaccari [8957]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28439 - 8957 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28444 Object****Respondent: Mr Pete Vince [8123]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28444 - 8123 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28449 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Wakelin [8958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28449 - 8958 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28454 Object****Respondent: Ms Natalie Walters [8959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28454 - 8959 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28459 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Ward [8960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28459 - 8960 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28464 Object****Respondent: Ms Stephanie Kmiotek-Mutton [8961]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28464 - 8961 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28469 Object****Respondent: Harry Krajicek [8962]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28469 - 8962 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28474 Object****Respondent: Ms Madeline Krajicek [8963]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28474 - 8963 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28479 Object****Respondent: Stephen Krajicek [8964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28479 - 8964 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28486 Object****Respondent: Mr John Laing [8501]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28486 - 8501 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28489 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Laing [7046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28489 - 7046 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28495 Object****Respondent: Mr John Warner [5018]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28495 - 5018 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28499 Object****Respondent: Sarah Louise Lapena [8965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28499 - 8965 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28503 Object****Respondent: Mrs Linda Watkinson [4984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28503 - 4984 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28511 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Lawrenson [6958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28511 - 6958 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28514 Object****Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Watson [8966]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28514 - 8966 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28522 Object****Respondent: Mr Jon Watson [7112]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28522 - 7112 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28527 Object****Respondent: Mr Tony Watson [8967]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28527 - 8967 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28532 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Lennon [747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28532 - 747 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28535 Object****Respondent: Mr Eric John Webb [1830]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. This is much more appropriate development bearing in mind the guidelines for these matters

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28535 - 1830 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28542 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Webb [4919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28542 - 4919 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28546 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Close to a mainline rail station.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28546 - 4396 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28552 Object****Respondent: Ms Michelle Lockton [8968]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brownfield site should not be reduced

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28552 - 8968 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28555 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joan Westover [4635]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
 A - I agree. 1) Roads in the vicinity of the village could not cope with any more traffic (especially heavy) traffic across the bridge near the green 2) A very narrow road in Redrose Lane, and other roads in Blackmore. A lot more traffic is an accident waiting to happen as parents bringing children to school who walk to school would be made very difficult to be able with any safety to go by foot.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28555 - 4635 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28562 Object****Respondent: Keith Lodge [8969]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
 I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28562 - 8969 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28567 Object****Respondent: Ms Maureen Wheeler [8970]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
 A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28567 - 8970 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28574 Object****Respondent: Mr Andy Wilkins [8972]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
 A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28574 - 8972 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28577 Object****Respondent: Graeme Logan [8971]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
 I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28577 - 8971 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28582 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kim Lucas [4711]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28582 - 4711 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28587 Object****Respondent: Mr Stuart Lucas [4956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28587 - 4956 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28593 Object****Respondent: Mr Nicholas Wilkinson [8406]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. It seems ridiculous that if Brownfield sites exist then they are not being considered over Greenfield sites.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28593 - 8406 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28594 Object****Respondent: Sean Lucas [8973]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28594 - 8973 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28602 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hayley Maclaurin [7097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28602 - 7097 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28607 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Manning [8974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28607 - 8974 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28612 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Wilks [8975]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28612 - 8975 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28615 Object****Respondent: Duncan Maclaurin [8976]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28615 - 8976 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28622 Object****Respondent: Mrs Edna Williams [4728]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28622 - 4728 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28627 Object****Respondent: Ms Elaine Williams [8159]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28627 - 8159 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28632 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Wiltshire [7141]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree.

As I said before, Blackmore cannot sustain the amount of development that was proposed. We as a village have not capacity for schools or doctors lists, nor in fact for retail shops.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28632 - 7141 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28637 Object****Respondent: Mr John Wollaston [8183]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28637 - 8183 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28642 Object****Respondent: Mrs Marion Woolaston [8397]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28642 - 8397 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28647 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Wood [6965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I disagree that Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28647 - 6965 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28652 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Wood [8720]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28652 - 8720 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28657 Object****Respondent: Mr Neal Woodford [8978]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. What is the rationale for reducing units on this site? It's the former Blood Testing Unit, been closed for years and is an ideal, brownfield redevelopment plot.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28657 - 8978 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28662 Object****Respondent: Mr Matthew Woodward [8979]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Green belt stay green

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28662 - 8979 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28667 Object****Respondent: Ms Ann Wright [8980]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28667 - 8980 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28672 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen York [8748]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28672 - 8748 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28677 Object****Respondent: Ms Barbara Young [8981]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Shenfield is just where Brentwood Council should be building homes near the station with facilities not in villages with no facilities & you have to drive everywhere

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28677 - 8981 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28682 Object****Respondent: Charlie Pyke [8982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28682 - 8982 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28687 Object****Respondent: Ms Hannah Pyke [8983]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28687 - 8983 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28692 Object****Respondent: Mr Harry Pyke [8984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28692 - 8984 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28697 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Pyke [8985]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28697 - 8985 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28702 Object****Respondent: Ms Eve Pulford [8987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28702 - 8987 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28707 Object****Respondent: Mr Daniel Pulford [8988]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28707 - 8988 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28712 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Marchant [8569]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28712 - 8569 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28724 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Marr [6006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28724 - 6006 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28727 Object****Respondent: Surrell McGovern [8991]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28727 - 8991 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28731 Object****Respondent: Tom McLaren [8992]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

The number of houses allocated to Blackmore is small and can easily be accommodated through either windfall sites or existing brownfield sites within the borough which are not currently scheduled for development.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28731 - 8992 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28736 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Miers [8695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28736 - 8695 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28741 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Miers [3959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28741 - 3959 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28746 Object****Respondent: Alex Mills [8993]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28746 - 8993 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28751 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Mills [8533]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28751 - 8533 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28756 Object****Respondent: Greg Mills [8994]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28756 - 8994 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28761 Object****Respondent: Ms Karen Page [9000]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28761 - 9000 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28766 Object****Respondent: Ms Marquite Peacham [8999]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Council should be maximising this site not reducing.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28766 - 8999 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28771 Object****Respondent: Ms Janice Plummer [8997]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Should not be reduced brownfield site

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28771 - 8997 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28776 Object****Respondent: Ms Judith Phillips [8615]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Brownfield site near town centre with all subsequent facilities including public transport

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28776 - 8615 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28781 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jill Pritchard [4269]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. The rural infrastructure is already unable to accommodate the amount new properties that have been built to date

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28781 - 4269 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28786 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Power [8610]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28786 - 8610 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28791 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Poulton [8149]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28791 - 8149 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28795 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Poulton [8119]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree  
Greenfield sites should not be used when there are brownfield options available in the borough which are under utilised

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28795 - 8119 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28801 Object****Respondent: Miss Natasha Playle [4291]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28801 - 4291 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28806 Object****Respondent: Ms Polyblank [8996]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28806 - 8996 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28811 Object****Respondent: Ms Gillian Pope [8995]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28811 - 8995 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28816 Object****Respondent: Lloyd Piper [8616]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28816 - 8616 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28821 Object****Respondent: Mr Frederick Piper [8380]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28821 - 8380 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28827 Object****Respondent: Mrs Eileen Piper [8381]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. This is brownfield near the town centre with all facilities and transport close by so no reduction should be allowed

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28827 - 8381 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28828 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brownfield should always be used as a preference to Green Belt, infrastructure, transport already exist

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28828 - 8417 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28836 Object****Respondent: Mr Douglas Piper [603]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28836 - 603 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28841 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Dimond [7055]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Reducing the proposed number of houses on the Blackmore green belt sites does not address the objections to the LDP regarding unjustifiable loss of green belt.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28841 - 7055 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28846 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Dimond [4851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brownfield sites should be fully utilised in preference to green belt.  
National Planning Policy requires all alternatives to be considered before green belt is approved for housing.

Change To Plan: Development in remote rural villages such as Blackmore will inevitably lead to increased road traffic because of the lack of jobs and infrastructure. More suitable sites with far better infrastructure are not being fully utilised. All proposed alterations to green belt boundaries should be fully evidenced and justified according to National Planning Policy and this has not happened, the choice of sites has been developer-lead. Alternatives to green belt development in the immediate vicinity of Blackmore village are being ignored by the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28846 - 4851 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28851 Object****Respondent: Mr Conrad Dixon [8688]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brownfield sites should be prioritised for environmental conservation reasons

Change To Plan: The extra demand on infrastructure has not been adequately planned for or costed. To proceed on this basis would be reckless, given the risk of road traffic accidents and higher flood risk. There are more sound locations available for the proposed developments.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28851 - 8688 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28856 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Dodd [5498]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28856 - 5498 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28861 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Dodd [4828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: I am concerned by the development being undertaken by Epping Council on Fingrith Hall Lane that is a real threat to Blackmore local services. There does not appear to have been any published consultation between Brentwood planners and Epping DC and no evidence of working together planners that is a requirement in these circumstances. This should be rectified without further delay.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28861 - 4828 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28864 Object****Respondent: Jack Mills [9001]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28864 - 9001 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28871 Object****Respondent: Carla Downton [9002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28871 - 9002 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28876 Object****Respondent: Jane Mills [9003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28876 - 9003 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28881 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Downton [8432]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28881 - 8432 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28886 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Mills [6982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28886 - 6982 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28891 Object****Respondent: Christine Drew [9004]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28891 - 9004 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28895 Object****Respondent: Anna Dunk [8426]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28895 - 8426 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28898 Object****Respondent: Toby Mills [9005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28898 - 9005 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28905 Object****Respondent: Dennis Mitchell [9006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28905 - 9006 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28910 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorna Mitchell [8391]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28910 - 8391 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28916 Object****Respondent: Mr Sean Moore [8520]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28916 - 8520 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28920 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shui-Lin Moore [8521]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brown Belt needs to be used 1st and foremost.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28920 - 8521 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28925 Object****Respondent: Anastasia Mootosamy [9007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28925 - 9007 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28930 Object****Respondent: John Moppett [9008]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28930 - 9008 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28935 Object****Respondent: Mr Bryan Moreton [8513]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28935 - 8513 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28940 Object****Respondent: Gloria Moreton [9009]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28940 - 9009 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28945 Object****Respondent: Samantha Dunk [8438]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Just to reinforce the fact that the infrastructure in our tiny village is wholly inadequate to support building on the scale proposed on our beautiful Green Belt land. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28945 - 8438 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28950 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Durdant-Pead [8117]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. Green belt should be preserved wherever possible. The trees are important for reducing greenhouse gases and the land provides much needed habitat for wildlife creatures and drainage.

Change To Plan: Had Blackmore been given the correct status in keeping with its size and facilities then this situation would never have got underway. Blackmore is not a 'Large Village' given it only has one local corner shop to provide for its current residents. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28950 - 8117 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28955 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Durdant-Pead [8326]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: As a new resident in Blackmore it is obvious that the Village cannot sustain the proposed growth to the population by way of more housing. The Village is not a 'Large Village' and does not meet the criteria to be considered as such. Therefore the current LDP for Blackmore should be abandoned.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28955 - 8326 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28960 Object****Respondent: Mr John Eaton [8124]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree. We should not be building on green field sites if their are brownfield sites available.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28960 - 8124 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28965 Object****Respondent: Kirsty Edwards [8450]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28965 - 8450 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28970 Object****Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely. I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28970 - 8477 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28975 Object****Respondent: J Ellis [9010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28975 - 9010 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28980 Object****Respondent: Matthew Emerson [9011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28980 - 9011 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28985 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28985 - 4848 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28990 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28990 - 4987 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**28995 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Morgan [4505]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28995 - 4505 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29000 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lesley Moss [7053]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29000 - 7053 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29005 Object****Respondent: Mr and Mrs Brian and Lesley Moss [2905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29005 - 2905 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29010 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Moulder [4719]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29010 - 4719 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29016 Object****Respondent: Stuart Moulder [4713]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. Brownfield site opportunities should be fully exhausted before any consideration is given to greenfield sites particularly in the Green Belt.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29016 - 4713 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29020 Object****Respondent: Mr Gerald Mountstevens [4911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29020 - 4911 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29025 Object****Respondent: Mr Lewis Pincombe [8745]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29025 - 8745 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29030 Object****Respondent: Patricia Mountstevens [9012]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29030 - 9012 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29034 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Pincombe [8614]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29034 - 8614 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29044 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Pavitt [8746]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29044 - 8746 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29049 Object****Respondent: Dr Murray Wood [7003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29049 - 7003 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29054 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Pavitt [8747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29054 - 8747 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29059 Object****Respondent: Ms Sylvia Pascoe [7953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29059 - 7953 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29064 Object****Respondent: Mr John and Maureen Murrell [6846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29064 - 6846 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29069 Object****Respondent: Mr Tony Parris [9013]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Should not be removed is rownfield

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29069 - 9013 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29074 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29074 - 8315 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29079 Object****Respondent: Ms Sheena Parish [9014]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29079 - 9014 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29084 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beth Pardoe [8613]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29084 - 8613 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29089 Object****Respondent: Mr Albert Pardoe [8002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29089 - 8002 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29094 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Pallet [1313]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree. We must protect our green belt for future generations

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29094 - 1313 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29099 Object****Respondent: Miss Emily Dimond [7227]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: I believe the more suitable brownfield locations have not been fully considered before planning building on Blackmore's Greenfield sites (R25 & R26). As recommendation under the National Planning Policy all other alternatives should be fully considered before greenbelt development is authorised. I therefore wholly OBJECT to the inclusion of these sites within the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29099 - 7227 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29104 Object****Respondent: Callie Emmett [9019]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29104 - 9019 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29109 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Owen [9016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Brownfield should not be reduced

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29109 - 9016 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29113 Object****Respondent: MR David Emmett [8445]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29113 - 8445 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29119 Object****Respondent: Ms Amanda Owen [9017]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29119 - 9017 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29123 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Emmett [8372]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29123 - 8372 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29128 Object****Respondent: Ms Jennifer Emmett [4896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29128 - 4896 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29134 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Emmett [8436]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29134 - 8436 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29141 Object****Respondent: Mr Scott Osborne [8456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29141 - 8456 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29144 Object****Respondent: Mrs Faye Osborne [8458]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29144 - 8458 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29149 Object****Respondent: Mr John Orbell [4805]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29149 - 4805 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29155 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gemma Olley [8462]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29155 - 8462 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29157 Object****Respondent: Ann Eustace [9020]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. This is where new houses should be built not reduced, town centre

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29157 - 9020 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29163 Object****Respondent: Mr David Olley [8461]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Brentwood Council should be encouraging the building of flats on this Brownfield site as all towns are doing this now to meet housing demand, so they should increase homes on this site not reduce

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29163 - 8461 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29169 Object****Respondent: Kathleen Evans [9021]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29169 - 9021 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29172 Object****Respondent: Mr Neil O'Riordan [8630]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29172 - 8630 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29178 Object****Respondent: Pat Fahy [9022]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove 25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29178 - 9022 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29184 Object****Respondent: Pat Fearnley [9024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29184 - 9024 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29189 Object****Respondent: Mr Brett O'Hara [9023]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29189 - 9023 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29194 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew O'Hara [9025]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29194 - 9025 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29199 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29199 - 5892 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29204 Object****Respondent: Ms Suzanne O'Hara [9026]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. No reduction should be allowed as Brownfield sites should be built on first as per government directive

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29204 - 9026 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29209 Object****Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29209 - 9027 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29216 Object****Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29216 - 9027 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29219 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29219 - 5892 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29225 Object****Respondent: Ms Tracey O'Brien [9028]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree brownfield should be utilised more fully

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29225 - 9028 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29226 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Finlay [8191]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29226 - 8191 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29234 Object****Respondent: Ms Jill Griffiths [5024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29234 - 5024 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29240 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Gregory [9029]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29240 - 9029 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29241 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ceri Fisher [8459]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree. The brownfield sites around Blackmore have already been built on and do represent an opportunity to redevelop these lands without building on more land. There is the Redrose site, Aylings farm and the site at Dunton Village Gardens

Change To Plan: The process that has been followed seems flawed. 1. The parish comments were not taken into consideration at the hearing when the decision was made by BBC because they ran out of time and the parish representations were not heard. 2. I do not believe that the local villagers concerns have been listened to or addressed, hence the strong feelings that have caused the formation of BVHA and so many responses for the size of our community. 3. There are other sites more suitable that have not been considered, eg. Stondon Massey Parish have welcomed opportunities for more housing to regenerate their village. 4. The broader development picture has not been looked at, the development plans of Epping Borough council and the already agreed building that is going on. 5. A proper impact study has not been completed looking at whether the village can cope with this level of development, looking at the whole picture of recent housing expansion not just the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29241 - 8459 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29249 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anne Gregory [4305]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29249 - 4305 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29255 Object****Respondent: Ms Doreen Greenshields [8460]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree. Although I agree more homes should be made available I feel that Blackmore is not suitable. The main reasons being: The local GP surgery is not providing a service to local people as it is - it is very difficult to get an appointment now so would be even more difficult with many more families. Parking in Blackmore is often impossible so we don't need more traffic. I've been made aware that the local school is full so what would happen if many more children were housed in the village?

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29255 - 8460 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29259 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree. There are already brownfield sites such as Redrose Farm on Redrose lane that can contribute to the number of houses needed to be built. Another site currently being built on is Norton Heath equestrian centre, just off the A12 but had Blackmore as its local humanitarian centre and will have over 40 houses built on. These sites should be taken into consideration as Blackmore's contribution to housing development, thereby preserving the green belt

Change To Plan: Technically the LDP has been poorly executed and poorly considered. Lack of joined up consultation with the neighbouring borough, not allowing local parish representations to be heard, not considering the overwhelming response of the villages that live here. We don't object to building, but use the brown field sites and common sense please.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29259 - 8480 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29264 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Fletcher [8470]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29264 - 8470 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29268 Object****Respondent: Paul Fletcher [9030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Building on greenbelt would downgrade its designation leading to potentially further development on greenbelt land. If houses are built on sites R25 and R26 what plans would prevent further development of greenbelt land around Blackmore and throughout the Borough of Brentwood?

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29268 - 9030 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29273 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Foreman [4394]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29273 - 4394 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29278 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lucille Foreman [8574]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29278 - 8574 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29283 Object****Respondent: Sally French [9031]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29283 - 9031 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29288 Object****Respondent: Mr Lee Fullick [8467]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29288 - 8467 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29293 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Fullick [8464]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29293 - 8464 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29298 Object****Respondent: Daniel Furnell [9032]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29298 - 9032 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29303 Object****Respondent: Mrs Grace Furnell [8182]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29303 - 8182 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29308 Object****Respondent: Mr Ricky Gardner [7282]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29308 - 7282 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29313 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Garrett [4947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29313 - 4947 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29318 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Murrell [8519]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29318 - 8519 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29323 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Murrell [8560]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29323 - 8560 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29328 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Murrell [8517]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29328 - 8517 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29333 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Newcombe [8598]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29333 - 8598 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29338 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hazel Newcombe [8597]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29338 - 8597 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29343 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Newton [8601]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29343 - 8601 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29349 Object****Respondent: Mrs Tina Newton [8600]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29349 - 8600 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29353 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Geary [8483]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I disagree. The area is populated enough the infrastructure is to full capacities

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29353 - 8483 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29359 Object****Respondent: Mrs Doreen Gray [9033]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29359 - 9033 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29361 Object****Respondent: Doddinghurst Infant School (Ms. Ingrid Nicholson) [4339]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29361 - 4339 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29362 Object****Respondent: Beverley Gibson [9034]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29362 - 9034 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29370 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Gill [8492]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29370 - 8492 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29378 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joanne Gill [4758]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree.

All Brownfield sites should be considered before Greenfield are looked at and any available Brownfield should be taken into account as a first priority. For example it is not acceptable that there are still Brownfield sites in Blackmore and the surrounding areas that have not been considered.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29378 - 4758 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29386 Object****Respondent: Mr John Ginivan [8476]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29386 - 8476 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29387 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Gordon [9035]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: iQ - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.

A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29387 - 9035 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29393 Object****Respondent: Mr Bruno Giordan [8104]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29393 - 8104 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29398 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Nicholson [4709]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29398 - 4709 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29401 Object****Respondent: Mr David Goodall [9036]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29401 - 9036 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29408 Object****Respondent: Mrs M.H. Giordan [1540]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29408 - 1540 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29413 Object****Respondent: Valerie Godbee [4943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree. Brownfield sites have not been properly considered before the green belt and green field sites. The LDP has not shown that the 50 houses could not be built by increasing density on the more urban sites. Denton Village could easily absorb this amount for example. In addition there are other sites within the Blackmore boundaries that have been given planning permission by Brentwood Planning for development as well as building by Epping Council on our borders. All of which will be using our services and infrastructure adding to further congestion in an already busy village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29413 - 4943 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29418 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Godbee [4942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29418 - 4942 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29423 Object****Respondent: Mrs Niyazi [9039]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29423 - 9039 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29428 Object****Respondent: Ms Viola Sherwin [9040]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29428 - 9040 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**29433 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Slaughter [9041]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29433 - 9041 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26515 Support****Respondent: Cllr Chris Hossack [1974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposals for Crescent Drive would see an over concentration on housing here, the reduction is welcome and could well alleviate the concerns re flooding it also gives the policy more strength to resist over development

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26515 - 1974 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26521 Support****Respondent: Mr John Darragh [4862]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: provided developer makes contribution to community investment levy to give local residents some benefit

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26521 - 4862 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26653 Support****Respondent: Anglian Water (Mr Stewart Patience) [6824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We note that it is proposed to decrease the amount of housing on this allocation site to address comments made as part of the previous consultation. As an infrastructure provider we closely monitor housing growth in our region to align our planned investment with additional demand for water recycling infrastructure. Therefore we have no comments to make relating to the focused change to Policy R18.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26653 - 6824 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26694 Support****Respondent: Mr Mr J Nicholls and Mr A Biglin (Land owners) [8368]****Agent: Sworders (Mrs Rachel Bryan) [5481]**

Summary: We support the following changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan:

\* Policy R18 (Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 55" to "around 35 homes".

\* Policy R19 (Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 75" to "around 45 homes".

\* Policy R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 40" to around "30 homes".

\* Policy R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 30" to "around 20 homes".

We support the reduction in housing numbers at the allocation sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, as this is justified by the evidence base.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26694 - 8368 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26702 Support****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a large brownfield site that is currently derelict and ripe for development. It is close to shops an main line rail station and on a bus route.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26702 - 4396 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26705 Support****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Denton village is being correctly planned and thought through in respect of the infrastructure to support housing developments ie schools doctors and road/rail links

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26705 - 7193 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26722 Support****Respondent: Mr Barry Tydeman [8813]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: 'I represent the Crescent Drive Residents Association. Broadly, we support we support Policy R18 for the Crescent Drive site: Reduction from 'around 55' to 'around 35 homes'. We have previously submitted that the Fairview application for this site be refused permission as contrary to Policies SP01, HP03 and HP14. Our petition had 500+ signatories against that proposal. We submit that it also fails the judge's decision criteria in Canterbury Council v Gladman Developments this year: a Planning Inspector must not decide in favour of an application meeting only one approved Development plan policy.

Barry Tydeman  
For CDRA'

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26722 - 8813 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26739 Support****Respondent: Mr Richard Owers [8816]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: In principle we support the proposed changes to the policy R18 for the Crescent Drive site - Reduction from 'around 55' to 'around 35 homes' providing the planning application is for a development in keeping with the surrounding area, meets all the required planning criteria and is designed to reduce the future environmental footprint and impact by incorporating the latest sustainability construction methods, transport planning and provision for personal wellbeing. In particular we require the plan to allow for houses facing Crescent Drive (not flats and apartments) as this is in keeping with Crescent Drive and all surrounding roads, and that all new properties have sufficient off road dedicated parking to meet all the requirements of the residents of the new properties and their visitors. The height of any new development should be no higher than the current structure at any point so that the current visibility of all trees and vegetation is maintained.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26739 - 8816 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26788 Support****Respondent: Historic England (Andrew Marsh) [8824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There are no designated heritage assets within or near to the site. Historic England has no comments to make on this focussed change.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26788 - 8824 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26920 Support****Respondent: Mr David Hall [4867]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26920 - 4867 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26930 Support****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Hall [8684]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019) Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26930 - 8684 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26950 Support****Respondent: Mr Kevin Hall [6734]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26950 - 6734 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26955 Support****Respondent: Mr. Chris Hamilton [3835]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove r25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26955 - 3835 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**26960 Support****Respondent: Mrs Mandy Hamilton [8633]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26960 - 8633 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27235 Support****Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27235 - 8648 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27239 Support****Respondent: Mr Alan Hardy [8858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27239 - 8858 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27289 Support****Respondent: David Hammond [577]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp;R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27289 - 577 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27296 Support****Respondent: Mrs June Harrington [4776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27296 - 4776 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27305 Support****Respondent: Mr Lawrence Harrington [4778]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27305 - 4778 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27326 Support****Respondent: Ms Tina Harrington [4779]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q5 FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
I agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27326 - 4779 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27338 Support****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27338 - 8679 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27348 Support****Respondent: Mr Andrew Harris [8628]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27348 - 8628 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27353 Support****Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27353 - 8678 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

**27358 Support****Respondent: Laura Harris [8685]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 2: POLICY R18 - Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield. Brownfield. (Less than 1% of total responses, March 2019)Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield, and Green Belt should not be released at all unless all other alternatives have been used to fulfil the target housing allocations. The number of homes should be increased back to a minimum of 55 and R25 & R26 should be removed entirely.  
A - I agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27358 - 8685 - Policy R18: Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield (page 290) - None

CHAPTER: Addendum of Focussed Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292)  
Changes to the Pre-Submission

**26524 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Barry Sawtell [5904]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: You have requested my comments on the LDP for housing sites across Brentwood from your meeting the 11th. Sept. We have already submitted our concerns reference your first proposal for the Priests Lane site and these still stand. We have been informed that with your plans to reduce the number of housing units you are considering additional entry and exit points. If this is correct could you please confirm this and inform me of these plans so that I can give comments. With out this information I am unable to give any further comments.

Confirmation was provided that the detail of site entry and exit points were not within in this consultation.

As the addendum only references site numbers and no other changes being considered I cannot comment as the consultation is incomplete.

Change To Plan: Remove R19 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26524 - 5904 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26534 Object**

**Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. It has the capacity to take the full allocation of 75 homes.

Change To Plan: This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

The number of houses should be increased back to the original plan for 75.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26534 - 8477 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i

**26545 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Barry Sawtell [5904]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: As the Addendum only references site numbers and no other site changes being considered I cannot comment as the consultation is incomplete.

Change To Plan: No change proposed

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26545 - 5904 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26546 Object**

**Respondent: Mrs Anne-Marie Hopcroft [7058]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am particularly concerned about the lack of evidential base for the number of houses in the proposals and that no change has been made to the wording of the access points, which I feel pose a health and safety risk.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26546 - 7058 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26547 Object**

**Respondent: Sigrid Miles [7767]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the sites at Priests Lane is a reduction in houses from 'around' 75 to 'around' 45. However this number still has no evidential base and no change has been made to the wording of access points which we feel is a major issue, believing that access onto Priests Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26547 - 7767 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26554 Object****Respondent: Ms Beryl Joyce Clark [1635]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the sites at Priests Lane is a reduction in dwellings from 'around 75' to 'around 45'. However, this number still has no evidential base and no change has been made to the working of access points which I feel is a major issue, believing that access onto Priests Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26554 - 1635 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26557 Object****Respondent: Miss Vena Clark [5879]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the sites at Priests Lane is a reduction in dwellings from 'around 75' to 'around 45'. However, this number still has no evidential base and no change has been made to the working of access points which I feel is a major issue, believing that access onto Priests Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26557 - 5879 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26561 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There is a proposal reduction in scheduled new build homes from 75 to 45 (40%), curiously exactly the same percentage reduction. The same items are stated as the justification for its reduction. My previous comment on Policy R18 are also very relevant on this proposal too. I find it discriminatory, disgraceful and highly offensive that Shenfield residents have a greater voice than I appear to. They will now have only 80 homes scheduled for build where as our small village will have hundreds more and a new town on our doorstep. The A128 and A127 are already at capacity and entry and exit from our village is already time consuming and risky. Adding more homes and risk. Still Shenfield will be safer I suppose.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26561 - 2712 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26577 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Cross [4376]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The number of proposed dwellings should not be reduced. This site, being fully enclosed on all 4 sides by building / major transport links, is much more appropriate for development compared to other greenfield and agriculturally viable sites included in the LDP. For example, the additional 30 dwellings that could be built here, would go part of the way towards enabling the removal of sites R25 and R26 from the plan.

Change To Plan: Keep the number of proposed dwellings to be developed on this site to 75.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: ii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26577 - 4376 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - ii, iv

**26586 Object****Respondent: Mr Lawrence Allum [5420]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the sites at Priests Lane is a reduction in houses from 'around' 75 to 'around' 45, however, this number still has no evidential base. No change has been made to the wording of access points which I feel is a major issue, believing that access onto Priests Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed. The original application for 8 houses in Bishop Walk over 20 years ago was turned down which led to the number of houses restricted to 5 houses to comply with the access requirements at that time. By the same token, the same reasoning should apply even more so to 45 houses considering the significant increase in traffic and pollution.

In the interest of restoring and increasing the playing fields for the adjacent Hogarth Primary School, which recently had its playing field area halved whilst doubling its pupil population, as well as for Endeavour School which also adjoins the sites, then these sites must be removed from the LP and set aside for this purpose. This is also in line with government policy to provide for the health of our nation's children and for their future wellbeing.

With the rapid expansion of development in the rest of the borough, it would be forward thinking to set aside land for the inevitable need for more school places in the not too distant future.

Change To Plan: remove R19 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26586 - 5420 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26589 Object****Respondent: Priests Lane Neighbourhood Residents Association (Mrs Cath Kenyon) [6046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We appreciate the reduction in house numbers from 75 to 45 addresses our concerns about inappropriate house density. However, it fails to address other concerns about the safety of a new access road and the suitability of Bishop Walk of an access considering the limited road infrastructure of Priests Lane and the already high traffic levels which will increase as a result of the various new housing developments in the area.

It also does not reflect the Council addition of multiple access points.

We think our existing objections are still valid and want them to be submitted along with the LDP, and continue to request a hearing.

Priests Lane Neighbourhood Residents Association

Change To Plan: Remove site R19 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26589 - 6046 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26590 Object****Respondent: Mr Gavin Hennessy [5984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: As a resident in Bishop Walk I can confirm that I wholeheartedly agree that Bishop Walk is a quiet close with 6 residential homes on both sides with open views to natural landscapes. Each property has off road parking. Were Bishop Walk to be used as an access and or exit point this would be unfair and totally unacceptable and I strongly object to this proposal. Safety and congestion issues should be properly addressed and abandoning the whole project should be seriously considered.

Re: Paragraph 2 (c) Policy R19. We appreciate the reduction in house numbers from 75 to 45 addresses our concerns about inappropriate house density. However, it fails to address other concerns about the safety of a new access road and the suitability of Bishop Walk of an access considering the limited road infrastructure of Priests Lane and the already high traffic levels which will increase as a result of the various new housing developments in the area. It also does not reflect the Council addition of multiple access points. We think our existing objections are still valid and want them to be submitted along with the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R19 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26590 - 5984 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26591 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Allum [6060]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposed change to the sites at Priests Lane from 'around' 75 to 'around' 45 is still unacceptable without any evidence to back up the latest proposed number of houses. In light of this, I'm extremely concerned that no change has been made to the wording of access points to reflect the change in number of proposed houses which is a major issue, considering the original application for 8 houses in Bishop Walk over 20 years ago was turned down because of inadequate access. If 8 houses were deemed to be 3 too many for adequate access back then, what evidence has been presented in the intervening years to allow for the approval of 45 houses to comply with the access requirements? Bearing in mind the traffic is much higher now and the level of Nitrous oxide was found to be above safe limits 3 years ago! Please could you send such evidence in your response.

In order to restore and increase the playing fields for the adjacent Hogarth Primary School, who recently had to half its playing field area to double its pupil population, as well as for Endeavour School which also adjoins the sites, then these sites should be removed from the LP and set aside for this purpose. This is also In line with government policy to provide for the health of our nation's children and for their future wellbeing.

With the rapid expansion of development in the rest of the borough, it seems logical to set aside land for the inevitable need for more school places in the not too distant future. Once these houses are built on land adjacent to these 2 schools, it would be impossible for both schools to expand to meet the needs of the growing population.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26591 - 6060 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26597 Object****Respondent: Mrs Cath Kenyon [5999]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Re: Paragraph 2 (c) Policy R19: While the reduction in the number of homes is to be welcomed, I still do not feel that concerns regarding the safety of access and the impact the numerous Shenfield developments will have on the already high traffic levels along Priests Lane, have been addressed.

It is noted that a subsequent meeting of the council resolved that the site would require multiple access points although that is still not included in the addendum of focussed changes posted on the website.

I believe the existing objections are still valid and wish them to be submitted along with the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R19 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26597 - 5999 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26598 Object****Respondent: Priests Lane Neighbourhood Residents Association (Mrs Cath Kenyon) [6046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Re: Paragraph 2 (c) Policy R19

We appreciate the reduction in house numbers from 75 to 45 addresses our concerns about inappropriate house density. However, it fails to address other concerns about the safety of a new access road and the suitability of Bishop Walk of an access considering the limited road infrastructure of Priests Lane and the already high traffic levels which will increase as a result of the various new housing developments in the area.

It also does not reflect the Council addition of multiple access points.

We think our existing objections are still valid and want them to be submitted along with the LDP, and continue to request a hearing.

Priests Lane Neighbourhood Residents Association

Change To Plan: Remove R19 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26598 - 6046 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26599 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Ballard [5532]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the site at Priests Lane is a reduction in houses from around 75 to around 45. However this number still has no evidential base and no change has been made to the wording of the access point which I feel is a major issue believing that access onto Priest Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26599 - 5532 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26600 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Ballard [8227]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the site at Priests Lane is a reduction from around 75 to around 45. However this number still has no evidential base a no change has been made to the wording of access points which I feel is a major issue, believing that access onto Priests Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed.

Change To Plan: Remove R19 from plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26600 - 8227 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26601 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Ballard [8227]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the site at Priests Lane is a reduction in houses from around 75 vto around 45. However this number still has no evidential base and no change has been made to the wording of access points which I feel is a major issue believing that access onto Priests Lane poses a health and safety risk which has not been addressed.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26601 - 8227 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26627 Object****Respondent: Punch Partnerships (PGRP) Ltd [8801]****Agent: Cordage Group (Miss Lauren Parsons) [8797]**

Summary: The proposed reduction in housing numbers in Shenfield and Blackmore reduces housing numbers in sustainable settlements where growth is needed, and puts them in a less sustainable location. In relocating the units to the proposed strategic allocation at Denton Hills, the provision of these units will inevitably occur later in the plan period, when the focus should be on early provision to address the current housing land supply shortfall. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding.

Change To Plan: A much better solution would be to reprovide the units lost from the Shenfield and Blackmore allocations on sustainable sites in and around Brentwood. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, being located on the edge of the town close to services and facilities, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, and as per the Environment Agency comments on the most recent planning application, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding. We therefore advocate that Spital Lane be allocated for housing in the emerging plan, along with other suitable smaller sites identified in the SHLAA, to make up the housing numbers lost in Shenfield and Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26627 - 8801 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26634 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Town centre site with good links.

Change To Plan: Object to any reduction on this site.

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26634 - 8417 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26641 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Town centre near station with a large demand for homes in this area

Change To Plan: Reduction should be reversed this is exactly where new homes should be built, walking distance to Shenfield station

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26641 - 8679 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26663 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sylvia Allum [5419]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The change to the sites at Priests Lane is a reduction from 'around' 75 to 'around' 45, but it is concerning there is still no evidence for the proposed number of houses. Moreover, no change has been made to the wording of access points which is a major issue, considering the original application for 8 houses in Bishop Walk over 20 years ago was turned down because of inadequate access, which led to the number of houses restricted to 5 houses to comply with the access requirements at that time, bearing in mind the traffic was no way as heavy and polluting as it is now.

In the interest of restoring and increasing the playing fields for the adjacent Hogarth Primary School, which recently had its playing field area halved whilst doubling its pupil population, as well as for Endeavour School which also adjoins the sites, then these sites should be removed from the LP and set aside for this purpose in line with government policy to provide for the health of our nation's children and their future wellbeing.

With the rapid expansion of development in the rest of the borough, it seems prudent to set aside land to keep up with the inevitable need for more school places in the not too distant future. Once these houses are built on land adjacent to these 2 schools, it would be impossible for both schools to expand to meet the needs of the borough's growing population.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26663 - 5419 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26664 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lisa Aspinall [6054]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Re: Paragraph 2 (c) Policy R19. I appreciate the reduction in house numbers from 75 to 45 addresses my concerns about inappropriate house density, however it fails to address other ongoing concerns about the safety of a new access road and the suitability of Bishop Walk as an access considering the limited road infrastructure of Priests Lane and the already high traffic levels which will increase as a result of the various new housing developments in the area. It also does not reflect the Council addition of multiple access points. I think my existing objections to any development of this land are still valid and want them to be submitted along with the LDP, and continue to request a hearing.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26664 - 6054 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26711 Object****Respondent: Miss Katherine Webster [6005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The revision does not answer the objection to new access road which will be poorly sited and potentially dangerous in design. Nor does it address the unsuitability of Bishop Walk, which was limited to 5 houses when built. The access points will still increase traffic risk because of the poor road infrastructure of Priests Lane and the already high traffic levels which will increase as a result of the various new housing developments in the area. The Council failed to properly evaluate traffic risks at this site.

Change To Plan: A different access site should be identified and/or a smaller number of houses. However, given the restricted location of the site, the only other alternative access point is on a road that has safety issues because it is located on a blind bend. If the access problems cannot be addressed, then the site should be excluded.

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26711 - 6005 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii

**26715 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This site is brownfield and therefore in accordance with the government and local policy for brownfield sites to be prioritised over green belt then this number should not be amended. It also requires maximum densities to be maintained in such occasions

Change To Plan: The allocation for 75 houses should remain in order to avoid development on green belt land

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26715 - 7195 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iv

**26729 Object****Respondent: Essex County Council (Mrs Anne Clitheroe) [6776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: NPPF para 31 requires planning policies to be underpinned by relevant and up to date evidence.

BBC need to be satisfied reduction in dwelling numbers is supported by appropriate evidence base, including:

- demonstrating site makes effective and efficient use of land (paragraphs 117, 118, 122 and 123 of the NPPF)
- is economically viable (paragraph 67)
- updated transport evidence base fully assesses transport implications.

Proposed policy change does not address ECC's Pre-Submission Reg.19 consultation representations to this policy (March 2019).

ECC's position has not changed on this matter.

Change To Plan: As a result of the reduction in dwelling numbers for this site allocation BBC should include, within the Plan evidence and supporting text for this Policy, details to demonstrate that the site allocation makes effective and efficient use of land, and is economically viable.

BBC should also update its transport evidence base for the Local Plan to fully assess the transport implications of the change in dwellings numbers on this site allocation.

The policy needs to be further changed to address ECC's representations to this policy made to the Pre-Submission Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation in March 2019.

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26729 - 6776 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - ii, iii, iv

**26734 Object****Respondent: Mrs Annette Moorhouse [5332]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Despite the reduction in the number of houses proposed I am still concerned about the additional traffic the development will create. A traffic survey has not been carried out and although multiple access has been suggested all the traffic will still come out onto Priests Lane.

Change To Plan: If only 45 houses are being proposed what will be the designated use for the remaining land? This needs to be used for the schools expansion or something along those lines. The multiple access cannot be guaranteed and the only main access is unsafe.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26734 - 5332 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii

**26738 Object****Respondent: Miss Katherine Webster [6005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I have made an objection (referee 26711) re the change to the Priests lane site. I would like to add a comment to that objection, but was unable to amend the submitted comment. I would like to add that the utilities already seem to be operating at maximum satisfactory capacity, as we have low water pressure and frequently have drops in electricity supply when usage is high. Local residents are concerned that the infrastructure is not adequate to absorb the additional housing, and this continues to be the case even with a lower number.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26738 - 6005 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26740 Object****Respondent: Mrs Helen Pearson [5910]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Whilst the reduction in dwellings is welcome, the number is not legally binding, and will give the developers the opportunity to bid to build a higher number of houses. This land was designated an Open Urban Space, and as such seen as a valuable green lung to the area, once built on this will be lost for ever. The access points to the potential development have not been identified. Where ever they are built they will have to join Priests Lane itself which has many hazards. There is no possible point at which a new road can link with Priests Lane that would have a clear view of on coming traffic due to the bends in the road. Priests Lane has the problems of congestion at peak times, necessitating traffic from the potential development trying to turn into queuing traffic. Priests Lane has speeding cars at other times meaning that the cars turning from the new access points will not have enough time to turn onto the road. There is only a pavement down one side of Priests Lane this alternates from side to side, pedestrians need to cross this busy road at dangerous bends in order to walk down the road. Priests Lane is narrow and at two pinch points there is not enough room for two vehicles to pass. Pedestrians feel vulnerable on these narrow pavements as traffic literally skims by them as they walk. There have been many accidents along Priests Lane, for the most part unrecorded as the police only record accidents involving casualties. The road does not meet the current safety guide lines and to build another junction turning onto this road would exaserbate the present dangers to all road users.

Change To Plan: Remove site R19 form the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26740 - 5910 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26746 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Basildon Council objects to the Focussed Changes 1 - 5, as they do not seem to have been informed by evidence or the Sustainability Appraisal as required by National Policy. The amendments effectively redistributes 70 proposed dwellings from the 'Central Brentwood Growth Corridor', which has opportunities to embrace more sustainable modes of transport, to a Green Belt location with a less developed public transport infrastructure. The reasons for the amendments do not seem to be supported by the evidence and appear to be based solely on the considerable number of objections received in response to the Pre-Submission Local Plan consultation in March 2019. The Brentwood Sustainability Appraisal October 2019 concludes that; "It is difficult to draw strong conclusions, with the primary considerations being: A) decreasing the homes assigned to the Brentwood/Shenfield urban area by 50 may serve to reduce traffic through the problematic town centre AQMA, but any benefit would be marginal, and equally these are accessible locations suited to minimising car dependency; and B) increasing the number of homes assigned to DHGV by 70 is potentially associated with a degree of risk, noting the ongoing work being undertaken in respect of improving air quality along the A127 within Basildon Borough, and noting consultation responses received." Paragraph 16 of the NPPF advises amongst other things that Plans should be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. Basildon Council has considered the two Growth Corridors identified in the Brentwood Borough Local Plan. It has reflected however that there are fundamental distinctions between them, which do not appear to have influenced site selection choices in a justified way. The Central Brentwood Growth Corridor is the location of nationally and regionally managed and maintained infrastructure - the A12 & M25 (Highways England) and the Elizabeth Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Transport for London) and East Anglia Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Abellio East Anglia). Growth in this location would maximise this infrastructure investment. The South Brentwood Growth Corridor meanwhile, consists the A127 (maintained by Essex County Council) and Essex Thameside Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by c2c). It is not considered that the two corridors offer comparable choices in terms of the strategic importance or capacity of transport connections, and using the Sustainability Appraisal and other evidence, the Plan should select sites within the Central Brentwood Growth Corridor that provide opportunity for extensions to towns and villages that can encourage more sustainable travel choices and take advantage of the strategic infrastructure available. This would encourage commuting behaviour to shift away from private car use and therefore make this location a more sustainable and viable option to concentrate growth. Such an alternative approach would be justified by evidence and align with national policy.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26746 - 8820 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26766 Object****Respondent: Mr Russell Pearson [7499]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am contacting you with regards to the development of the land at Priests Lane, Brentwood, sites 044 and 178. Whilst the reduction in dwellings is welcome, the number is not legally binding, and will give the developers the opportunity to bid to build a higher number of houses. This land was designated an Open Urban Space, and as such seen as a valuable green lung to the area, once built on this will be lost for ever. The access points to the potential development have not been identified. Where ever they are built they will have to join Priests Lane itself which has many hazards. There is no possible point at which a new road can link with Priests Lane that would have a clear view of on coming traffic due to the bends in the road. Priests Lane has the problems of congestion at peak times, necessitating traffic from the potential development trying to turn into queuing traffic. Priests Lane has speeding cars at other times meaning that the cars turning from the new access points will not have enough time to turn onto the road. There is only a pavement down one side of Priests Lane this alternates from side to side, pedestrians need to cross this busy road at dangerous bends in order to walk down the road. Priests Lane is narrow and at two pinch points there is not enough room for two vehicles to pass. Pedestrians feel vulnerable on these narrow pavements as traffic literally skims by them as they walk. There have been many accidents along Priests Lane, for the most part unrecorded as the police only record accidents involving casualties. The road does not meet the current safety guide lines and to build another junction turning onto this road would exaserbate the present dangers to all road users.

Change To Plan: Remove site R19 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26766 - 7499 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26767 Object****Respondent: Ursuline Sisters [28]****Agent: JTS Partnership LLP (Mr. James Govier) [2587]**

Summary: Strongly object to the 5 proposed changes, particularly to R19 (change 3). The basis of this objection is that the proposed delivery of the housing, as set out within Appendix 1 of the Consultation Document is not achievable or viable, and that the Plan should be considered as unsound. Furthermore the reallocation of the 30 units from Policy R19 is not justified or supported by any evidence and ignores the evidence presented by the landowner.

In respect of the reduction in numbers at Policy R19 Land at Priests Lane, there is no justification or evidential support for such a reduction. The landowner has provided Highways evidence to support much higher degrees of provision that will see the efficient use of land as required by the NPPF. Also highlighted are the many highway and pedestrian improvements that will enhance pedestrian accessibility and the wider highway network. There is great uncertainty about the ability to deliver the full DHGV allocation within the Plan Period. With a significant reliance on that allocation to achieve the full Housing Supply, it is not sustainable to remove the provision delivery of achievable units from other sites where such can be delivered in the early part of the Plan Period. The projected delivery of the DHGV allocation in terms of its commencement and the ongoing delivery rate through the Plan Period is considered to be unrealistic and unviable. It relies on achieving the delivery of the first units within 2 to 3 years of the Adoption of the Local Plan and then delivering housing at a very high rate through the final 7 years of the Plan Period. While these targets may be achievable in the best case scenario, the NLP study supports the opinion that for large projects, delivery is likely to take a much greater amount of time. The Council provide no evidence to support such lofty targets. These best case scenario projections should not be adopted as realistic delivery targets through the Plan Period. Reallocating 70 units to the latter stages of the Housing Trajectory only increase the likelihood of a failure to meet the full housing supply through the Plan Period.

Change To Plan: Return the indicative dwelling yield to 75. Do not make the Addendum changes to the plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26767 - 28 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26774 Object****Respondent: Turn2us [6753]****Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Mr Sam Hollingworth) [6123]**

Summary: The AFC does not address the potential implications for the provision of accommodation for older people in light of the concerns it has identified in respect of proposed allocation R19. It neither explains why the site's potential to accommodate a care home is unaffected by the concerns it identifies, nor propose anything to address potential shortfall of this form of specialist accommodation assuming its potential to be provided here is affected.

Change To Plan: Allocate additional site to delivery at least 70 additional homes in the early years of the plan period (2022/23 - 2024/25). Site 219 (land at Rayleigh Road, Hutton) represents an ideal site to respond to the above.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26774 - 6753 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26805 Object****Respondent: mr simon Fleming [7119]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The reason for the change to Policy R19 is purely due to representations from local residents and political pressure. The relevance of these concerns when considering site 178 in isolation have already been disputed. Based on the evidence on air quality, biodiversity, climate change mitigation, Ccmmunity and well-being, economy and employment, heritage, flooding, housing, landscape, waste, water the R19 change is not justified. This latest reduction from 75 to 45 could adversely affect the scheme viability.

Change To Plan: The reasonable strategy would be to remain at 75 houses for site R19.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26805 - 7119 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26806 Object****Respondent: Glenda Fleming [3779]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposal to reduce the numbers further to 45 has arisen solely because of concerns from the public. There is no evidence that this reduction in numbers on site R19 has any technical basis the alternative proposed by this Focussed Change is to move most of the development down to Dunton which is not as sustainable development. Reducing the numbers of houses at R19 could adversely affect the viability of the development. Reducing the density of development is not making best use of a valuable resource. The Focussed Change at R19 is unsound.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26806 - 3779 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26862 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christina Atkins [8118]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Site received 11% of total Reg 19 responses. Defined as greenfield land within Brentwood urban area/settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (e.g. Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (e.g. Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26862 - 8118 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26893 Object****Respondent: L Apostolides [8836]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26893 - 8836 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26898 Object****Respondent: Mr Alex Atkins [8126]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26898 - 8126 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26906 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Atkins [8837]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
 No point building houses in a rural area where there is no infrastructure as it makes living more difficult to reach services.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26906 - 8837 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26911 Object****Respondent: Mr Joseph W E Atkins [8703]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Infrastructure should be considered at all costs when residential development takes place as it's pointless placing people in a rural area with little infrastructure i.e Health Centre, Transport and many other services that people have to drive to.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26911 - 8703 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26916 Object****Respondent: Ms Lynn Baggott [8721]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure , Has a far better infrastructure: - Shops - Station - Bus service - Doctor's - School

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26916 - 8721 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26926 Object****Respondent: Mr Authur Austin [8838]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26926 - 8838 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26934 Object****Respondent: Mr. Clive Austin [7186]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26934 - 7186 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26942 Object****Respondent: Mr Harry Austin [8839]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26942 - 8839 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26947 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Jill Austin [7272]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26947 - 7272 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26966 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Stevens [8840]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26966 - 8840 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26971 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Quested [8452]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26971 - 8452 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26974 Object****Respondent: Mr John Adkins [8734]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26974 - 8734 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26979 Object****Respondent: Ms Anne Adkins [8735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26979 - 8735 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26984 Object****Respondent: Mr Matthew Aiken [8827]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26984 - 8827 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26989 Object****Respondent: Kerry Allardyce [8828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26989 - 8828 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26994 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Bacon [8841]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26994 - 8841 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26999 Object****Respondent: Mr David Barfoot [7177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R5 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26999 - 7177 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27004 Object****Respondent: Mr Liam Allardyce [8829]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27004 - 8829 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27009 Object****Respondent: Bernard Allen [8830]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27009 - 8830 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27014 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Allen [8831]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27014 - 8831 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27019 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Barfoot [7200]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27019 - 7200 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27024 Object****Respondent: Toni Allen [8832]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27024 - 8832 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27029 Object****Respondent: Tallulah Allen [8833]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27029 - 8833 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27034 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Allington [8316]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27034 - 8316 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27039 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Andrews [8834]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27039 - 8834 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27044 Object****Respondent: Ms Melanie Andrews [8826]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27044 - 8826 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27050 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Barrett [8842]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27050 - 8842 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27052 Object****Respondent: Ms Mandy Anthony [8737]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27052 - 8737 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27059 Object****Respondent: Mr Paul Anthony [6823]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27059 - 6823 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27064 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Bartrop [8651]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27064 - 8651 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27069 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Bartrop [8650]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27069 - 8650 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27074 Object****Respondent: Ms Anita Bastin [8843]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27074 - 8843 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27079 Object****Respondent: Ms Pauline Davidson [6327]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Sites R25 and R26 should be removed from the plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27079 - 6327 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27084 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Bastin [8844]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27084 - 8844 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27089 Object****Respondent: Mr James Baur [8845]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Obviously a much more sensible and safer option than developing in Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 ad R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27089 - 8845 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27094 Object****Respondent: Karen Baur [1079]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
 If no alternative brownfield sites are made available then this would be a better option for housing than R25 and R26 as the infrastructure is already in place to support the extra traffic it will no doubt produce.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27094 - 1079 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27099 Object****Respondent: Mr Kurt Baur [8846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
 The infrastructure to support new development is critical to the success and integration of a project.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27099 - 8846 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27105 Object****Respondent: Mr Gordon Beaman [8848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27105 - 8848 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27110 Object****Respondent: Mrs Eileen Beazley [8700]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 ad R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27110 - 8700 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27117 Object****Respondent: Mr Ron Beazley [4831]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27117 - 4831 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27120 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Bedford [8673]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27120 - 8673 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27125 Object****Respondent: Mavis Beeching [8849]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27125 - 8849 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27130 Object****Respondent: Mr. Robert Beeching [3839]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27130 - 3839 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27135 Object****Respondent: Mr Cameron Beman [8850]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27135 - 8850 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27144 Object****Respondent: Mr. Brian Rafis [4554]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. Should not be reduced town centre site with great transport options.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27144 - 4554 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27149 Object****Respondent: Ms Diane Randall [8851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R 25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27149 - 8851 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27156 Object****Respondent: Mr David Bennett [8649]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27156 - 8649 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27159 Object****Respondent: Mr John Randall [8852]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27159 - 8852 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27164 Object****Respondent: Mr Andy Davies [8853]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27164 - 8853 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27169 Object****Respondent: Ann Davis [4404]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Placing new housing in an area with existing adequate infrastructure should be prioritised over areas with inadequate infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27169 - 4404 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27174 Object****Respondent: Mr Robert Davis [4789]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Placing new housing in an area with existing adequate infrastructure should be prioritised over areas with inadequate infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27174 - 4789 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27179 Object****Respondent: Ms Maria J Bennett [8723]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27179 - 8723 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27184 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Bills [8854]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27184 - 8854 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27189 Object****Respondent: Mr Arthur Birch [4769]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27189 - 4769 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27194 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Birch [8730]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27194 - 8730 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27199 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Birch [8158]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27199 - 8158 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27204 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Bishop [8855]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27204 - 8855 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27209 Object****Respondent: Mr Cliff Black [8729]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27209 - 8729 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27214 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Black [8728]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27214 - 8728 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27219 Object****Respondent: Mr Tim Black [8248]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27219 - 8248 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27224 Object****Respondent: Ms Pam Blackmore [8856]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27224 - 8856 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27229 Object****Respondent: Ms Rosemary Blowes [8857]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27229 - 8857 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27236 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27236 - 8648 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27238 Object****Respondent: Alison Ratcliffe [8860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The ECM held at Brentwood BC on 8/11/18, when sites 25 and 26 were formally included in the LDP was undemocratic and flawed, and the debate should be held again and conducted properly

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27238 - 8860 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27246 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Bradley [8861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27246 - 8861 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27254 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ella Bradley [4875]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27254 - 4875 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27260 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. This is a residential area with appropriate infrastructure that will accommodate at least the original number of 75 allocated to Priests Lane.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The LDP, in so far as the 2 Blackmore sites are concerned, was never written strategically and indeed prior to Reg 18 the BBC position was the correct position i.e, R25 and R26 are wholly inappropriate for development. We therefore need to reverse out of Regs 18 and 19 and return us to the correct position as stated in January 2016.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27260 - 4874 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27262 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Brassett [8862]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27262 - 8862 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27268 Object****Respondent: Mrs Judith Brewster [8863]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27268 - 8863 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27276 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kelly BRITTLETON [8097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27276 - 8097 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27279 Object****Respondent: D. Rawlings [1058]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27279 - 1058 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27284 Object****Respondent: Mr Robert J Brittleton [8724]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27284 - 8724 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27288 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lisa Rawlings [8555]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27288 - 8555 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27303 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27303 - 8011 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27309 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Rayner [8553]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27309 - 8553 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27314 Object****Respondent: David Read [8864]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27314 - 8864 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27322 Object****Respondent: Vera Read [8865]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27322 - 8865 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27334 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brooks [8683]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27334 - 8683 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27342 Object****Respondent: Mr Ray Brooks [8643]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27342 - 8643 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27366 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27366 - 8686 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27369 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sara Harris [8122]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27369 - 8122 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27374 Object****Respondent: Ms Leanne Hartley [8325]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27374 - 8325 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27379 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Herring [4841]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27379 - 4841 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27384 Object****Respondent: Miss Jade Hayes [8136]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27384 - 8136 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27389 Object****Respondent: Mrs Helen Haynes [8416]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27389 - 8416 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27396 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Haynes [8138]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27396 - 8138 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27401 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Heed [8868]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27401 - 8868 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27406 Object****Respondent: Mr Raymond Hatfield [8869]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27406 - 8869 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27411 Object****Respondent: Ms Joanne Browne [8870]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27411 - 8870 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27416 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Budd [8871]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27416 - 8871 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27421 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Budd [8872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27421 - 8872 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27428 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Reed [4708]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Just plain common sense.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26 and let the village undertake it's own survey for what the residents need - which will ONLY go on Brownfield.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27428 - 4708 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27429 Object****Respondent: Mr Carl Budge [8873]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27429 - 8873 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27436 Object****Respondent: Theresa Reed [8876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Again, common sense, the infrastructure is already there.

Change To Plan: The proposed developments in Blackmore are not only disproportionate, but suffering from the location of our village in proximity to other developments not under the control of Brentwood.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27436 - 8876 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27442 Object****Respondent: Ms Kaye Bundy [8874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27442 - 8874 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27444 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Richardson [4859]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27444 - 4859 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27452 Object****Respondent: Ian Richardson [8878]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27452 - 8878 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27457 Object****Respondent: Mr John Richardson [4858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27457 - 4858 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27462 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Richardson [8192]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27462 - 8192 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27466 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Richardson [7330]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27466 - 7330 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27470 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Burgess [5030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27470 - 5030 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27476 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Richardson [8562]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27476 - 8562 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27481 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sue Rigley [8879]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27481 - 8879 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27486 Object****Respondent: Steve Rigley [8880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27486 - 8880 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27492 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Burgess [4863]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27492 - 4863 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27497 Object****Respondent: Mrs Brigid Robinson [4897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27497 - 4897 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27501 Object****Respondent: Mr Shaun Burnett [8881]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27501 - 8881 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27508 Object****Respondent: Jaqueline Robinson [8883]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27508 - 8883 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27510 Object****Respondent: Mr. Christopher Burrow [4618]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27510 - 4618 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27517 Object****Respondent: Ms Jean Bury [8716]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27517 - 8716 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27522 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Robinson [4899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27522 - 4899 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27527 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Bury [8717]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Blackmore does not have the transport, education, health or retail infrastructure to even come close to dealing with the the proposed / planned levels of new housing.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27527 - 8717 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27532 Object****Respondent: Mr David Rolfs [8566]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Unlike other parts of the Brentwood area, including Priests Lane, there is insufficient infrastructure in and around Blackmore, including health (general practice and practitioners - with the Deal Tree Health Centre under immense strain), bus service, roads and parking, schools, sewage, and the utilities including gas, electricity, telephones and internet.

Change To Plan: Blackmore has great history, dating back to Tudor times, with its church going back considerably further. We must care for such a heritage. We do not want it destroyed "on our watch".

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27532 - 8566 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27537 Object****Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Rolfs [8567]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Deal Tree Health Centre is already operating at figures beyond the optimum number of patients per GP, as outlined in the Brentwood Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). New housing has already impacted this further, with developments in Rookery Road and The Elms in Lower Road Mountnessing, along with travellers who have occupied land on the Chelmsford Road all squeezing Deal Tree Health Centre further. The addition of the proposed new properties in Blackmore under R25 and R26 will further exacerbate the problem.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27537 - 8567 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27542 Object****Respondent: Andrew Romang [8884]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27542 - 8884 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27551 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Butler [5017]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27551 - 5017 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27556 Object****Respondent: Ms Bonnie Cain [8886]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27556 - 8886 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27561 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Carter [8887]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27561 - 8887 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27566 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. The density on this site can also be increased, over and above the original number proposed.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27566 - 4874 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27571 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Romang [8107]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27571 - 8107 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27576 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Romang [4374]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27576 - 4374 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27581 Object****Respondent: Mr Clive Rosewell [8563]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27581 - 8563 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27586 Object****Respondent: Joanne Ryan [8889]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27586 - 8889 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27591 Object****Respondent: Nichola Ryan [8890]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27591 - 8890 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27596 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Ryan [4937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27596 - 4937 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27601 Object****Respondent: Robert Ryan [8891]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27601 - 8891 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27607 Object****Respondent: Mr Callum Cartwright [8370]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. W the infrastructure in Blackmore cannot take any more demands. The school is full and the doctors surgery is overwhelmed with long appointment lead times. Parking in the village around the shop and pubs is already at a maximum and at weekends it is very difficult to park.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27607 - 8370 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27610 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Sanders [8474]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27610 - 8474 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27616 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Sanders [4923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27616 - 4923 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27621 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. The Blackmore village infrastructure is simply not sufficient to cover the needs of further development. Schools and medical centre facilities are barely adequate current requirements of ourselves and the surrounding parish with excessive lead time to get a Doctors appointment.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27621 - 7193 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27627 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Resources in Blackmore are already overstretched and parking is impossible already

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27627 - 7195 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27631 Object****Respondent: Mrs Malanie Sanders [8511]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Priests Lane would be an 'in-fill' and the necessary infrastructures is already in place. Blackmore are green field sites with poor lane access, amenities already at breaking point. Constant flooding is also a major issue.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27631 - 8511 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27636 Object****Respondent: Mr Barry Casswell [8888]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27636 - 8888 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27641 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Saunders [8386]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27641 - 8386 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27646 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beryl Caton [8657]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27646 - 8657 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27653 Object****Respondent: Ms Marjorie Herring [8893]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27653 - 8893 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27657 Object****Respondent: Ronald Barry Saunders [8894]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27657 - 8894 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27663 Object****Respondent: Mr John Caton [4881]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27663 - 4881 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27668 Object****Respondent: Mr David Saxton [4286]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27668 - 4286 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27671 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27671 - 8634 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27675 Object****Respondent: Mr David Chalkley [8671]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27675 - 8671 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27681 Object****Respondent: Miss Carole Scott [8541]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
This site is in great location for station should not be reduced

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27681 - 8541 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27686 Object****Respondent: Ms Kim Chalkney [8895]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27686 - 8895 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27689 Object****Respondent: Stephen Scott [8896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: . This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
They should be fully utilising this site & encouraging the building of flats as is haapeening in all UK towns & cities to cope with the growing demand

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27689 - 8896 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27694 Object****Respondent: Ms Susan Hill [8897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27694 - 8897 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27699 Object****Respondent: Kerry Hipgrave [8898]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27699 - 8898 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27704 Object****Respondent: Mr Rick Hipgrave [8899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27704 - 8899 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27709 Object****Respondent: Kay Hobbs [8900]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27709 - 8900 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27715 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Chambers [8300]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27715 - 8300 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27719 Object****Respondent: Mrs Mandy Chambers [4846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27719 - 4846 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27724 Object****Respondent: Mrs Trina Chambers [8348]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Has good transport links and near facilities.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27724 - 8348 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27729 Object****Respondent: Ms Julie Chandler [8352]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27729 - 8352 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27734 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anita Clark [8168]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27734 - 8168 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27739 Object****Respondent: Mr Joshua Clark [8135]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27739 - 8135 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27744 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. A transport infrastructure is practically non-existent in Blackmore village making it not the best place to build numerous houses

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27744 - 2456 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27749 Object****Respondent: Mr David Coates [8133]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27749 - 8133 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27754 Object****Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cohen [8313]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27754 - 8313 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27759 Object****Respondent: Ms Karen Cohen [8901]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
This is where the Council should be building homes not green belt

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27759 - 8901 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27764 Object****Respondent: Mr Marc Cohen [4268]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27764 - 4268 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27769 Object****Respondent: Ms Wendy Cohen [6923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Infrastructure is already failing under the weight of use in Blackmore Village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27769 - 6923 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27774 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Colbert [8902]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27774 - 8902 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27779 Object****Respondent: Mr Barry Coldham [8656]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27779 - 8656 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27784 Object****Respondent: Mrs Louise Coldham [8666]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27784 - 8666 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27789 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Cole [8903]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27789 - 8903 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27794 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Cook [8794]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Near station homes desperately needed here

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27794 - 8794 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27799 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joann Cook [8669]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27799 - 8669 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27804 Object****Respondent: Mr Daniel Cracknell [8142]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27804 - 8142 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27809 Object****Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cross [7016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27809 - 7016 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27814 Object****Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27814 - 4547 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27819 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christine Tabor [8427]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27819 - 8427 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27824 Object****Respondent: Mr Frank Tabor [8424]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27824 - 8424 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27829 Object****Respondent: Ms Gloria Tanner [8904]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27829 - 8904 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27834 Object****Respondent: Miss Chloe Taylor [8429]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27834 - 8429 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27839 Object****Respondent: Mr Dean Taylor [6978]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27839 - 6978 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27844 Object****Respondent: Mrs Elisabeth Taylor [2918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27844 - 2918 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27849 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Taylor [8905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27849 - 8905 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27854 Object****Respondent: Mr James Taylor [8430]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27854 - 8430 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27859 Object****Respondent: Ms Nikki Taylor [8906]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27859 - 8906 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27864 Object****Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. As this site already has infrastructure in place, with a road already in use, and the two Blackmore sites only have access via an unlit and narrow country lane, ending in a dangerous junction next to the village school, I feel the Priests Lane site would be far more suitable and should therefore be developed.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27864 - 6880 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27869 Object****Respondent: Mr Steven Taylor [8431]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27869 - 8431 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27876 Object****Respondent: Ms Shirley Taylor [8907]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27876 - 8907 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27877 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sophia Severn [4876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27877 - 4876 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27884 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sila Sheridan [5201]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27884 - 5201 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27889 Object****Respondent: Collin Sherwood [8908]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27889 - 8908 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27894 Object****Respondent: Mrs Valerie Sherwood [8015]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27894 - 8015 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27899 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Slimm [5042]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27899 - 5042 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27904 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Smith [8910]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. This would also assist with people who don't drive and travel for work

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27904 - 8910 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27909 Object****Respondent: Barry Smith [8911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27909 - 8911 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27914 Object****Respondent: Mr Ritchie Hobbs [8909]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27914 - 8909 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27919 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27919 - 4759 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27924 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janice Holbrook [4700]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27924 - 4700 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27929 Object****Respondent: Ms Lauren Holbrook [8912]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27929 - 8912 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27934 Object****Respondent: Miss Ami Holmes [8653]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27934 - 8653 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27939 Object****Respondent: Mr Ben Holmes [8654]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27939 - 8654 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27944 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Holmes [4693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27944 - 4693 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27949 Object****Respondent: Mr Ken Holmes [8691]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27949 - 8691 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27954 Object****Respondent: Mr Luke Holmes [8652]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27954 - 8652 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27959 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Holmes [8655]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27959 - 8655 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27965 Object****Respondent: Mrs Nicola Holmes [8668]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27965 - 8668 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27974 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane House [8681]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27974 - 8681 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27979 Object****Respondent: Mr Howe [8913]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27979 - 8913 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27985 Object****Respondent: Mrs Howe [8914]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27985 - 8914 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27989 Object****Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Thompson [5016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27989 - 5016 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27994 Object****Respondent: Ms Charlotte Howse [8915]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27994 - 8915 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28001 Object****Respondent: Mr David Smith [4872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. In filling areas should be the priority prior to extending onto green belt areas.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28001 - 4872 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28005 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gail Hughes [8638]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28005 - 8638 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28009 Object****Respondent: Mr James Hughes [8677]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28009 - 8677 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28014 Object****Respondent: Mr John Hughes [4500]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28014 - 4500 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28020 Object****Respondent: Joyce Smith [8917]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Need to build more houses/flats by Shenfield station not less

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28020 - 8917 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28022 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Hughes [8637]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28022 - 8637 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28028 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Absolutely agree, but would add, that the traffic congestion in Priests both morning and afternoon, is also gridlocked, due to drop off and pick up at Brentwood School, and I have had to sit through as many as three sets of traffic lights, to just get into Middleton Hall Road, where again you could have to cue for a minimum of 20 mins to reach school gates.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28028 - 4735 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28034 Object****Respondent: Lesley Smith [8918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28034 - 8918 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28041 Object****Respondent: Marisa Smith [8919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28041 - 8919 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28043 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kate Hurford [4275]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28043 - 4275 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28050 Object****Respondent: William Alan Smith [8920]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Infrastructure is so important. There are a number of good schools nearby, also hospitals including private - of which there are two. These sites are going to be expensive so are really only going to bring in business people, it will not keep the locals of Brentwood

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. 1. Focussed Change 4 - PART D

If you allow this farm to be developed whatever the developers say 12 dwellings they will be up to the A414 in the blink of an eye. 2. Focussed Change 5 - PART B  
Honeyplot Lane is close to all amenities inc the M25 (both directions) and Romford. I lived in the area a lot of my life and I know it well. We were close to everything. It has good schools - St Peter's is a great attraction as are all of the senior schools. 3. Additional Comments The original meeting was conducted in a disgusting manner. No evidence was discussed about Blackmore, just a vote. Not the way to conduct an important meeting.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28050 - 8920 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28057 Object****Respondent: Malcolm Hurford [7304]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28057 - 7304 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28060 Object****Respondent: Ms Dawn Ireland [4861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28060 - 4861 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28065 Object****Respondent: Mrs Melanie Snelling [8547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28065 - 8547 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28070 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Snelling [6960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28070 - 6960 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28075 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Snook [8484]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28075 - 8484 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28080 Object****Respondent: Mr Nicholas Thororgood [8916]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28080 - 8916 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28085 Object****Respondent: Ms Annie Jackson [8921]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28085 - 8921 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28090 Object****Respondent: Ms Emma Thwaite [8922]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28090 - 8922 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28095 Object****Respondent: Mrs Deborah Thwaite [8175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28095 - 8175 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28100 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Thwaite [6964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28100 - 6964 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28105 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Thwaite [4475]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28105 - 4475 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28110 Object****Respondent: Mr Derek Tillet [8923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28110 - 8923 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28118 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Priests Lane again is near to a hospital, Shenfield railway station and Brentwood railway station, the High Street and doctors. These again are high price areas and not for the normal small families. These will bring in richer people from the outskirts of London . They do not help local youngsters and relieve the local situation. The council tax will be higher - brings in more revenue.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28118 - 8388 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28123 Object****Respondent: Peter Southgate [8925]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28123 - 8925 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28128 Object****Respondent: Vyvian Southgate [8926]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28128 - 8926 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28133 Object****Respondent: Deborah Spencer [8927]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28133 - 8927 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28138 Object****Respondent: Kevin Spencer [8928]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28138 - 8928 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28141 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Jacobs [8692]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28141 - 8692 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28145 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Tomey [8428]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Blackmore should remain a small village. Local Amenities cannot accomodate a population increase. Local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, which more housing will cause.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28145 - 8428 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28150 Object****Respondent: Liam Spencer [8929]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28150 - 8929 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28155 Object****Respondent: Dean Spicer [8930]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28155 - 8930 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28161 Object****Respondent: Paul Springate [8931]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28161 - 8931 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28166 Object****Respondent: Mr Steven Jacobs [4408]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28166 - 4408 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28169 Object****Respondent: Mr Khodad Jahromi [8190]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28169 - 8190 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28174 Object****Respondent: Gulay Jahromi [8933]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28174 - 8933 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28179 Object****Respondent: Ms Mitra Jahromi [8934]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28179 - 8934 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28190 Object****Respondent: David Janes [8935]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28190 - 8935 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28193 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28193 - 5175 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28198 Object****Respondent: Mrs Catherine Jennings [8693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28198 - 8693 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28203 Object****Respondent: Dr. S.J. Jennings [1497]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28203 - 1497 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28208 Object****Respondent: Nicola Joiner [8936]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28208 - 8936 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28213 Object****Respondent: Aidan Jones [8937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28213 - 8937 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28220 Object****Respondent: Chloe Jones [8938]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28220 - 8938 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28223 Object****Respondent: Diane Jones [8939]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28223 - 8939 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28228 Object****Respondent: Miss Heather Jones [8318]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28228 - 8318 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28233 Object****Respondent: Iris Jones [8495]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: New housing must be appropriate and fit in with local amenities and services.  
Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28233 - 8495 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28240 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jones [8690]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28240 - 8690 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28245 Object****Respondent: Ms Sophie Jones [8940]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28245 - 8940 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28254 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Staples [8526]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28254 - 8526 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28258 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Joyner [8375]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28258 - 8375 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28263 Object****Respondent: Brenda Juniper [8493]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28263 - 8493 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28268 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Staples [8527]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28268 - 8527 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28273 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ann Stenning [8546]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28273 - 8546 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28279 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Juniper [8129]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28279 - 8129 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28282 Object****Respondent: Mr Terence Stenning [8544]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28282 - 8544 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28287 Object****Respondent: Andrew Stevens [8942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
Disagree. existing infrastructure should not be a relevant consideration

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28287 - 8942 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28293 Object****Respondent: Benjamin Stevens [8943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28293 - 8943 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28297 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28297 - 8944 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28301 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Stevens [4958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Blackmore does not have any infrastructure to support any housing

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. Blackmore has been incorrectly graded and placed in the wrong category. The proposal is unsound and also there has not been enough corroboration between Brentwood and Epping, who have already placed a burden on housing which is right on the Brentwood border and this will directly affect Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28301 - 4958 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28309 Object****Respondent: Lynn Stevens [8945]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28309 - 8945 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28315 Object****Respondent: Sandra Stock [8946]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28315 - 8946 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28319 Object****Respondent: Mrs Cynthia Kirby [8453]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28319 - 8453 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28323 Object****Respondent: Lynne Stocks [8947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28323 - 8947 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28329 Object****Respondent: Mr David Kirby [8454]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28329 - 8454 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28333 Object****Respondent: Richard Stocks [8948]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28333 - 8948 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28338 Object****Respondent: Iain Stretton [8949]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28338 - 8949 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28343 Object****Respondent: Samantha Stretton [8950]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28343 - 8950 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28348 Object****Respondent: Jennifer Stucky [8951]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28348 - 8951 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28353 Object****Respondent: Steve Stuckey [8952]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28353 - 8952 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28358 Object****Respondent: Christine Swettenham [8953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28358 - 8953 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28363 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Tomey [8448]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Blackmore should remain a small village. Local Amenities cannot accomodate a population increase. Local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, which more housing will cause.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28363 - 8448 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28368 Object****Respondent: Edward Davis [8954]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Placing new housing in an area with existing adequate infrastructure should be prioritised over areas with inadequate infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28368 - 8954 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28373 Object****Respondent: Miss Harriet Davis [8440]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Placing new housing in an area with existing adequate infrastructure should be prioritised over areas with inadequate infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28373 - 8440 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28378 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dean [8434]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28378 - 8434 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28383 Object****Respondent: Sharon Decastro-Bunce [8955]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28383 - 8955 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28388 Object****Respondent: Allan Roy Dickinson [8956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. As already expressed the village facilities are fully stretched and any additional traffic from further development would increase the existing danger in the village centre.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28388 - 8956 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28393 Object****Respondent: Mr Louis Tregent [8924]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28393 - 8924 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28398 Object****Respondent: Mr Paul Tregent [8437]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28398 - 8437 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28404 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Tregent [8433]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28404 - 8433 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28408 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28408 - 8777 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28413 Object****Respondent: Mr Dennis Trumble [8418]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28413 - 8418 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28418 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Trumble [5029]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28418 - 5029 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28425 Object****Respondent: Cariss Tsui [8694]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28425 - 8694 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28430 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rita Tuffey [4620]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28430 - 4620 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28435 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Tuffey [4621]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28435 - 4621 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28440 Object****Respondent: Mr Giovanni Vaccari [8957]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28440 - 8957 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28445 Object****Respondent: Mr Pete Vince [8123]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28445 - 8123 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28450 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Wakelin [8958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28450 - 8958 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28455 Object****Respondent: Ms Natalie Walters [8959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28455 - 8959 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28460 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Ward [8960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28460 - 8960 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28465 Object****Respondent: Ms Stephanie Kmiotek-Mutton [8961]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28465 - 8961 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28470 Object****Respondent: Harry Krajicek [8962]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28470 - 8962 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28475 Object****Respondent: Ms Madeline Krajicek [8963]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28475 - 8963 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28480 Object****Respondent: Stephen Krajicek [8964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28480 - 8964 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28485 Object****Respondent: Mr John Laing [8501]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28485 - 8501 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28490 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Laing [7046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28490 - 7046 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28496 Object****Respondent: Mr John Warner [5018]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28496 - 5018 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28500 Object****Respondent: Sarah Louise Lapena [8965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28500 - 8965 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28504 Object****Respondent: Mrs Linda Watkinson [4984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28504 - 4984 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28512 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Lawrenson [6958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28512 - 6958 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28516 Object****Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Watson [8966]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28516 - 8966 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28523 Object****Respondent: Mr Jon Watson [7112]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28523 - 7112 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28528 Object****Respondent: Mr Tony Watson [8967]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28528 - 8967 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28534 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Lennon [747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28534 - 747 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28538 Object****Respondent: Mr Eric John Webb [1830]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
I feel it highly unlikely that areas like Blackmore with vert poor infrastructure and flood risk will have any change / enhancement PRIOR to a new development. So - going with areas with good infrastructure already in place FIRST seems very appropriate R19 is very vlearly one of these sites and developing it would allow R25/6 to be removed from the plan.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28538 - 1830 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28543 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Webb [4919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28543 - 4919 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28548 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Close to a mainline rail station. If this was affordable housing the people living there would save money with regards to travel etc. Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28548 - 4396 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28554 Object****Respondent: Ms Michelle Lockton [8968]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Should not be reduced in prime location with great transport links

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28554 - 8968 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28558 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joan Westover [4635]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Blackmore is over stretched with their sewage capacity at Mountnessing at the moment. The sewage could not cope with more houses being built.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28558 - 4635 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28563 Object****Respondent: Keith Lodge [8969]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28563 - 8969 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28568 Object****Respondent: Ms Maureen Wheeler [8970]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28568 - 8970 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28576 Object****Respondent: Mr Andy Wilkins [8972]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28576 - 8972 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28578 Object****Respondent: Graeme Logan [8971]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28578 - 8971 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28583 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kim Lucas [4711]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28583 - 4711 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28588 Object****Respondent: Mr Stuart Lucas [4956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28588 - 4956 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28595 Object****Respondent: Sean Lucas [8973]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28595 - 8973 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28596 Object****Respondent: Mr Nicholas Wilkinson [8406]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28596 - 8406 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28603 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hayley Maclaurin [7097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28603 - 7097 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28608 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Manning [8974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28608 - 8974 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28613 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Wilks [8975]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28613 - 8975 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28617 Object****Respondent: Duncan Maclaurin [8976]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28617 - 8976 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28623 Object****Respondent: Mrs Edna Williams [4728]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28623 - 4728 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28628 Object****Respondent: Ms Elaine Williams [8159]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28628 - 8159 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28633 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Wiltshire [7141]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28633 - 7141 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28638 Object****Respondent: Mr John Wollaston [8183]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28638 - 8183 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28643 Object****Respondent: Mrs Marion Woolaston [8397]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28643 - 8397 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28648 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Wood [6965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28648 - 6965 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28653 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Wood [8720]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28653 - 8720 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28658 Object****Respondent: Mr Neal Woodford [8978]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28658 - 8978 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28663 Object****Respondent: Mr Matthew Woodward [8979]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28663 - 8979 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28668 Object****Respondent: Ms Ann Wright [8980]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28668 - 8980 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28673 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen York [8748]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28673 - 8748 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28678 Object****Respondent: Ms Barbara Young [8981]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Shenfield is just where Brentwood Council should be building homes near the station with facilities not in villages with no facilities & you have to drive everywhere

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28678 - 8981 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28683 Object****Respondent: Charlie Pyke [8982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28683 - 8982 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28688 Object****Respondent: Ms Hannah Pyke [8983]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28688 - 8983 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28693 Object****Respondent: Mr Harry Pyke [8984]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28693 - 8984 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28698 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Pyke [8985]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28698 - 8985 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28703 Object****Respondent: Ms Eve Pulford [8987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28703 - 8987 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28708 Object****Respondent: Mr Daniel Pulford [8988]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28708 - 8988 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28713 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Marchant [8569]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28713 - 8569 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28725 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Marr [6006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28725 - 6006 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28728 Object****Respondent: Surrell McGovern [8991]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28728 - 8991 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28732 Object****Respondent: Tom McLaren [8992]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28732 - 8992 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28737 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Miers [8695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28737 - 8695 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28744 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Miers [3959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28744 - 3959 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28747 Object****Respondent: Alex Mills [8993]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28747 - 8993 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28752 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Mills [8533]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28752 - 8533 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28757 Object****Respondent: Greg Mills [8994]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28757 - 8994 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28762 Object****Respondent: Ms Karen Page [9000]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28762 - 9000 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28767 Object****Respondent: Ms Marquite Peacham [8999]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Council should be maximising this site not reducing.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28767 - 8999 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28772 Object****Respondent: Ms Janice Plummer [8997]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. They should be building more homes ie flats to meet the demand to live near the station

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28772 - 8997 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28777 Object****Respondent: Ms Judith Phillips [8615]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. There is an urgent need for more houses near Shenfield station  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28777 - 8615 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28782 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jill Pritchard [4269]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. The doctor surgeries are full no appointments available

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28782 - 4269 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28787 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Power [8610]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28787 - 8610 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28792 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Poulton [8149]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28792 - 8149 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28797 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Poulton [8119]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28797 - 8119 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28802 Object****Respondent: Miss Natasha Playle [4291]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28802 - 4291 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28807 Object****Respondent: Ms Polyblank [8996]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28807 - 8996 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28812 Object****Respondent: Ms Gillian Pope [8995]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28812 - 8995 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28817 Object****Respondent: Lloyd Piper [8616]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28817 - 8616 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28822 Object****Respondent: Mr Frederick Piper [8380]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28822 - 8380 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28829 Object****Respondent: Mrs Eileen Piper [8381]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
 Again this is an urban are with town facilites and transport links and we need more houses close to Shenfield station to meet the demand

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28829 - 8381 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28831 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28831 - 8417 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28837 Object****Respondent: Mr Douglas Piper [603]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
 Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28837 - 603 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28842 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Dimond [7055]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
 I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Reducing the proposed number of houses on the Blackmore green belt sites does not address the objections to the LDP regarding unjustifiable loss of green belt.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28842 - 7055 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28847 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Dimond [4851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. This site has much better infrastructure access to those considered for development in Blackmore

Change To Plan: Development in remote rural villages such as Blackmore will inevitably lead to increased road traffic because of the lack of jobs and infrastructure. More suitable sites with far better infrastructure are not being fully utilised. All proposed alterations to green belt boundaries should be fully evidenced and justified according to National Planning Policy and this has not happened, the choice of sites has been developer-lead. Alternatives to green belt development in the immediate vicinity of Blackmore village are being ignored by the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28847 - 4851 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28852 Object****Respondent: Mr Conrad Dixon [8688]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. The more remote locations do not have the infrastructure to support expansion and suffer from higher risk of flooding

Change To Plan: The extra demand on infrastructure has not been adequately planned for or costed. To proceed on this basis would be reckless, given the risk of road traffic accidents and higher flood risk. There are more sound locations available for the proposed developments.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28852 - 8688 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28857 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Dodd [5498]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28857 - 5498 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28863 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Dodd [4828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: I am concerned by the development being undertaken by Epping Council on Fingrith Hall Lane that is a real threat to Blackmore local services. There does not appear to have been any published consultation between Brentwood planners and Epping DC and no evidence of working together planners that is a requirement in these circumstances. This should be rectified without further delay.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28863 - 4828 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28865 Object****Respondent: Jack Mills [9001]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28865 - 9001 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28872 Object****Respondent: Carla Downton [9002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28872 - 9002 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28877 Object****Respondent: Jane Mills [9003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28877 - 9003 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28882 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Downton [8432]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28882 - 8432 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28887 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Mills [6982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28887 - 6982 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28897 Object****Respondent: Anna Dunk [8426]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28897 - 8426 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28899 Object****Respondent: Toby Mills [9005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28899 - 9005 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28906 Object****Respondent: Dennis Mitchell [9006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28906 - 9006 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28911 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorna Mitchell [8391]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28911 - 8391 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28915 Object****Respondent: Mr Sean Moore [8520]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28915 - 8520 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28921 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shui-Lin Moore [8521]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Village infrastructure cannot cope with any increased amount of housing whereas already utilised Brownfield can as this is what it was purpose built for.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28921 - 8521 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28926 Object****Respondent: Anastasia Mootoosamy [9007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28926 - 9007 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28931 Object****Respondent: John Moppett [9008]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28931 - 9008 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28936 Object****Respondent: Mr Bryan Moreton [8513]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28936 - 8513 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28941 Object****Respondent: Gloria Moreton [9009]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28941 - 9009 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28946 Object****Respondent: Samantha Dunk [8438]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Just to reinforce the fact that the infrastructure in our tiny village is wholly inadequate to support building on the scale proposed on our beautiful Green Belt land. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28946 - 8438 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28951 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Durdant-Pead [8117]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. This makes far more sense than to build on Green belt land.

Change To Plan: Had Blackmore been given the correct status in keeping with its size and facilities then this situation would never have got underway. Blackmore is not a 'Large Village' given it only has one local corner shop to provide for its current residents. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28951 - 8117 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28956 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Durdant-Pead [8326]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: As a new resident in Blackmore it is obvious that the Village cannot sustain the proposed growth to the population by way of more housing. The Village is not a 'Large Village' and does not meet the criteria to be considered as such. Therefore the current LDP for Blackmore should be abandoned.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28956 - 8326 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28961 Object****Respondent: Mr John Eaton [8124]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28961 - 8124 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28966 Object****Respondent: Kirsty Edwards [8450]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28966 - 8450 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28971 Object****Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28971 - 8477 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28976 Object****Respondent: J Ellis [9010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28976 - 9010 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28981 Object****Respondent: Matthew Emerson [9011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28981 - 9011 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28988 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28988 - 4848 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28991 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28991 - 4987 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**28996 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Morgan [4505]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28996 - 4505 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29001 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lesley Moss [7053]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29001 - 7053 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29006 Object****Respondent: Mr and Mrs Brian and Lesley Moss [2905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29006 - 2905 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29011 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Moulder [4719]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29011 - 4719 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29017 Object****Respondent: Stuart Moulder [4713]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Infrastructure must be in place BEFORE any development is considered, particularly in more rural or remote locations

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29017 - 4713 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29021 Object****Respondent: Mr Gerald Mountstevens [4911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29021 - 4911 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29026 Object****Respondent: Mr Lewis Pincombe [8745]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29026 - 8745 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29031 Object****Respondent: Patricia Mountstevens [9012]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29031 - 9012 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29036 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Pincombe [8614]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29036 - 8614 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29038 Object****Respondent: Mrs Vicky Mumby [8378]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29038 - 8378 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29045 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Pavitt [8746]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29045 - 8746 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29050 Object****Respondent: Dr Murray Wood [7003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29050 - 7003 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29055 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Pavitt [8747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29055 - 8747 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29060 Object****Respondent: Ms Sylvia Pascoe [7953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29060 - 7953 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29065 Object****Respondent: Mr John and Maureen Murrell [6846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29065 - 6846 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29070 Object****Respondent: Mr Tony Parris [9013]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Should not be removed, close to the station.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29070 - 9013 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29075 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29075 - 8315 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29080 Object****Respondent: Ms Sheena Parish [9014]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29080 - 9014 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29085 Object****Respondent: Mrs Beth Pardoe [8613]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29085 - 8613 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29090 Object****Respondent: Mr Albert Pardoe [8002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29090 - 8002 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29095 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Pallet [1313]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Again we should protect all Green Belt for future generations

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29095 - 1313 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29100 Object****Respondent: Miss Emily Dimond [7227]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: I believe the more suitable brownfield locations have not been fully considered before planning building on Blackmore's Greenfield sites (R25 & R26). As recommendation under the National Planning Policy all other alternatives should be fully considered before greenbelt development is authorised. I therefore wholly OBJECT to the inclusion of these sites within the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29100 - 7227 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29105 Object****Respondent: Callie Emmett [9019]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29105 - 9019 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29110 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Owen [9016]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Town centre site close to station should not be reduced

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29110 - 9016 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29115 Object****Respondent: MR David Emmett [8445]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29115 - 8445 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29120 Object****Respondent: Ms Amanda Owen [9017]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29120 - 9017 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29124 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Emmett [8372]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29124 - 8372 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29129 Object****Respondent: Ms Jennifer Emmett [4896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29129 - 4896 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29135 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Emmett [8436]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29135 - 8436 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29142 Object****Respondent: Mr Scott Osborne [8456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29142 - 8456 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29145 Object****Respondent: Mrs Faye Osborne [8458]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29145 - 8458 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29150 Object****Respondent: Mr John Orbell [4805]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29150 - 4805 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29156 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gemma Olley [8462]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29156 - 8462 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29160 Object****Respondent: Ann Eustace [9020]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. This is where homes should be built, near station

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29160 - 9020 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29165 Object****Respondent: Mr David Olley [8461]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Brentwood Council should be encouraging the building of flats on this Brownfield site as all towns are doing this now to meet housing demand, so they should increase homes on this site not reduce.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29165 - 8461 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29170 Object****Respondent: Kathleen Evans [9021]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29170 - 9021 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29176 Object****Respondent: Mr Neil O'Riordan [8630]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29176 - 8630 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29180 Object****Respondent: Pat Fahy [9022]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29180 - 9022 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29185 Object****Respondent: Pat Fearnley [9024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29185 - 9024 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29190 Object****Respondent: Mr Brett O'Hara [9023]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29190 - 9023 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29195 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew O'Hara [9025]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29195 - 9025 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29200 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29200 - 5892 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29205 Object****Respondent: Ms Suzanne O'Hara [9026]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Town centre site should not be reduced.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29205 - 9026 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29210 Object****Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29210 - 9027 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29218 Object****Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29218 - 9027 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29220 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29220 - 5892 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29227 Object****Respondent: Ms Tracey O'Brien [9028]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. This site is more suitable for building house that sites that are a long way from amenities.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29227 - 9028 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29230 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Finlay [8191]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29230 - 8191 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29235 Object****Respondent: Ms Jill Griffiths [5024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29235 - 5024 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29242 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Gregory [9029]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29242 - 9029 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29245 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ceri Fisher [8459]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Shenfield has already developed roads and supporting infrastructure with shops, schooling already set up whereas Blackmore has a primary school that is too small for current villagers, the village centre is often congested around the Co-op which supports the wider local community who then have to park in the neighbouring roads when visiting and create safety risks as well as congestion.

Change To Plan: The process that has been followed seems flawed. 1. The parish comments were not taken into consideration at the hearing when the decision was made by BBC because they ran out of time and the parish representations were not heard. 2. I do not believe that the local villagers concerns have been listened to or addressed, hence the strong feelings that have caused the formation of BVHA and so many responses for the size of our community. 3. There are other sites more suitable that have not been considered, eg. Stondon Massey Parish have welcomed opportunities for more housing to regenerate their village. 4. The broader development picture has not been looked at, the development plans of Epping Borough council and the already agreed building that is going on. 5. A proper impact study has not been completed looking at whether the village can cope with this level of development, looking at the whole picture of recent housing expansion not just the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29245 - 8459 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29250 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anne Gregory [4305]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29250 - 4305 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29256 Object****Respondent: Ms Doreen Greenshields [8460]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29256 - 8460 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29260 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. The Shenfield site has had a larger overall reduction in housing to be built yet the site already is in a developed area, suggesting sadly that the damage has already been done.

Change To Plan: Technically the LDP has been poorly executed and poorly considered. Lack of joined up consultation with the neighbouring borough, not allowing local parish representations to be heard, not considering the overwhelming response of the villages that live here. We don't object to building, but use the brown field sites and common sense please.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29260 - 8480 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29269 Object****Respondent: Paul Fletcher [9030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Building on greenbelt would downgrade its designation leading to potentially further development on greenbelt land. If houses are built on sites R25 and R26 what plans would prevent further development of greenbelt land around Blackmore and throughout the Borough of Brentwood?

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29269 - 9030 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29274 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Foreman [4394]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29274 - 4394 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29279 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lucille Foreman [8574]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29279 - 8574 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29284 Object****Respondent: Sally French [9031]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29284 - 9031 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29289 Object****Respondent: Mr Lee Fullick [8467]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29289 - 8467 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29294 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Fullick [8464]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29294 - 8464 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29299 Object****Respondent: Daniel Furnell [9032]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29299 - 9032 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29304 Object****Respondent: Mrs Grace Furnell [8182]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29304 - 8182 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29309 Object****Respondent: Mr Ricky Gardner [7282]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29309 - 7282 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29314 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Garrett [4947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29314 - 4947 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29319 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Murrell [8519]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29319 - 8519 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29324 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Murrell [8560]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29324 - 8560 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29329 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Murrell [8517]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29329 - 8517 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29334 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Newcombe [8598]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29334 - 8598 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29339 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hazel Newcombe [8597]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29339 - 8597 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29344 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Newton [8601]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29344 - 8601 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29350 Object****Respondent: Mrs Tina Newton [8600]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29350 - 8600 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29354 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Geary [8483]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations. I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. The area is populated enough the infrastructure is to full capacities

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29354 - 8483 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29363 Object****Respondent: Beverley Gibson [9034]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29363 - 9034 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29364 Object****Respondent: Doddinghurst Infant School (Ms. Ingrid Nicholson) [4339]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29364 - 4339 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29368 Object****Respondent: Mrs Doreen Gray [9033]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29368 - 9033 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29372 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Gill [8492]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the PLan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29372 - 8492 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29379 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joanne Gill [4758]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. I do not think that the land on Honeypot Lane should have been automatically removed when other sites that have less infrastructure to accommodate development were disregarded for removal.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29379 - 4758 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29388 Object****Respondent: Mr John Ginivan [8476]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29388 - 8476 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29389 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Gordon [9035]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29389 - 9035 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29394 Object****Respondent: Mr Bruno Giordan [8104]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29394 - 8104 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29400 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Nicholson [4709]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29400 - 4709 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29402 Object****Respondent: Mr David Goodall [9036]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29402 - 9036 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29409 Object****Respondent: Mrs M.H. Giordan [1540]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: . This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29409 - 1540 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29414 Object****Respondent: Valerie Godbee [4943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure. Sites such as this Policy R19 with all it's amenities and infrastructure should surely be given consideration over R25 & R26 that do not have the necessary amenities and infrastructure are those that are there are completely overwhelmed

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29414 - 4943 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29419 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Godbee [4942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.  
Blackmore is a small village with limited facilities. It has one shop, transport links are very poor with the bus service very limited indeed cancelled at one time and has traffic problems. Building on sites such as R19 makes more sense as the infrastructure is already there

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29419 - 4942 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29424 Object****Respondent: Mrs Niyazi [9039]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 29424 - 9039 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29429 Object****Respondent: Ms Viola Sherwin [9040]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 29429 - 9040 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29434 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Slaughter [9041]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29434 - 9041 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**29438 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: FOCUSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I disagree - existing infrastructure should not be a relevant consideration. There is also strong local opposition to further building in Priest's Lane and this has to be acknowledged too.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29438 - 8177 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26516 Support****Respondent: Cllr Chris Hossack [1974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I support the reduction. Following the consultation responses it was clear there are concerns about the impact of traffic from additional dwellings at Priest lane. This reduction should reduce the commensurate number of vehicle movements but I accept this is a Brown Field site so would be very difficult not to accept some principle of development

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26516 - 1974 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26520 Support****Respondent: Mr John Darragh [4862]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: provided 45 homes built are two bedroomed bungalows for older residents

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26520 - 4862 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26654 Support****Respondent: Anglian Water (Mr Stewart Patience) [6824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We note that it is proposed to decrease the amount of housing on this allocation site to address comments made as part of the previous consultation. As an infrastructure provider we closely monitor housing growth in our region to align our planned investment with additional demand for water recycling infrastructure. Therefore we have no comments to make relating to the focused change to Policy R19.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26654 - 6824 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26695 Support****Respondent: Mr Mr J Nicholls and Mr A Biglin (Land owners) [8368]****Agent: Sworders (Mrs Rachel Bryan) [5481]**

Summary: We support the following changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan:  
\* Policy R18 (Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 55" to "around 35 homes".  
\* Policy R19 (Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 75" to "around 45 homes".  
\* Policy R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 40" to around "30 homes".  
\* Policy R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 30" to "around 20 homes".

We support the reduction in housing numbers at the allocation sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, as this is justified by the evidence base.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26695 - 8368 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26696 Support****Respondent: Mr Mr J Nicholls and Mr A Biglin (Land owners) [8368]****Agent: Sworders (Mrs Rachel Bryan) [5481]**

Summary: We support the following changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan:

- \* Policy R18 (Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 55" to "around 35 homes".
- \* Policy R19 (Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 75" to "around 45 homes".
- \* Policy R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 40" to around "30 homes".
- \* Policy R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 30" to "around 20 homes".

We support the reduction in housing numbers at the allocation sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, as this is justified by the evidence base.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26696 - 8368 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26703 Support****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Infrastructure already in place, however this is a green field site and it would be preferable to build on a disused brownfield site nearby. Suggest the old Peugeot garage which has remained abandoned for years.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26703 - 4396 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26787 Support****Respondent: Historic England (Andrew Marsh) [8824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There are no designated heritage assets within or near to the site. Historic England has no comments to make on this focussed change.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26787 - 8824 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26921 Support****Respondent: Mr David Hall [4867]****Agent: N/A**Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26921 - 4867 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26931 Support****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Hall [8684]****Agent: N/A**Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26931 - 8684 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26951 Support****Respondent: Mr Kevin Hall [6734]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove site R25 &amp;26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26951 - 6734 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26956 Support****Respondent: Mr. Chris Hamilton [3835]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26956 - 3835 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**26961 Support****Respondent: Mrs Mandy Hamilton [8633]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26961 - 8633 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27249 Support****Respondent: Mr Alan Hardy [8858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27249 - 8858 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27292 Support****Respondent: David Hammond [577]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27292 - 577 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27297 Support****Respondent: Mrs June Harrington [4776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp;R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27297 - 4776 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27317 Support****Respondent: Mr Lawrence Harrington [4778]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27317 - 4778 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27327 Support****Respondent: Ms Tina Harrington [4779]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q6 FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019)Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27327 - 4779 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27340 Support****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27340 - 8679 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27349 Support****Respondent: Mr Andrew Harris [8628]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27349 - 8628 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27354 Support****Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27354 - 8678 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

**27359 Support****Respondent: Laura Harris [8685]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 3: POLICY R19 - Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield.(11% of total responses, March 2019). Defined as: Greenfield Land within Brentwood urban area / Settlement boundary. This is a site surrounded by existing housing, on a main road, and next to a railway line. This site is more suitable for residential development than more remote locations (EG Policies R25 and R26) and therefore should be built on before remote locations.  
A - I agree - we should prioritise building on sites with, or close to, existing infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 27359 - 8685 - Policy R19: Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield (page 292) - None

CHAPTER: Addendum of Focussed Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299)  
Changes to the Pre-Submission

**26525 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Anthony Cross [4376]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposed reduction in the number of dwellings has been arbitrarily calculated. The proposed reduction has no scientific or evidence based reasoning and does not adequately address or mitigate the significant concerns and objections raised as part of the original LDP (Pre-submission, Regulation 19). The proposed change only reduces the proposed number of dwellings and not the size and extent of the site being developed, so the adverse impacts of the development would not materially reduce. There are more suitable alternative sites in the borough which are able to absorb the number of dwellings proposed for this site.

Change To Plan: Remove site allocations R25 and R26 from the LDP entirely. Any development of this greenfield, agriculturally viable and environmentally beneficial land would be detrimental to the village and natural environment.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: ii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26525 - 4376 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii, iv

**26527 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Tom Bennett [4388]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposed reduction from 40 to 30 does not address concerns about further strains on services and infrastructure within Blackmore, the narrowness of Red Rose Lane (the only access to the site), potential for flooding and undue incursion of green belt land.

New housing developments by Epping Forest DC at Ashling's Farm, Nine Ashes & former Equestrian Centre off Fingrith Hall Lane (~70 homes) haven't been considered, nor have the recent approvals at Red Rose Farm, Spriggs Lane or the pending application for the Travellers site on Chelmsford Road, Blackmore. These will add to the problems outlined above.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26527 - 4388 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26529 Object**

**Respondent: Mrs Shirley Slade-Bennett [8240]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The reduction in the number of houses to be built does not fully address my concerns of an increase in the existing overload of services and infrastructure in Blackmore village and its surroundings. This is already exacerbated by new housing developments in nearby communities, who will also use our roads and facilities, and brownfield approvals in Blackmore, none of which are allowed for in the LDP. My original concerns of flooding, loss of greenbelt land and the unsuitability of the narrow red Rose Lane, which is the only access to the site also remain unaltered.

Change To Plan: Remove site R25 from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26529 - 8240 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26535 Object**

**Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: This land is greenfield/green belt and should not be built on.

Change To Plan: For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure of Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26535 - 8477 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - iv

**26537 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Please don't build more houses. The village can not cope with another 50 dwellings.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26 from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26537 - 8177 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26548 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: While we welcome the decision to reduce the number of dwellings proposed for the above two sites we feel this would still put too great a strain on the village facilities. Therefore we strongly support the latest proposal to remove a further 20 houses from the Plan for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26548 - 8777 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26550 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Very concerned that Green Belt and rural green spaces are disappearing. This will impact on local health services, parking and safety due to increase in cars, the schools is full, there has already been a lot of new building. Brentwood town centre is affected by transport problems and the high street is changing for the worse.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26550 - 4735 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26552 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The area used to be all green belt, but over the years have witnessed the villages grown in massive numbers. Unfortunately the local services have not. The school is full, GP services are not sufficient and the roads are congested and there are parking problems in the village. Whilst I understand the need for extra housing, including affordable, there has already been a lot of building in the area. The impact locally and on the high street in Brentwood is clear, gridlocked roads and poor shops. Keep the green spaces.

Change To Plan: Object to Blackmore proposals

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26552 - 4735 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26555 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rosalind Rose [8557]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I would still like to register my concerns over the proposed dwellings on site R 25 and R 26 in Blackmore. There has already been approved planning for dwellings at Fingrith Hall Lane and Ashlings Farm although not in the Blackmore parish they will use the limited amenities of Blackmore. At the moment it is very difficult to get an appointment at the doctors and the village school is full and I can't see that there will be any vast improvement in the near future. It is about time the UK put in the infrastructure before building as in many other countries. I do realise that the younger and older population need more affordable housing but as soon as the properties are built they very soon compete for the higher price range in villages such as Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26555 - 8557 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26562 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Reductions in Blackmore Village from 70 to 50 (30%). The statements for justification are i) inconsistency with character, ii) impact on local services, iii) disagreement with settlement hierarchy, iv) Green Belt development and flood risk. In a large village it is difficult to understand how a total of 70 new homes can make too much difference. There are already a large variety in the types of homes in Blackmore so again how can new build be out of character? What can a reduction of 20 homes do to improve the village character that much? It does not make sense and again appears to be NIMBYISM! Does the council think a token gesture will do in this case? The impact on local services of 50 homes is not much different to that from 70 homes. Blackmore has good local services with a rail link to Brentwood and this was part of the reasons given for locating hundreds of homes in West Horndon. Road access is good with easy access to the A414, A12, M25 and M11. It has 3 public houses, 2 village halls, sports and social club, football and cricket pitches and a village shop with a farmers market at weekends. Hardly hard done by and surely it could easily take 70 homes without any impact at all. So this part of the justification does not ring true! What is the basis of the settlement hierarchy? Small population areas tend to provide only low order services such as Post Office and Newsagents, not 3 public houses, 2 village halls etc. This is a ridiculous statement as a justification. West Horndon Village has 1 public house, 1 village hall, no sports and social clubs or cricket pitches etc but is going to have almost 500 extra homes with no improvement in service or facilities. What about our settlement hierarchy? We do not appear to matter to the council and are not as important a village as Blackmore obviously. Again discriminatory, disgusting and very insulting to residents of West Horndon. Where is our value? We pay the same tax to support the council but are obviously second class citizens.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26562 - 2712 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26566 Object****Respondent: Mr Steve Mitchell [8535]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Views remain the same. Oppose any development on R25 and R26

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26566 - 8535 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26568 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Mitchell [8534]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Views remain the same. Oppose any development on R25 and R26

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26568 - 8534 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26570 Object****Respondent: Mr & Mrs Gunthardt [8790]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Our objections to the proposed development reflected the general views expressed by our Parish Council and those of a large proportion of the Village population. We feel strongly that the proposed development including the latest revised LDP would negatively impact on the unique character of the Blackmore Village and put undue strain on its already strained infrastructure and services including traffic and parking facilities, access to the local school, lack of adequate medical facilities, flooding etc. We also understand that there are now plans to build a further 70 properties just outside our borough which will cause further strain on the resources and infrastructure of our village. We fully support the efforts and views expressed by our local Parish Council. We trust that you will fully take into account of the views expressed by the residents of our village.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26570 - 8790 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26575 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: rural area development too large  
local services overloaded i.e. schools roads, doctors, parking etc  
Rural areas need to be preserved that is why you choose to live in the town or the countryside

Change To Plan: no large rural development in the countryside

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26575 - 4735 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - iv

**26578 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Cross [4376]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The village of Blackmore is already going to be adversely impacted by nearby residential developments on Kings Street, Norton Heath Equestrian Centre, Ashland's Farm and potentially on Red Rose Farm amongst others. The impact of none of these sites is considered in the Local Plan. Accordingly, Blackmore is already contributing to the provision of new housing stock. It would be inappropriate to add to this by including sites R25 and R26 in the Local Plan; both should therefore be removed in full.

Change To Plan: Remove site R25 from the Local Plan in full.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: ii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26578 - 4376 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii, iv

**26580 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Too much strain on local infrastructure - schools, medical, doctors waiting times for appointment and could result in increased flooding to village. Parking already impossible in village.

More suitable sites should have been identified. Land is in Green Belt area. No healthcare in Parish, GP surgeries at max capacity. Blackmore school at capacity now. Inadequate roads, parking in village is a nightmare. Utility services would need upgrading and also public transport. Prone to flooding in the village. Loss of ambience of village, such a major expansion would ruin the character of an otherwise beautiful village. Loss of valuable agricultural land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26580 - 8011 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26582 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Sexton [4860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I registered my concerns and objections with regard to the above development. My views have not changed and have in fact strengthened in the light of other developments that have arisen since February 2019.

Additionally, potential residents of any development or developments be adequately warned of all the shortcomings and ongoing problems they might experience living in this village which have been raised by the BVHA during this consultation with Brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Change To Plan: remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26582 - 4860 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26584 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I wish to put forward my concerns about the above plan you have for the end of Woollard Way and Orchard Piece, I'm very against these plans, you have approved development in Fingrith Hall Lane of 70 New houses also I hear you have also approved Red Rose Lane also Spriggs Lane surely with the size of Blackmore you cannot expect our village to cope with a further 50 houses . You seem to be going on what you have been told by the government rather than the needs of your ratepayers.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26584 - 8315 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26596 Object****Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield site should not have any houses built

Change To Plan: Build the houses at Dunton development large site which could accomodate these without a major upheaval which the development at Blackmore will cause the village

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26596 - 8678 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26602 Object****Respondent: Mr Alfred Larney [4990]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We are against the building in Blackmore of 50 houses in Fringrith Hall Lane and 15 dwellings on Rose Farm Site and Spriggs Lane, At the present time its nearly impossible to drive u Fringrith Hall Road with all the parked cars on a weekday, weekends even worse. The school cannot take anymore pupils and as for the doctors, its nearly 3 weeks wait. A blood test result usually takes 2 weeks but is now 8. If we gat anymore building allowed we will turn into a town , losing the words village, you are going about a lovely village being spoilt all the people in the new equestrian site will be coming into Blackmore not Ongar, The green Belt Land should be left as green belt.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26602 - 4990 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26608 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The 30 houses should be allocated to Dunton

Change To Plan: Dunton Village has good infrastructure & transport links so could take additional 30 houses  
Blackmore has no infrastructure & poor transport links

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes      Sound?: Yes      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26608 - 8686 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26610 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We have already written to ask for our February forms to be passed on to the Inspector. However, we have now received from our Parish Council a request to write once again about the reduced plan on sites R25 and R26 the reduction on these sites from 70 to 50. There isn't the infrastructure to accommodate more large developments. Epping and Ongar Council have already built on the boundary without consultation or thought for how we will deal with sewage surface water, traffic, we ow only have village post office shop. Parking by visitors now is abysmal with a further 15 in Spriggs Lane and Red Rose Lane We cannot cope now. Redrose and Woollard Way are meadows not brownfield.

There was an application for a very small house on a brownfield site on Orchard Piece you pushed that man who was in fact homeless to distraction, you behaved in a manner we never wish to see again it was disgraceful. Now it is OK to build on the field adjacent T26. 20 houses when you dealt with him you knew about R26 and kept quiet. The whole situation has been dealt with so badly we so not feel safe in official hands.

We thank Chris Hossack for speaking to us at last we have a leader who listens. Please pass all our comments to the inspector we are so disgusted the way this LDP plan has been handled.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26610 - 8388 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26612 Object****Respondent: Mr William A Smith [8512]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We have already written to ask for our February forms to be passed on to the Inspector. However, we have now received from our Parish Council a request to write once again about the reduced plan on sites R25 and R26 the reduction on these sites from 70 to 50. There isn't the infrastructure to accommodate more large developments. Epping and Ongar Council have already built on the boundary without consultation or thought for how we will deal with sewage surface water, traffic, we ow only have village post office shop. Parking by visitors now is abysmal with a further 15 in Spriggs Lane and Red Rose Lane We cannot cope now. Redrose and Woollard Way are meadows not brownfield.

There was an application for a very small house on a brownfield site on Orchard Piece you pushed that man who was in fact homeless to distraction, you behaved in a manner we never wish to see again it was disgraceful. Now it is OK to build on the field adjacent T26. 20 houses when you dealt with him you knew about R26 and kept quiet. The whole situation has been dealt with so badly we so not feel safe in official hands.

We thank Chris Hossack for speaking to us at last we have a leader who listens. Please pass all our comments to the inspector we are so disgusted the way this LDP plan has been handled.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26612 - 8512 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26614 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: My previous comments remain strongly my view and the slight decrease in the number of housing will not make much difference and change my mind or reasons that the houses would be best build outside of Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26614 - 4848 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26616 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: My previous comments made in February and March 2019 remain strongly my view and the small decrease in the number of housing will not make much difference and change my mind or reasons that the houses would be best build outside of Blackmore.  
There are much more suitable areas in Brentwood and the Greenbelt in Blackmore with no infrastructure is really not suitable.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26616 - 4987 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26618 Object****Respondent: Mr Timothy Webb [5612]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Object to all document particularly R25 and R26  
Not legally compliant as still contravenes Green Belt legislation and national policy; unsound as R25 and R26 changes grossly inadequate as fail to rectify destruction of Green Belt, loss of agricultural land, access issues on Redrose Lane, impact on school and medical facilities, minimal public transport, flood risk.  
Failure comply with Duty to Cooperate as local residents and elected representative concerns are disregarded.

Change To Plan: Proposed changes are superficial/more more radical reform required. Housing demand should be addressed with high density in and around Brentwood Town - blocks of flats and above shops. Executed effectively in Dagenham Heathway.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26618 - 5612 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, iii, iv

**26621 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Bailey [5045]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The reduction in housing numbers does not address concerns of strain on local services and infrastructure but my greatest objection is the intrusion of building on the green belt. Should not build there, build on brownfield sites, Council have already approved development on Red Rose Farm and Spriggs Lane sites. Consultation is poor. The form is daunting, not everyone in the village and parish have been informed.  
I do not know where n this form to make my comments but at least I have made my objections known and would reiterate my previous objections.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26621 - 5045 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26623 Object****Respondent: Mrs Pamela Bailey [8010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Addendum is unsound as main issues of development are ignored. Outrageous to build on Green Belt; extra pressure on traffic is not being addressed with two more cars per property resulting in mayhem, parking already impossible and is a danger for parents and children, and pavements being used for parking by all vehicles, so we need to walk in the road. The school is oversubscribed, resulting in car use to transport children elsewhere. Medical centre is overflowing and can't keep up with existing demand, more residents will exacerbate this. Should listen to our concerns, planners are ignoring the urgent issues. Unfair on village and other brownfield sites should be used.

Change To Plan: This local plan will only be sound if the vital points as set out in question 5 are adhered to: no building on Green Belt, keep Blackmore a village not an attempt to make it into a mini-town. Also need to consider how it will affect the local water supply, etc, etc,etc. The vital points must be listened to - GREEN BELT, SCHOOL, GP SURGERY, PARKING, HEAVIER TRAFFIC, WATER SUPPLY, FLOODING.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26623 - 8010 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26628 Object****Respondent: Punch Partnerships (PGRP) Ltd [8801]****Agent: Cordage Group (Miss Lauren Parsons) [8797]**

Summary: The proposed reduction in housing numbers in Shenfield and Blackmore reduces housing numbers in sustainable settlements where growth is needed, and puts them in a less sustainable location. In relocating the units to the proposed strategic allocation at Denton Hills, the provision of these units will inevitably occur later in the plan period, when the focus should be on early provision to address the current housing land supply shortfall. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding.

Change To Plan: A much better solution would be to reprovide the units lost from the Shenfield and Blackmore allocations on sustainable sites in and around Brentwood. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, being located on the edge of the town close to services and facilities, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, and as per the Environment Agency comments on the most recent planning application, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding. We therefore advocate that Spital Lane be allocated for housing in the emerging plan, along with other suitable smaller sites identified in the SHLAA, to make up the housing numbers lost in Shenfield and Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26628 - 8801 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26631 Object****Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The addition of housing on this scale will increase the population, overcrowding, congestion and traffic hazards putting a strain on infrastructure which has remained unchanged for many years. New housing developments are surrounding Blackmore which have not been included in the LDP. This site is greenbelt and should be excluded as there are brownfield sites available. The area is subject to serious flooding and access is narrow and dangerous. There would also be adverse affects to the natural environment and nature of the village. Purely developer-led, this site was excluded from the LDP in 2016 and should be excluded again.

Change To Plan: This site should be removed from the LDP and the village plan implemented which makes use of available brownfield sites and meets village requirements not those of developers. Brownfield sites already offered should be used and more investigation into including other brownfield opportunities undertaken (e.g. Stondon Massey where development is actively encouraged).

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26631 - 6880 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26635 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Site should be removed from LDP totally.

Change To Plan: Greenfield site object to any development.

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26635 - 8417 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26637 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It fails to take into account the number of dwellings being built outside BBC domain which will impact heavily on the village-65 in all that when added to the proposed total of 50 will effect infrastructure including schools and doctors surgery, flooding, parking, congestion. This reveals the woeful lack of investment in the area beforehand to improve such matters. Re-opening this LDP allows other sites to be investigated like Stondon Massey where there is a welcome need for housing as well as preferred Brownfield sites in Blackmore which could lead to the building of 26 more houses in a controlled manner.

Change To Plan: See above. Investigate building in Stondon Massey which welcomes more housing and has space. Put in new housing in Blackmore that utilises Brownfield sites and has far less impact on the environment and infrastructure which is already under enormous strain-try getting an appointment at the doctor's surgery!

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26637 - 8634 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26642 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenbelt these should be reduced to zero

Change To Plan: The 2 sites in Shenfield which have good public transport & infrastructure could take these homes

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26642 - 8679 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26644 Object****Respondent: Miss Jean Monery [8007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I sent in my concerns with regards to the new housing development in February of this year. My views on the revised LDP have not changed and I feel that any new development to the two sites will alter the landscape, add extra vehicles to the village which will cause major disruption within the village and to the one shop that we have. I moved to Blackmore 4 years ago, it took my husband and I two years to find where we wanted to spend our retirement and in the plans it clearly stated that there would be no building on the fields surrounding Woollard Way which is why we decided to buy and I now feel this is a contradiction and we should have been informed of any future developments that have been put in place.

We also like the quietness of the village and personally we paid for this benefit which if the housing sites go ahead is not only disrupting our lives as others within the village but also village way of life which is what we so wanted. English heritage should be a major factor and development on villages that need building up. I would appreciate if you can again take my views into consideration.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26644 - 8007 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26647 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This site has received a reduction of 10 dwellings but Shenfield has only had a reduction of 30 dwellings. The Shenfield site is already well supported by schools, shops and a good road structure with infrastructure put in place for a residential area. R25 is green belt land that has a narrow single lane available to support it, which is subject to flooding

Change To Plan: Removal of the site from the LDP and move the homes into the Shenfield or Dunston site or even take into consideration the new dwellings proposed along Red Rose Farm ( brown field site ) that no-one has objected to.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: ii, iii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26647 - 8480 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii, iii

**26649 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Clarke [7095]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: R25 has to be removed from the LDP as it is not suitable.  
Site R25 is not suitable for development due to the following  
Green belt land  
Local services at full capacity  
Housing development already in and around Blackmore being built  
Redrose Lane is not suitable for the increased traffic  
Site R25 and Redrose Lane are at risk of flooding

Change To Plan: R25 has to be removed from the LDP as it is not suitable.

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: ii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26649 - 7095 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii

**26657 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I have previously commented on the proposed plans for sites labelled as R25 and R26 (Woollard Way and Orchard Piece) of the LDP. I re-iterate my views previously posted to yourselves in this regard. Furthermore, the recent flooding to the north of England and particularly Fishlake, only go to demonstrate the danger of flooding to areas that have a history in this regard. Blackmore is one of these areas and this increased risk and the other concerns previously I have expressed remain.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26657 - 8648 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26665 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There is no proven need for a development of this size in Blackmore. By even reducing numbers you admit that the original proposal was flawed

Change To Plan: Removal from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26665 - 2456 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - iv

**26667 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: Holmes & Hills LLP (Mr Michael Harman) [8074]**

Summary: Both the Parish Council and BVHA remain strongly opposed to the proposed allocation of Sites R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore) and R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore) for housing development. The proposed allocations, following the "focussed changes", are for "around 30 new homes" at R25 and for "around 20 new homes" at R26. The Parish Council and BVHA say that BBC can meet its Local Housing Need ('LHN') on preferable sites to R25 and R26. Further, the Parish Council and BVHA say that the LHN can be met without sites R25 and R26 at all.

Greater use of Dunton Hills Garden Village with higher densities; greater use of sites R18 and R19 with higher densities rather than lower as proposed and are more sustainable town sites; the existing windfall development rate in Blackmore is appropriate; nearby development in Epping impacts on infrastructure without contribution; Therefore the Parish Council and BVHA recognise that proposed allocation on sites R25 and R26 has been reduced following "focussed changes". However, both the Parish Council and BVHA maintain that the LHN can be met on more suitable and/or sustainable sites elsewhere in the Borough.

BBC have not considered increasing housing density on the Dunton Hills Garden Village site. A modest increase in density may negate the need for both the Shenfield (R18 and R19) and Blackmore (R25 and R26) sites. The Shenfield sites are clearly in more sustainable locations (as confirmed by the Sustainability Appraisal scores) but are surrounded by built form development but also transport links/infrastructure. Thus, the inclusion of sites R18 and R19 will not lead to coalescence nor erode the countryside/Green Belt. Sites R18 and R19 should be allocated in preference to the Blackmore sites (R25 and R26).

There is no need for the Blackmore sites if the allocation on the Shenfield sites is reinstated. Namely, the 50 dwellings removed from sites R18 and R19 would, if reinstated, entirely negate the need to allocate sites R25 and R26. Moreover, there is no evidence that BBC have considered increasing housing density on sites R18 and R19; both of which could take a higher housing density but particularly the latter.

Change To Plan: The Plan, as amended by the focussed changes, is not sound with the inclusion of sites R25 and R26. The inclusion of sites R25 and R26 cannot be justified and their inclusion of these sites is contrary to national policy, particularly with regards to sustainable development and Green Belt land policies within the NPPF. Brentwood Borough Council should amend the plan to retain R25 and R26 as Green Belt and not allocate these sites for housing.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26667 - 4874 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26672 Object****Respondent: Blackmore, Hook End and Wyatts Green Parish Council (Parish Clerk) [1921]****Agent: Holmes & Hills LLP (Mr Michael Harman) [8074]**

Summary: Both the Parish Council and BVHA remain strongly opposed to the proposed allocation of Sites R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore) and R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore) for housing development. The proposed allocations, following the "focussed changes", are for "around 30 new homes" at R25 and for "around 20 new homes" at R26. The Parish Council and BVHA say that BBC can meet its Local Housing Need ('LHN') on preferable sites to R25 and R26. Further, the Parish Council and BVHA say that the LHN can be met without sites R25 and R26 at all.

Greater use of Dunton Hills Garden Village with higher densities; greater use of sites R18 and R19 with higher densities rather than lower as proposed and are more sustainable town sites; the existing windfall development rate in Blackmore is appropriate; nearby development in Epping impacts on infrastructure without contribution; Therefore the Parish Council and BVHA recognise that proposed allocation on sites R25 and R26 has been reduced following "focussed changes". However, both the Parish Council and BVHA maintain that the LHN can be met on more suitable and/or sustainable sites elsewhere in the Borough.

BBC have not considered increasing housing density on the Dunton Hills Garden Village site. A modest increase in density may negate the need for both the Shenfield (R18 and R19) and Blackmore (R25 and R26) sites. The Shenfield sites are clearly in more sustainable locations (as confirmed by the Sustainability Appraisal scores) but are surrounded by built form development but also transport links/infrastructure. Thus, the inclusion of sites R18 and R19 will not lead to coalescence nor erode the countryside/Green Belt. Sites R18 and R19 should be allocated in preference to the Blackmore sites (R25 and R26).

There is no need for the Blackmore sites if the allocation on the Shenfield sites is reinstated. Namely, the 50 dwellings removed from sites R18 and R19 would, if reinstated, entirely negate the need to allocate sites R25 and R26. Moreover, there is no evidence that BBC have considered increasing housing density on sites R18 and R19; both of which could take a higher housing density but particularly the latter.

Change To Plan: The Plan, as amended by the focussed changes, is not sound with the inclusion of sites R25 and R26. The inclusion of sites R25 and R26 cannot be justified and their inclusion of these sites is contrary to national policy, particularly with regards to sustainable development and Green Belt land policies within the NPPF. Brentwood Borough Council should amend the plan to retain R25 and R26 as Green Belt and not allocate these sites for housing.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26672 - 1921 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26673 Object****Respondent: Ms Margaret Boreham [8033]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: As a resident of Blackmore I am writing with regard to the Local Development Plan. I have very grave concerns that the proposed plan does not address the concerns of local residents.

1. The development encroaches on to Green Belt land which is a statutory green belt around London and should remain so.

2. The proposed development, although reduced, will put a huge strain on the local infrastructure.

i) The area is prone to flooding.

ii) Local services are already over stretched

iii) The road access is inadequate

3. The area already has significant development close by at Nine Ashes and Fingrith Hall Lane. The Residents of these development use the services provided by Blackmore but the revised plan does not take account of this.

4.

In addition no allowances have been made by Brentwood Council of plans recently approved build over 15 dwellings on Red Rose Farm site and Spriggs Lane.

The plan will significantly increase the population and traffic of the parish. The village cannot realistically support such an increase, especially in the light of adjacent developments who already use the services of Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26673 - 8033 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26680 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It is not clear how to respond to the Soundness Test question below. By ticking the boxes am I saying it is OK ? or I dont like it because I am objecting. To avoid this confusion this is my view

I do not think the LDP has been Positively Prepared I do not think it is justified; I do not think it is sound.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26 from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26680 - 4759 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26683 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It should be recorded that each item I have entered is a separate representation and should be logged as such. It has been publicised that Blackmore created c500 responses to the previous consultation. However if you look at these actual responses stored on the BBC portal you will see that in fact for R25 there are 1,026 separate respondents and for R26 there are 1,035 respondents. In addition many of these respondents raise multiple objection when their individual response is reviewed. e.g. Ref 23127 has 11 different objections but is only counted as 1 representation. It would seem that there has been deliberate understatement of the magnitude of local feeling about the inequities of the foisted upon Blackmore by the LDP. To put these numbers in perspective the BBC site shows the representations on other sites as: R01 15 comments; R02 29 comments; R03 18 comments.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26683 - 4759 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26684 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The Addendum of Focussed Changes is presented as a single plan affecting 5 sites, but the individual elements do not have equal merit. All negative aspects relate to R18 & R19, whilst none relate to R25 & R26. Consequently R25 & R26 should be removed entirely and their allocation transferred to R01, R18 or R19

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26684 - 4759 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26686 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The Addendum of Focussed Changes has recognised a problem with the LDP and looks to reduce the damaging impact on the worst affected Allocated Sites based on a Council view that removal of any specific site was not "possible". In fact, it is possible to remove a site at this stage, just as it is at the next stage (if so directed by the Inspector). This artificially designated "Major" change of removing a site was shelved. Possibly as it had the negative potential consequence of getting BBC censured, or even possibly having the control of the process taken away from them by central government. Whilst the "Major" change was not palatable for BBC, it is the right option, and better than a superficial "Minor" reduction in numbers on R25 & R26.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26686 - 4759 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26688 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: 4. The Sustainability Objectives specifically raise the need for Gypsy and Traveller communities to have SUITABLE access to services and health care. BBC spent resident's money fighting one unauthorised occupation of land in Blackmore and won. Regrettably they have now smuggled this land-grab in to the LDP as a new official site with no debate or notice. This increases the burden on Blackmore services and infrastructure. which is unable to deal with the existing increase of housing proposed by the LDP. If this is left in the LPD there should be some recognition by completely removing the new house burden R25 & R26 imposed on the village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26688 - 4759 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26699 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Blackmore village suffers with flooding, any planning extensions granted during the last 30 years have had to provide soakaways for the increase in rain water. Where is the excess water going from the proposed of new build homes. Blackmore was flooded as recently as 2015. There is no infra structure or facilities to support the new builds. Green belt should not be built on when there are numerous vacant brown field sites around the Borough which already have the infrastructure to support them. I submitted numerous reasons in both my previous submissions refer you to these.

Change To Plan: Remove from the LDP and place in either Dunton Village or one of the vacant brown field sites around Brentwood Town Centre

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26699 - 4396 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26704 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There are brownfield sits and other local housing developments on the fringe of the village that must be taken into consideration and this option

Change To Plan: This should be completely removed

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26704 - 7193 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i

**26707 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There are brownfield developments proposed and other housing on the firings of the village that meet the requirements without the need to consider what is developer led developments on green belt.

Change To Plan: Proper assessment of the current and planned developments and this development completely from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26707 - 7193 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26710 Object****Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: No infrastructure  
 no public transport  
 schools and doctors already oversubscribed  
 no account taken of other developments forcing traffic and use of village amenities  
 no housing need analysis undertaken - just developer lead - no consultation with residents to add to the plan  
 no co-operation with neighbouring borough who have already approved the building of 36 homes at the top of Fingrith Hall Road

Change To Plan: take this out of the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26710 - 4547 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26716 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Additional brownfield developments within the village area and additional housing on the perimeter of the parish meets the requirement and must be taken into consideration. Aside from the lack of local resources ie schools, doctors and lack of parking in the village increased volumes of traffic along restricted land for access track is not acceptable and makes the proposal unsound. The council has also failed to take into account the flood risk assessment completed by Essex Council and requirements to grow the supporting infrastructure the proposal to build on this site must be cancelled

Change To Plan: The proposal to build on this site must be cancelled for the reasons stated

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26716 - 7195 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, iii, iv

**26718 Object****Respondent: Cllr. Andrew Watley [4869]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: My previous 2019 submission still stands. New sites on border or within Parish add 65 dwellings not included within LDP and not taken into account. All will use Blackmore infrastructure and facilities with no improvements planned. Red Rose Farm - brownfield - 12 dwellings not identified in LDP being built opposite proposed site. Stondon Massey requesting development but not in LDP. Oaktree Farm Plot 3 being included even though previously thrown out by the High Court. Illogical and sends wrong messages. The LDP not thought through and vague on numbers - uses 'around' to detail developments - open ended.

Change To Plan: Reduce the number to zero

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26718 - 4869 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, iii

**26720 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Watley [8815]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Nothing has changed! The BBC's view that the village does not have the infrastructure to cope with development of this nature in its previous LDP proposal. Even with a reduction from 70 to 50 it is still far too much and does not take into account the numerous developments that are right on the village borders numbering some 65 dwellings. The extra traffic will cause a very real danger at Nine Ashes Road and Red Rose junction - right by the school, preschool, village hall and sports club and where the water floods across the road after rainfall.

Change To Plan: Delete the development from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26720 - 8815 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii

**26730 Object****Respondent: Essex County Council (Mrs Anne Clitheroe) [6776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: NPPF para 31 requires planning policies to be underpinned by relevant and up to date evidence.

BBC need to be satisfied reduction in dwelling numbers is supported by appropriate evidence base, including:

- demonstrating site makes effective and efficient use of land (paragraphs 117, 118, 122 and 123 of the NPPF)
- is economically viable (paragraph 67)
- updated transport evidence base fully assesses transport implications.

Proposed policy change does not address ECC's Pre-Submission Reg.19 consultation representations to this policy (March 2019).

ECC's position has not changed on this matter.

Change To Plan: As a result of the reduction in dwelling numbers for this site allocation BBC should include, within the Plan evidence and supporting text for this Policy, details to demonstrate that the site allocation makes effective and efficient use of land, and is economically viable.

BBC should also update its transport evidence base for the Local Plan to fully assess the transport implications of the change in dwellings numbers on this site allocation.

The policy needs to be further changed to address ECC's representations to this policy made to the Pre-Submission Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation in March 2019.

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26730 - 6776 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii, iii, iv

**26732 Object****Respondent: Mrs G Emms [8817]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am aware that amendments have been made to the local plan regarding sites R25 and R26 reducing the number of houses planned to be put there. I do have some issues with the amount of housing being put in our area as it is putting a strain on roads and local services and also destroying the green belt in the process. The local NHS services are not able to cope with the influx of all the extra residents. I feel that we don't have the infrastructure to cope with all the development you seem to be pushing through and that you have no regard for the current residents in these areas. What extras services are you planning to put in to cope with all the new people and the needs we will all have if you go ahead with this. I think it would be better to scrap these sites completely at the moment as you still have a lot of other planning going ahead locally.

I would be grateful if you will take my view into consideration during your consultation process for this plan.

Change To Plan: remove R25 and R26 form the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26732 - 8817 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26735 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joyce Prince [8806]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am against the new housing plan in and around Blackmore. Our school is full and our Deal Tree Health Centre is struggling to cope with the ever growing population. There will be increased flooding and more cars using our narrow roads. We are also still fighting to keep a bus service to enable us to get to Brentwood.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 form the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26735 - 8806 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26741 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rosemarie Nelson [4529]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Reducing the number of proposed dwellings will not alleviate the additional problem of extra traffic on the roads, especially next to the school and pressure on local resources such as doctors, school places etc. There are plans by Epping District Council to build on the outskirts of Blackmore village and new residents will inevitably use the already stretched resources within the Blackmore Parish.

Change To Plan: The proposed development should be removed from the LDP completely.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: ii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26741 - 4529 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii

**26748 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Basildon Council objects to the Focussed Changes 1 - 5, as they do not seem to have been informed by evidence or the Sustainability Appraisal as required by National Policy. The amendments effectively redistributes 70 proposed dwellings from the 'Central Brentwood Growth Corridor', which has opportunities to embrace more sustainable modes of transport, to a Green Belt location with a less developed public transport infrastructure. The reasons for the amendments do not seem to be supported by the evidence and appear to be based solely on the considerable number of objections received in response to the Pre-Submission Local Plan consultation in March 2019. The Brentwood Sustainability Appraisal October 2019 concludes that;

"It is difficult to draw strong conclusions, with the primary considerations being: A) decreasing the homes assigned to the Brentwood/Shenfield urban area by 50 may serve to reduce traffic through the problematic town centre AQMA, but any benefit would be marginal, and equally these are accessible locations suited to minimising car dependency; and B) increasing the number of homes assigned to DHGV by 70 is potentially associated with a degree of risk, noting the ongoing work being undertaken in respect of improving air quality along the A127 within Basildon Borough, and noting consultation responses received."

Paragraph 16 of the NPPF advises amongst other things that Plans should be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. Basildon Council has considered the two Growth Corridors identified in the Brentwood Borough Local Plan. It has reflected however that there are fundamental distinctions between them, which do not appear to have influenced site selection choices in a justified way. The Central Brentwood Growth Corridor is the location of nationally and regionally managed and maintained infrastructure - the A12 & M25 (Highways England) and the Elizabeth Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Transport for London) and East Anglia Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Abellio East Anglia). Growth in this location would maximise this infrastructure investment. The South Brentwood Growth Corridor meanwhile, consists the A127 (maintained by Essex County Council) and Essex Thameside Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by c2c).

It is not considered that the two corridors offer comparable choices in terms of the strategic importance or capacity of transport connections, and using the Sustainability Appraisal and other evidence, the Plan should select sites within the Central Brentwood Growth Corridor that provide opportunity for extensions to towns and villages that can encourage more sustainable travel choices and take advantage of the strategic infrastructure available. This would encourage commuting behaviour to shift away from private car use and therefore make this location a more sustainable and viable option to concentrate growth. Such an alternative approach would be justified by evidence and align with national policy.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26748 - 8820 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26752 Object****Respondent: Constable Homes Limited [7333]****Agent: Bidwells (Mr. Steven Butler) [2089]**

Summary: This approach does not appear to be abased on sound or proportionate evidence, it is simply a response to the quantum of representations submitted to the previous iteration of the Local Plan. It is a long-established planning principle that the number of representations received in respect of a particular topic is not in itself a material consideration. The evidence prepared by Constable Homes and Brentwood Borough Council, through previous rounds of Local Plan consultation, demonstrates that the previous amount of development earmarked for the site [around 40 new homes] is entirely appropriate.

Change To Plan: return indicative dwelling yield to previous figure

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26752 - 7333 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26753 Object****Respondent: Constable Homes Limited [7333]****Agent: Bidwells (Mr. Steven Butler) [2089]**

Summary: 1.6 Bidwells, on behalf of Constable Homes, is currently taking a scheme proposal through the formal pre-application process, the latest design of which demonstrates to the Council (and future Local Plan Inspector) that there are no insurmountable or limiting planning issues, including those referenced in the consultation document above, to the delivery of a development of the site for approximately 40 new homes in a policy-compliant manner. In the interest of transparency, our client's emerging masterplan for site R25 accompanies these representations at Appendix 1, which has been shared with key local interest groups.

1.7 This plan is the culmination of many months' of work and dialogue with those members of Blackmore's community willing to engage with our client, and its design team has reflected every constructive request proffered to residents, including:

- An access off Nine Ashes Road instead of Redrose Lane (which also has agreement from ECC);
- A generous lattice of green spaces, including a new village green area abutting existing dwellings at Woollard Way;
- No vehicular access through either limb of Woollard Way;
- Retention of historic hedgerows;
- An integrative mix of market and affordable homes;
- Multiple pedestrian linkages to encourage resident to walk to village core (e.g. the tea rooms and Co-op convenience store);
- A new pedestrian crossing to link with the Primary School and Village Hall;
- Speed reduction measures to create a safer and more attractive northern approach to the village; and,
- Traditional-style architecture.

1.8 We therefore object to Focused Change 4 because it is unduly restrictive and would fail to optimise the beneficial use of the Policy R25 site, contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework.

Change To Plan: return indicative housing yield to previous figure

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26753 - 7333 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26754 Object****Respondent: Mr John Riley [4905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The 71 new dwellings currently either under construction, with planning permission or under planning consideration in or adjacent to the village render this policy completely inappropriate in terms of the capacity of its infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Withdrawal of Policy R25

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: ii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26754 - 4905 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - ii

**26763 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian harding [8821]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am fully supportive of the objections specified within the analysis of the Parish council / Blackmore Village Heritage Association response to the Addendum Consultation and I have supplied it again for information.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26763 - 8821 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26863 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christina Atkins [8118]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: R25 received 36% of total Reg 19 responses, R26 received 37% with a total of 73% for both sites. Greenfield / Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure not able to support level of development. Site should be removed completely from LDP, reduction of 10 houses does not resolve issues. Site is developer led and still not properly assessed against local housing needs. There are a number of large developments progressing nearby which will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. These include 30 homes under construction on Fingrith Hall Lane plus an additional 5 on the same road, infill sites in Nine Ashes and 10 dwellings at Ashlings Farm. Inadequate consultation and strategic planning discussions with Epping Forest DC regarding these developments in the wider area. There are a number of other sites going through the planning process including 12 houses at Redrose Farm, 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane, a further 9 houses on Spriggs Lane/ Chelmsford Road. Redrose Farm is a brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes and will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. Both R25 and R26 should be removed as the permitted and planned windfall development in the area will already overwhelm the limited resources and infrastructure of the Blackmore area. There are better alternative sites both within the village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Borough area. Honeypot Lane (022) was previously removed from the Local Plan which is a better location due to it being on the edge of the Brentwood urban area, surrounded by existing housing, providing c200 houses. This should be reinstated as it would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be removed whilst not adding the burden on R01. R25 and R26 equate to 49% of the Green Belt release in larger villages. Brentwood and Shenfield urban area are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had the number of dwellings reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a Category 3 settlement (larger village). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. We do not need anymore houses in Blackmore as we are a sustainable Village as we are, anymore Housing would be horrendous for this village. Would have to mention more Traffic, Flood Risk, Doctor Services, School etc.

Change To Plan: Site should be removed completely from LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26863 - 8118 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26889 Object****Respondent: Cllr Roger Keeble [1990]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I agree that the information given by me in the February 2019 consultation can be shared with the planning inspectorate and programme office. That the allocations on both sites R25 and R26 are contrary to both national and local policies. The required housing need can be found on sites that already exist on land that exists in urban areas. Blackmore is classified as a larger village which is unsound and this is inconsistent with the NPPF Feb 2019, is not effective or justified. The area including Redrose Lane is liable to flooding, has poor access and will result in an increase in housing stock that is not in accordance with the present number of present properties and will add approximately 25% to the village size. Epping Forest District Council is continuing to build on their extreme boundaries around Blackmore almost doubling the BBC LDP requirements on R25 and R26. These properties will directly impact on Blackmore Village facilities and services. The school, doctors surgery and sewerage system are already oversubscribed. R25 and R26 are situated on very good Green Belt land and there are no special circumstances for building on these sites. The Brentwood Replacement Plan 2005 tightly restricts development on Green Belt land. The R25 and R26 sites are "developer led" as admitted at Blackmore Village Hall meeting by senior planning officers. There is no evidence of a housing need in Blackmore. Regularisation of the Oaktree Farm Gypsy and Traveller site is not reflected anywhere else in the borough and again puts more strain on the local infrastructure. R25 and R26 have been discounted most recently as 2016 as unsuitable. There has been no change in circumstances locally to allow development here. Draft Policy SP02 refers to direct development in highly accessible areas R25 and R26 are in a very rural situation with poor transport connections. There are far more sustainable sites in the borough that could easily accept the 50 houses proposed in R25 and R26. These are in Shenfield, Pilgrims Hatch, Ingatestone and Brentwood where infrastructure is already in place. There is documentary evidence for a housing need but not for the villages which include Blackmore. There are other brownfield sites in the borough before Green Belt land is even considered for development and the inclusion of R25 and R26 runs contrary to this. The Green Belt should be respected in both these sites and therefore R25 and R26 should be removed from the LDP.

Change To Plan: R25 and R26 have been discounted most recently as 2016 as unsuitable. There has been no change in circumstances locally to allow development here. Draft Policy SP02 refers to direct development in highly accessible areas R25 and R26 are in a very rural situation with poor transport connections. There are far more sustainable sites in the borough that could easily accept the 50 houses proposed in R25 and R26. These are in Shenfield, Pilgrims Hatch, Ingatestone and Brentwood where infrastructure is already in place. There is documentary evidence for a housing need but not for the villages which include Blackmore. There are other brownfield sites in the borough before Green Belt land is even considered for development and the inclusion of R25 and R26 runs contrary to this. The Green Belt should be respected in both these sites and therefore R25 and R26 should be removed from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26889 - 1990 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 26894 Object

Respondent: L Apostolides [8836]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

The GP surgery can not cope with the number of patients now and the schools are not large enough for more children

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26894 - 8836 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26899 Object

Respondent: Mr Alex Atkins [8126]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26899 - 8126 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26907 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Atkins [8837]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The houses needed can go elsewhere on the LDP so as not to spoil a very quaint unique village.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. This village is sustainable as it is, anymore houses would be horrendous and completely spoil the village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26907 - 8837 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26912 Object

Respondent: Mr Joseph W E Atkins [8703]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Development proposed for Blackmore should've removed from the Plan as Blackmore cannot sustain any further houses.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore is Greenbelt Land and Brownfield Sites should be used before the destruction of Green Belt Land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26912 - 8703 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26917 Object

Respondent: Ms Lynn Baggott [8721]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. A months wait at the doctors surgery Buses that run every 2 hours to Brentwood and Chelmsford A school that is full Potential to floods

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26917 - 8721 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26922 Object

Respondent: Mr David Hall [4867]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26922 - 4867 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26927 Object

Respondent: Mr Authur Austin [8838]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26927 - 8838 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26935 Object

Respondent: Mrs Gillian Hall [8684]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26935 - 8684 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26936 Object

Respondent: Mr. Clive Austin [7186]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26936 - 7186 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26943 Object

Respondent: Mr Harry Austin [8839]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26943 - 8839 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26948 Object

Respondent: Mrs. Jill Austin [7272]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26948 - 7272 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26952 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin Hall [6734]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Q14 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Please use this space for any further comments you wish to record.

These proposed developments should be removed for all the reasons stated within the last consultation. a tiny reduction will make no difference to the fundamental issued raised previously.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26952 - 6734 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26957 Object

Respondent: Mr. Chris Hamilton [3835]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Q14 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Please use this space for any further comments you wish to record.

In summary, there are many options available that are far more appropriate

Q15 CONCLUSION:

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26957 - 3835 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26962 Object

Respondent: Mrs Mandy Hamilton [8633]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26962 - 8633 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26967 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Stevens [8840]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26967 - 8840 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 26973 Object

Respondent: Mr John Adkins [8734]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26973 - 8734 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26978 Object

Respondent: Ms Anne Adkins [8735]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26978 - 8735 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26983 Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Aiken [8827]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26983 - 8827 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26988 Object

Respondent: Kerry Allardyce [8828]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26988 - 8828 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26995 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Bacon [8841]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

I am former resident of Blackmore and am aware that building on this scale is totally disproportionate, and will cause massive disruption to life in this small village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26995 - 8841 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27000 Object

Respondent: Mr David Barfoot [7177]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. I live in the village and already the local amenities are bursting at the seams. To have any more houses built on greenbelt is unacceptable especially when there are more more than enough sites locally that could be used instead. This proposal should be removed immediately.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27000 - 7177 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27003 Object

Respondent: Mr Liam Allardyce [8829]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27003 - 8829 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27008 Object

Respondent: **Bernard Allen [8830]**

Agent: **N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27008 - 8830 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27013 Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Allen [8831]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27013 - 8831 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27020 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Barfoot [7200]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree

Blackmore is a small village that is already 'bursting at the seams' with people and cars. I live in central Blackmore and I am already shocked by the sheer volume of traffic going through the village day and night. I often have people parking over my drive to access the Co-Op, Leather Bottle and tearooms because there isn't enough space to park. The number of children that walk to school is huge, but yet there is already SO many cars driving through the village at high speed. I tried to call Dealtree Surgery yesterday, and could not get through (8 times throughout the day). Another reason to not build more dwellings. The village cannot fit more dwellings! There are so many other spaces where places can be built; this just does not make sense. Please do not ruin this village!

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27020 - 7200 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27023 Object

Respondent: Toni Allen [8832]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27023 - 8832 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27028 Object

Respondent: Tallulah Allen [8833]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27028 - 8833 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27033 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Allington [8316]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27033 - 8316 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27038 Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Andrews [8834]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27038 - 8834 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27043 Object

Respondent: Ms Melanie Andrews [8826]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27043 - 8826 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27051 Object

Respondent: Ms Mandy Anthony [8737]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27051 - 8737 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27053 Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Barrett [8842]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27053 - 8842 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27058 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Anthony [6823]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27058 - 6823 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27065 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Bartrop [8651]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27065 - 8651 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27070 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Bartrop [8650]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27070 - 8650 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27075 Object

Respondent: Ms Anita Bastin [8843]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Please preserve our beautiful villages. Once these developments have taken place there is no turning back. We can not restore what has been destroyed. Blackmore has history dating back to Henry VIII and deserves to have its beauty preserved. Blackmore is a picturesque village which does not have the infrastructure to support these extra homes. The school is already full to capacity with no space to extend. These extra houses will cause congestion on the roads and will spoil the beauty of this village. The five parishes as a whole are a beautiful part of Brentwood. There are plenty of opportunities to build closer to the town which will not ruin the countryside feel of the local villages.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27075 - 8843 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27080 Object

Respondent: Ms Pauline Davidson [6327]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. Coming from Brentwood and visiting Blackmore regularly - parking is already an issue in the village surely this would cause extra issues with more houses. Plus this is not a brownfield site. Brownfield site should be prioritised.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Sites R25 and R26 should be removed from the plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27080 - 6327 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27085 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Bastin [8844]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27085 - 8844 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27090 Object

Respondent: Mr James Baur [8845]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Blackmore village cannot cope with any further increases in population. There are already developments going ahead that will place further strain on the limited resources in our village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27090 - 8845 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27095 Object

Respondent: Karen Baur [1079]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree

Blackmore is a wholly inappropriate site for further development. This historic village should be preserved from any more development in order to retain its authentic village atmosphere and history. Quite apart from the aesthetic reasons, there is simply no infrastructure to support such an expansion of the population. There are very limited services available to the residents as it is - the local store cannot cope with the parking demands and the Post Office 'service' is abysmal. A serious road traffic accident is bound to happen at some point in the village and increasing the population will only make this much more likely. The Deal Tree Heath Centre is under great pressure coping with the demands of the existing parish residents without any more joining the ranks. I don't have children of school age but it's likely that Blackmore school cannot cope with increased demand for places. Additionally the increase in traffic on the country lanes makes the area a much more dangerous place to live. Please immediately scrap the plans for expansion of Blackmore village.

These development options have not been accurately assessed for the impact on their surroundings and existing residents. The plans need to be urgently reassessed.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27095 - 1079 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27100 Object

Respondent: Mr Kurt Baur [8846]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree

Blackmore has already been developed to maximum capacity. Despite this further development has been allowed and is currently being processed. Blackmore needs to retain it's village identity and heritage. There is a lack of services for the existing population without any more people adding to the problems we already have. The roads in an out of Blackmore are unsuitable for heavy traffic and accidents are bound to happen. The doctor's surgery cannot cope with existing demand let alone even more patients. There is one village store that tries and fails to meet everyone's needs. It is already struggling to provide a Post Office service. Blackmore simply cannot cope with further development.

There are more appropriate sites available in the Brentwood area without developing Green Belt land that will never be recovered. Please STOP this madness now.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27100 - 8846 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27106 Object

Respondent: Mr Gordon Beaman [8848]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27106 - 8848 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27111 Object

Respondent: Mrs Eileen Beazley [8700]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27111 - 8700 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27114 Object

Respondent: Mr Ron Beazley [4831]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27114 - 4831 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27121 Object

Respondent: Mr Gary Bedford [8673]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree

The amount of cars in the village of Blackmore is already a concern and any further housing is going to cause major upsets. Also the problem of getting a doctor's appointment is already so frustrating. Any increase on the Doddinghurst surgery will have people waiting weeks to see the doctor.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27121 - 8673 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27126 Object

Respondent: Mavis Beeching [8849]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27126 - 8849 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27131 Object

Respondent: Mr. Robert Beeching [3839]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27131 - 3839 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27136 Object

Respondent: Mr Cameron Beman [8850]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27136 - 8850 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27138 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Quested [8452]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Redrose Lane is not suitable for the amount of additional traffic proposed. With more housing comes more traffic and the village is already dangerous for elderly and young people. Parking in the village centre is also a major concern with lack of vision for pedestrians.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27138 - 8452 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27140 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association [8568]****Agent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]**

Summary: Refer to attached submission. Statistical summary of responses of Survey Monkey questionnaire from residents and their families in Blackmore objecting to proposed sites R25 and R26.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: No

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27140 - 8568 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27145 Object****Respondent: Mr. Brian Rafis [4554]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Unique historical village 50 extra houses on top of the 60 plus built or about to be constructed in the vicinity would destroy the character of the village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27145 - 4554 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27150 Object****Respondent: Ms Diane Randall [8851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27150 - 8851 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27157 Object

Respondent: Mr David Bennett [8649]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

The infrastructure in the village is only just adequate at this time and would not be sufficient if further houses were built.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27157 - 8649 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27158 Object

Respondent: Mr David Bennett [8649]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

The infrastructure in the village is only just adequate at this time and would not be sufficient if further houses were built.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27158 - 8649 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27160 Object****Respondent: Mr John Randall [8852]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27160 - 8852 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27165 Object

Respondent: Mr Andy Davies [8853]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27165 - 8853 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27170 Object

Respondent: Ann Davis [4404]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The use of Green Belt land for housing should only be considered when brownfield land has been exhausted.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27170 - 4404 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27175 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Davis [4789]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27175 - 4789 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27180 Object

Respondent: Ms Maria J Bennett [8723]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27180 - 8723 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27185 Object

Respondent: Mrs Paula Bills [8854]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27185 - 8854 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27190 Object

Respondent: Mr Arthur Birch [4769]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27190 - 4769 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27195 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Birch [8730]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27195 - 8730 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27200 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Birch [8158]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27200 - 8158 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27205 Object

Respondent: Mr Craig Bishop [8855]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27205 - 8855 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27210 Object

Respondent: Mr Cliff Black [8729]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and 26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27210 - 8729 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27215 Object

Respondent: Mrs Ruth Black [8728]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27215 - 8728 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27220 Object

Respondent: Mr Tim Black [8248]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27220 - 8248 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27225 Object

Respondent: Ms Pam Blackmore [8856]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27225 - 8856 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27230 Object

Respondent: Ms Rosemary Blowes [8857]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27230 - 8857 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27240 Object

**Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Green Belt land should be retained to keep our glorious countryside.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27240 - 8648 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27241 Object****Respondent: Alison Ratcliffe [8860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be wThe infrastructure and resources in Blackmore (eg parking out side the CoOp) are already overstretched and additional vehicle movements will create traffic jams and increase accident risk withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The ECM held at Brentwood BC on 8/11/18, when sites 25 and 26 were formally included in the LDP was undemocratic and flawed, and the debate should be held again and conducted properly

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27241 - 8860 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27247 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Bradley [8861]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27247 - 8861 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27250 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Hardy [8858]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27250 - 8858 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27255 Object

Respondent: Mrs Ella Bradley [4875]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

The current parking at Fingrith Hall Road is already maximised - and in fact quite dangerous at times - further building will only make the situation worse. The school and doctors are already at capacity. The development at Norton Heath will affect us. The infrastructure cannot cope with the additional homes and in particular Red Rose Lane is an ancient, historical lane, will be ruined.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27255 - 4875 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27263 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Brassett [8862]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27263 - 8862 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27265 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Blackmore village is the most "special" of all the villages in Brentwood "Borough of villages". The infrastructure in this historic village was originally built for horse and cart travel, and modern vehicle numbers already mean the centre of the village is severely congested. Adding an additional approximate 1,000 vehicle movements per day (adding up all proposed development in and around the village) will mean a massive risk to public safety, and the ability for existing residents to get in and out of the village. The flood risk attaching to sites R25 and R26 will further increased by concreting over greenfield/Green Belt land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The LDP, in so far as the 2 Blackmore sites are concerned, was never written strategically and indeed prior to Reg 18 the BBC position was the correct position i.e, R25 and R26 are wholly inappropriate for development. We therefore need to reverse out of Regs 18 and 19 and return us to the correct position as stated in January 2016.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27265 - 4874 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27269 Object

Respondent: Mrs Judith Brewster [8863]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. There are insufficient amenities and services available to people in Blackmore as it is. The result of extra population will cause these to be stretched so far that the village will not be able to cope. We already have very poor broadband (I have 1 mgb at best, normally .65) and no mobile signal.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27269 - 8863 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27277 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kelly BRITTLETON [8097]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27277 - 8097 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27280 Object****Respondent: D. Rawlings [1058]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27280 - 1058 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27290 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lisa Rawlings [8555]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27290 - 8555 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27293 Object

Respondent: David Hammond [577]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27293 - 577 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27298 Object

Respondent: Mrs June Harrington [4776]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27298 - 4776 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27304 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27304 - 8011 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27310 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Rayner [8553]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27310 - 8553 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27315 Object****Respondent: David Read [8864]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27315 - 8864 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27318 Object

Respondent: Mr Lawrence Harrington [4778]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27318 - 4778 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27323 Object****Respondent: Vera Read [8865]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. You currently cannot get an appointment to see the GP or a nurse appointment. I live in (street name) and it regularly floods as does Red Rose Lane.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27323 - 8865 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27328 Object

Respondent: Ms Tina Harrington [4779]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27328 - 4779 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27330 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert J Brittleton [8724]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27330 - 8724 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27335 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brooks [8683]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

We don't want any more houses built on green belt land

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27335 - 8683 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27343 Object

Respondent: Mr Ray Brooks [8643]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27343 - 8643 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27345 Object

Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26, reinstate Honeypot Lane site

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27345 - 8679 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27350 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Harris [8628]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27350 - 8628 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27355 Object

Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27355 - 8678 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27360 Object

Respondent: Laura Harris [8685]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27360 - 8685 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27363 Object

Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27363 - 8686 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27370 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sara Harris [8122]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27370 - 8122 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27375 Object

Respondent: Ms Leanne Hartley [8325]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27375 - 8325 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27380 Object

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Herring [4841]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27380 - 4841 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27385 Object

Respondent: Miss Jade Hayes [8136]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27385 - 8136 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27390 Object

Respondent: Mrs Helen Haynes [8416]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27390 - 8416 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27397 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Haynes [8138]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27397 - 8138 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27400 Object

Respondent: Mr Simon Heed [8868]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27400 - 8868 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27407 Object

Respondent: Mr Raymond Hatfield [8869]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27407 - 8869 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27412 Object

Respondent: Ms Joanne Browne [8870]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27412 - 8870 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27417 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Budd [8871]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27417 - 8871 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27422 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Budd [8872]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27422 - 8872 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27430 Object

Respondent: Mr Carl Budge [8873]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27430 - 8873 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27432 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Reed [4708]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Such development would completely ruin the village of Blackmore, a village suffering from being wrongly classified as larger than it actually is.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26 and let the village undertake it's own survey for what the residents need - which will ONLY go on Brownfield.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27432 - 4708 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27437 Object****Respondent: Theresa Reed [8876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. We are already beginning to feel the strain from development in the neighbouring areas, with traffic congestion in the centre being dangerous at times. This serves to emphasise the need to halt this development.

Change To Plan: The proposed developments in Blackmore are not only disproportionate, but suffering from the location of our village in proximity to other developments not under the control of Brentwood.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27437 - 8876 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27445 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Richardson [4859]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27445 - 4859 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27447 Object

Respondent: Ms Kaye Bundy [8874]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27447 - 8874 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27453 Object****Respondent: Ian Richardson [8878]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27453 - 8878 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27458 Object****Respondent: Mr John Richardson [4858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27458 - 4858 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27463 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Richardson [8192]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27463 - 8192 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27467 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Richardson [7330]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27467 - 7330 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27477 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Richardson [8562]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27477 - 8562 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27482 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sue Rigley [8879]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27482 - 8879 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27487 Object****Respondent: Steve Rigley [8880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27487 - 8880 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27493 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Burgess [4863]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27493 - 4863 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27498 Object****Respondent: Mrs Brigid Robinson [4897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27498 - 4897 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27503 Object

Respondent: Mr Shaun Burnett [8881]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27503 - 8881 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27511 Object****Respondent: Jaqueline Robinson [8883]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27511 - 8883 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27512 Object

Respondent: Mr. Christopher Burrow [4618]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27512 - 4618 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27518 Object

Respondent: Ms Jean Bury [8716]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27518 - 8716 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27523 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Robinson [4899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27523 - 4899 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27528 Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Bury [8717]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27528 - 8717 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27533 Object****Respondent: Mr David Rolfs [8566]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. As observed above, Central Government has said that Green Belt must be protected. It appears that the BBC is ignoring this with respects to Blackmore village. Unlike other parts of the Brentwood area, including Priests Lane, there is insufficient infrastructure in and around Blackmore, including health (general practice and practitioners - with the Deal Tree Health Centre under immense strain), bus service, roads and parking, schools, sewage, and the utilities including gas, electricity, telephone and internet.

Change To Plan: Blackmore has great history, dating back to Tudor times, with its church going back considerably further. We must care for such a heritage. We do not want it destroyed "on our watch".

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27533 - 8566 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27538 Object****Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Rolfs [8567]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. There is inadequate infrastructure in and around Blackmore, including health, bus service, roads and parking, schools, sewage, and the utilities including gas, electricity, telephones and internet.

Change To Plan: Deal Tree Health Centre is already operating at figures beyond the optimum number of patients per GP, as outlined in the Brentwood Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). New housing has already impacted this further, with developments in Rookery Road and The Elms in Lower Road Mountnessing, along with travellers who have occupied land on the Chelmsford Road all squeezing Deal Tree Health Centre further. The addition of the proposed new properties in Blackmore under R25 and R26 will further exacerbate the problem.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27538 - 8567 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27543 Object****Respondent: Andrew Romang [8884]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27543 - 8884 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27547 Object

Respondent: Ms Jan Butler [8885]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27547 - 8885 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27552 Object

Respondent: Mrs Maureen Butler [5017]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27552 - 5017 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27557 Object

Respondent: Ms Bonnie Cain [8886]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27557 - 8886 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27562 Object

Respondent: Ms Janet Carter [8887]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27562 - 8887 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27567 Object

**Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]      Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. Blackmore is a tiny, remote, village, nowhere near main roads, and the existing population is almost totally dependant on cars. We cannot cope with more traffic movements, especially bearing in mind the nearby developments within EFDC which are right on our doorstep. Redrose Lane is so narrow at the proposed entry point to this site as to make it wholly inadequate. Flood risk remains a major concern.

We support the properly considered, strategic, elements to the LDP, notably Dunton Hills Garden Village. The proposed sites in Blackmore, however, do not constitute "strategic thinking", indeed for all the reasons why Blackmore was excluded from the LDP prior to January 2018, the old strategy was the correct strategy, ie sites R25 and R26 should now be removed. Furthermore, the Honeypot Lane site, which had been included in the LDP prior to Reg 19, needs to be reinstated. It's withdrawal, for largely "political reasons" was also not professional strategic thinking.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27567 - 4874 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27572 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Romang [8107]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27572 - 8107 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27577 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Romang [4374]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27577 - 4374 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27582 Object****Respondent: Mr Clive Rosewell [8563]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27582 - 8563 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27587 Object****Respondent: Joanne Ryan [8889]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27587 - 8889 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27592 Object****Respondent: Nichola Ryan [8890]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27592 - 8890 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27597 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Ryan [4937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27597 - 4937 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27602 Object****Respondent: Robert Ryan [8891]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The strain on the roads and services is unacceptable in Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27602 - 8891 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27609 Object

Respondent: Mr Callum Cartwright [8370]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Reducing the number is not the issue we must not build on green belt land which directly borders the village

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Red Rose Lane is very narrow with no pavement and is not suitable for increased traffic use. The access roads around the proposed developments are regularly used by farm vehicles and further use would be dangerous

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27609 - 8370 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27612 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Sanders [8474]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27612 - 8474 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27617 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Sanders [4923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27617 - 4923 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27622 Object

Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. As previously stated Green Belt should not be developed on. Where there are other development opportunities they have to be pursued as the option to enable the council to achieve its housing targets

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree. The local development plan and the due review process has not been properly considered. There are current developments in process and proposed Brown field sites that will meet the needs. In addition the Dunton Village site is being developed with the full required infrastructure and transport links in place so should be explored to its full potential and Green belt protected

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27622 - 7193 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27629 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. Both the school and Doctors surgery are at full capacity

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Red Rose Lane is very narrow and has no footpath on either side Addison also road traffic is unacceptable

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27629 - 7195 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27632 Object****Respondent: Mrs Malanie Sanders [8511]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27632 - 8511 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27637 Object

Respondent: Mr Barry Casswell [8888]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27637 - 8888 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27642 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Saunders [8386]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27642 - 8386 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27647 Object

Respondent: Mrs Beryl Caton [8657]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27647 - 8657 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27654 Object

Respondent: Ms Marjorie Herring [8893]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27654 - 8893 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27656 Object****Respondent: Ronald Barry Saunders [8894]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27656 - 8894 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27665 Object

Respondent: Mr John Caton [4881]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27665 - 4881 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27669 Object****Respondent: Mr David Saxton [4286]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27669 - 4286 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27672 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree

30 homes are still too many in view of the inadequate infrastructure surrounding this site - R25. Reductions have been proposed on other sites resulting in decreases in housing stock by 47% and 36%. This site has only decreased by 25%.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27672 - 8634 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27676 Object

Respondent: Mr David Chalkley [8671]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27676 - 8671 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27682 Object****Respondent: Miss Carole Scott [8541]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27682 - 8541 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27690 Object****Respondent: Stephen Scott [8896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27690 - 8896 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27695 Object

Respondent: Ms Susan Hill [8897]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27695 - 8897 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27700 Object

Respondent: Kerry Hipgrave [8898]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27700 - 8898 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27705 Object

Respondent: Mr Rick Hipgrave [8899]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27705 - 8899 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27710 Object

Respondent: Kay Hobbs [8900]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27710 - 8900 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27714 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Chambers [8300]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. Village already under pressure on facilities and further 65 houses are build or under construction which will make things worse

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27714 - 8300 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27720 Object

Respondent: Mrs Mandy Chambers [4846]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27720 - 4846 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27725 Object

Respondent: Mrs Trina Chambers [8348]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27725 - 8348 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27730 Object

Respondent: Ms Julie Chandler [8352]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27730 - 8352 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27735 Object

Respondent: Mrs Anita Clark [8168]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27735 - 8168 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27740 Object

Respondent: Mr Joshua Clark [8135]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore doesn't have the infrastructure to accommodate sites R25 and R26. Red Rose farm is a adequate compromise

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27740 - 8135 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27745 Object

Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. By reducing the proposed numbers in Blackmore the Council have accepted that their original plan was flawed

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore doesn't have the infrastructure to accommodate sites R25 and R26. Red Rose farm is a adequate compromise

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27745 - 2456 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27750 Object

Respondent: Mr David Coates [8133]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27750 - 8133 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27755 Object

Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cohen [8313]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27755 - 8313 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27760 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Cohen [8901]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. This is where the Council should be building homes not green belt

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27760 - 8901 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27765 Object

Respondent: Mr Marc Cohen [4268]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27765 - 4268 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27770 Object

Respondent: Ms Wendy Cohen [6923]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. This development is on a site prone to flooding in a village which already struggles to deal with surface water. This site is totally inappropriate for use and makes absolutely no sense to even be on the list.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. A - Strongly agree.

The LDP and BBC have proposed and presented a disgraceful project which is full of inaccuracies and misinformation. It is absolutely absurd to propose such a housing development with such a lack of infrastructure above other sites that are clearly more suitable. The system is broken and corrupt.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27770 - 6923 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27775 Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Colbert [8902]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore area is a small village with only one shop and a small school which is already full. With no regular transport to rely on. Blackmore cannot and should not become overcrowded it should remain one of Essex's beautiful small villages.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27775 - 8902 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27780 Object

Respondent: Mr Barry Coldham [8656]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27780 - 8656 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27785 Object

Respondent: Mrs Louise Coldham [8666]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27785 - 8666 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27790 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Cole [8903]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27790 - 8903 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27795 Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Cook [8794]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. No infrastructure or transport.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27795 - 8794 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27800 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joann Cook [8669]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound. The traffic in the village is already an issue. I have been trying to get a GP appointment for my son for the last 3 weeks and have not been able to. This would be worse with more people living in the area. Our infrastructure cannot sustain this. The Post Office and School are already unable to cope with demand.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27800 - 8669 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27805 Object

Respondent: Mr Daniel Cracknell [8142]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27805 - 8142 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27810 Object

Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cross [7016]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27810 - 7016 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27815 Object

Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27815 - 4547 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27820 Object

Respondent: Mrs Christine Tabor [8427]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27820 - 8427 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27825 Object

Respondent: Mr Frank Tabor [8424]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27825 - 8424 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27830 Object

Respondent: Ms Gloria Tanner [8904]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27830 - 8904 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27835 Object

Respondent: Miss Chloe Taylor [8429]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27835 - 8429 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27840 Object

Respondent: Mr Dean Taylor [6978]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27840 - 6978 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27845 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elisabeth Taylor [2918]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27845 - 2918 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27850 Object

Respondent: Mr Gary Taylor [8905]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27850 - 8905 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27855 Object

Respondent: Mr James Taylor [8430]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27855 - 8430 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27860 Object

Respondent: Ms Nikki Taylor [8906]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27860 - 8906 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27865 Object

Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. A reduction in number makes no difference to the fact that this is a greenbelt site and lacks the infrastructure to support any development. Blackmore is already being surrounded by other developments (including those via EFDC) and pressure from those developments will already adversely affect our village. Blackmore has many problems with supporting the number of vehicles passing through and being used by residents, with subsequent damage to the local area. The access road for this site is dangerous, it is narrow and unlit, used by pedestrians, local cycling groups and horse-riders. There are also flooding risks along this road - development will only add to the pressure of run-off and inadequate drainage.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

The two sites in Blackmore R25 and R26 were only added to the LDP recently, having formerly been designated as unsuitable for development in 2016. The only reason I can see that they have now been added is to satisfy BBC needs to meet with government directives, and are purely developer-led. They offer no advantage or assistance to the village and would only serve to add to existing pressures with infrastructure and destroy the nature of Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27865 - 6880 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27870 Object

Respondent: Mr Steven Taylor [8431]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Development will ruin the character of an historic village with inadequate infrastructure for additional housing.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27870 - 8431 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27878 Object

Respondent: Ms Shirley Taylor [8907]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27878 - 8907 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27879 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sophia Severn [4876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27879 - 4876 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27885 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sila Sheridan [5201]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27885 - 5201 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27890 Object****Respondent: Collin Sherwood [8908]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27890 - 8908 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27895 Object****Respondent: Mrs Valerie Sherwood [8015]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27895 - 8015 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27900 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Slimm [5042]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27900 - 5042 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27905 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Smith [8910]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27905 - 8910 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27910 Object****Respondent: Barry Smith [8911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27910 - 8911 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27915 Object

Respondent: Mr Ritchie Hobbs [8909]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27915 - 8909 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27920 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 27920 - 4759 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27925 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janice Holbrook [4700]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27925 - 4700 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27930 Object

Respondent: Ms Lauren Holbrook [8912]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27930 - 8912 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27935 Object

Respondent: Miss Ami Holmes [8653]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27935 - 8653 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27940 Object

Respondent: Mr Ben Holmes [8654]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27940 - 8654 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27945 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Holmes [4693]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27945 - 4693 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27950 Object

Respondent: Mr Ken Holmes [8691]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27950 - 8691 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27955 Object

Respondent: Mr Luke Holmes [8652]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27955 - 8652 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27961 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Holmes [8655]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27961 - 8655 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27966 Object****Respondent: Mrs Nicola Holmes [8668]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27966 - 8668 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27969 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shirley Holmes [8660]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27969 - 8660 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27975 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane House [8681]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27975 - 8681 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27980 Object****Respondent: Mr Howe [8913]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27980 - 8913 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27983 Object****Respondent: Mrs Howe [8914]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27983 - 8914 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 27990 Object

**Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Thompson [5016]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27990 - 5016 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27993 Object****Respondent: Ms Charlotte Howse [8915]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27993 - 8915 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**27997 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gail Hughes [8638]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27997 - 8638 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28002 Object****Respondent: Mr David Smith [4872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Current roads/infrastructure in Blackmore cannot cope with a large/medium increase in housing. Local roads cannot cope with more traffic - Blackmore is already swamped with traffic/parking esp. cycling season! making travelling though it a nightmare

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Pan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28002 - 4872 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28010 Object****Respondent: Mr James Hughes [8677]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28010 - 8677 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28016 Object

**Respondent: Mr John Hughes [4500]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28016 - 4500 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28023 Object

**Respondent: Mr Thomas Hughes [8637]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28023 - 8637 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28024 Object****Respondent: Joyce Smith [8917]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Green belt should be reduced to none

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28024 - 8917 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28029 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Absolutely. Have lived here all my life, and have seen the population grow massively over the years, but all the infrastructure has remained the same. There are only 4 doctors and Dealtree Health Center now, where once there were I think 6. You cannot get an appointment on line for at least 6 weeks, so have to ring and be triaged if it is an emergency. The schools are all full to bursting.. The parking is very restricted.. The traffic is already dangerous, especially along Chelmsford Road, and heading towards Nine Ashes Road. The paths are not adequate enough to walk on and the thought of at least another 100 people, and possibly 50 cars, minium on top of all the extra building that has been allowed, Spriggs Lanne, and Norton Heath, and again garden planning that has and is being allowed

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28029 - 4735 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28035 Object****Respondent: Lesley Smith [8918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28035 - 8918 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28042 Object****Respondent: Marisa Smith [8919]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28042 - 8919 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28044 Object

**Respondent: Mrs Kate Hurford [4275]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:**

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28044 - 4275 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28051 Object

**Respondent: William Alan Smith [8920]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The location of Woollard Way and Orchard Piece sites in Redrose Lane is completely unsuitable. Again it will not help Brentwood homes, it will just bring in people who have made money in more expensive areas - it will not help youngsters. We now only have one store inc post office but parking is awful now. School will not cope, nor will doctors. Roads are now fast and dangerous. Buses are rare.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. 1. Focussed Change 4 - PART D

If you allow this farm to be developed whatever the developers say 12 dwellings they will be up to the A414 in the blink of an eye. 2. Focussed Change 5 - PART B Honeypot Lane is close to all amenities inc the M25 (both directions) and Romford. I lived in the area a lot of my life and I know it well. We were close to everything. It has good schools - St Peter's is a great attraction as are all of the senior schools. 3. Additional Comments The original meeting was conducted in a disgusting manner. No evidence was discussed about Blackmore, just a vote. Not the way to conduct an important meeting.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28051 - 8920 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28054 Object****Respondent: Malcolm Hurford [7304]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. History of flooding shows both Chelmsford Road and Redrose Lane become impassable during heavy rainfall.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28054 - 7304 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28061 Object****Respondent: Ms Dawn Ireland [4861]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28061 - 4861 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28066 Object****Respondent: Mrs Melanie Snelling [8547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28066 - 8547 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28071 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Snelling [6960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28071 - 6960 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28076 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Snook [8484]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R5 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28076 - 8484 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28081 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Thororgood [8916]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28081 - 8916 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28084 Object****Respondent: Ms Annie Jackson [8921]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28084 - 8921 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28091 Object

Respondent: Ms Emma Thwaite [8922]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28091 - 8922 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28096 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Thwaite [8175]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Infrastructure insufficient and risk of flooding. Move homes to R18 instead.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28096 - 8175 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28101 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Thwaite [6964]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The existing infrastructure in Blackmore cannot sustain any more than 15 additional houses, and those are already planned for at Spriggs Lane and Red Rose Farm.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree. The planning process has been flawed from the beginning, including permission for travellers on a site that has already been ruled illegal by the High Court; ignoring perfectly good and more appropriate local brownfield sites (RedRose Farm) and failing to ask respondents for permission to pass their personal Details on to the planning inspector. The Brentwood Council have been misled (possibly willingly) by the greed of the developers who see Blackmore as a highly profitable location for them to build.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28101 - 6964 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28106 Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Thwaite [4475]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28106 - 4475 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28111 Object

Respondent: Mr Derek Tillet [8923]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28111 - 8923 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28114 Object****Respondent: Isabella Jacobs [1695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28114 - 1695 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28119 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. These are meadows with an array of wildlife which must be protected. The roads are very narrow - in fact they are just lanes, they flood easily from badly drained ditches. Blackmore is so low the water floods from 9 Ashes and the A414. We have had large snow drifts in winter. I know it very well as I was the Postie and have myself in bad snow have walked over a stranded car unaware until the snow melted and discovered it was there. This is the wrong place. This village does not have the infrastructure, sewers, school place... Doctors are overcrowded. Buses for the elderly are infrequent. Again these homes will be too expensive for young couples.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28119 - 8388 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28124 Object****Respondent: Peter Southgate [8925]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28124 - 8925 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28129 Object****Respondent: Vyvian Southgate [8926]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28129 - 8926 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28134 Object****Respondent: Deborah Spencer [8927]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28134 - 8927 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28139 Object****Respondent: Kevin Spencer [8928]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28139 - 8928 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28142 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Jacobs [8692]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28142 - 8692 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28146 Object

Respondent: Mrs Karen Tomey [8428]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore should remain a small village. Local Amenities cannot accomodate a population increase. Local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, which more housing will cause.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28146 - 8428 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28151 Object****Respondent: Liam Spencer [8929]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28151 - 8929 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28156 Object****Respondent: Dean Spicer [8930]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28156 - 8930 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28162 Object****Respondent: Paul Springate [8931]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28162 - 8931 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28165 Object****Respondent: Mr Steven Jacobs [4408]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28165 - 4408 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28170 Object****Respondent: Mr Khodad Jahromi [8190]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28170 - 8190 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28175 Object****Respondent: Gulay Jahromi [8933]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28175 - 8933 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28180 Object****Respondent: Ms Mitra Jahromi [8934]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28180 - 8934 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28184 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28184 - 8177 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28187 Object****Respondent: David Janes [8935]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28187 - 8935 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28194 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28194 - 5175 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28199 Object****Respondent: Mrs Catherine Jennings [8693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. More extremes of weather are predicted due to Global Warming! About 50 more houses are already in the pipeline in or close to Blackmore - many on border with Epping Forest which Brentwood Borough Council only found out about after the original allocation to Blackmore! Infrastructure can't take these houses - waiting lists for school, Beavers, etc. Roads, sewage - frequent permitted discharges into The Moat when Swallows Cross Treatment Works can't cope! - surface water drainage also poor.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28199 - 8693 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28204 Object****Respondent: Dr. S.J. Jennings [1497]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Further to my comments in previous consultation it has become apparant that we are confronted with about 50 - 60 houses already in the pipeline - many of these on the border of Epping Forest but really part of Blackmore - putting even more strain on the inadequate infrastructure of Blackmore - roads, sewage, surface water, schooling, parking, medical services, etc.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28204 - 1497 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28209 Object****Respondent: Nicola Joiner [8936]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28209 - 8936 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28214 Object****Respondent: Aidan Jones [8937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28214 - 8937 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28217 Object****Respondent: Chloe Jones [8938]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28217 - 8938 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28225 Object****Respondent: Diane Jones [8939]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28225 - 8939 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28229 Object****Respondent: Miss Heather Jones [8318]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28229 - 8318 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28234 Object****Respondent: Iris Jones [8495]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There are other options and also houses on the outskirts of Blackmore that will meet the requirements

Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28234 - 8495 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28237 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jones [8690]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28237 - 8690 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28241 Object****Respondent: Ruth Jones [8485]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28241 - 8485 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28246 Object****Respondent: Ms Sophie Jones [8940]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28246 - 8940 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28249 Object****Respondent: Sylvia Stanley [8932]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28249 - 8932 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28255 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Staples [8526]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28255 - 8526 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28259 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Joyner [8375]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28259 - 8375 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28264 Object****Respondent: Brenda Juniper [8493]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28264 - 8493 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28269 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Staples [8527]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28269 - 8527 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28276 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ann Stenning [8546]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28276 - 8546 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28281 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Juniper [8129]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I list below my objections to the two sites in Blackmore:

- 1) The village is in a hollow and any increase of hard surfaces will increase the possibility of flooding.
- 2) The village school is over-subscribed and the site quite small and the space for additional teaching area is limited.
- 3) The local GP surgery is not within the Parish and it is virtually at full capacity. I have been told that the residents of the Elms Development have been advised to use this surgery.
- 4) The public transport is limited and not full time
- 5) The current sewage system is at full capacity and the services also need upgrading
- 6) There is inadequate parking in the village, particularly at weekends with many visitors and cyclists
- 7) Both sites are Green Belt
- 8) The amount of traffic using Redrose Lane during construction will cause considerable disruption
- 9) There has been development close to the village in Epping Council area and further houses are being built, the occupiers will use the village facilities.

I have concern that there are at least five unoccupied houses in the Village which could be used and I would think there must be many more within Brentwood, are there any powers that the council has to acquire or lease these properties?

On reading through the draft LDP there is no mention of any proposals for Doddinghursts or Stondon Massey, are there no sites in these Parishes?

I notice that in the LDP that there is provision for Travellers Sites, does this mean that the unauthorised sites would be removed?

Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28281 - 8129 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28283 Object****Respondent: Mr Terence Stenning [8544]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28283 - 8544 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28288 Object****Respondent: Andrew Stevens [8942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28288 - 8942 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28294 Object****Respondent: Benjamin Stevens [8943]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28294 - 8943 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28299 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Once you start building on green belt it opens the gates, the villages will have a tough time coping with more population. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28299 - 8944 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28302 Object****Respondent: Mr Craig Stevens [4958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Blackmore cannot support this level of housing. The area whilst under funded is already over subscribed, ie doctors, village school, parking. The first sign on the road itself says NOT suitable for heavy goods vehicles. There will be an increased risk of flooding to add to the risk that already exists, as Blackmore sits on the edge of a flood plain.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. Blackmore has been incorrectly graded and placed in the wrong category. The proposal is unsound and also there has not been enough corroboration between Brentwood and Epping, who have already placed an burden on housing which is right on the Brentwood border and this will directly affect Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28302 - 4958 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28306 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: As far as I'm concerned, green belt was put in place for a reason. This stinks of corruption.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28306 - 8944 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28310 Object****Respondent: Lynn Stevens [8945]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28310 - 8945 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28316 Object****Respondent: Sandra Stock [8946]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28316 - 8946 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28320 Object****Respondent: Mrs Cynthia Kirby [8453]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28320 - 8453 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28324 Object****Respondent: Lynne Stocks [8947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28324 - 8947 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28330 Object****Respondent: Mr David Kirby [8454]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28330 - 8454 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28334 Object****Respondent: Richard Stocks [8948]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28334 - 8948 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28339 Object****Respondent: Iain Stretton [8949]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28339 - 8949 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28344 Object****Respondent: Samantha Stretton [8950]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28344 - 8950 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28349 Object****Respondent: Jennifer Stucky [8951]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28349 - 8951 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28354 Object****Respondent: Steve Stuckey [8952]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28354 - 8952 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28359 Object****Respondent: Christine Swettenham [8953]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28359 - 8953 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28364 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Tomey [8448]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore should remain a small village. Local Amenities cannot accomodate a population increase. Local roads are not suitable for increased traffic, which more housing will cause.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28364 - 8448 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28369 Object****Respondent: Edward Davis [8954]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28369 - 8954 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28374 Object****Respondent: Miss Harriet Davis [8440]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28374 - 8440 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28379 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dean [8434]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28379 - 8434 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28384 Object****Respondent: Sharon Decastro-Bunce [8955]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28384 - 8955 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28389 Object****Respondent: Allan Roy Dickinson [8956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. As already expressed the village facilities are fully stretched and any additional traffic from further development would increase the existing danger in the village centre.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28389 - 8956 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28394 Object

Respondent: Mr Louis Tregent [8924]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28394 - 8924 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28399 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Tregent [8437]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28399 - 8437 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28405 Object

Respondent: Mrs Paula Tregent [8433]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28405 - 8433 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28409 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28409 - 8777 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28414 Object

Respondent: Mr Dennis Trumble [8418]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28414 - 8418 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28419 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Trumble [5029]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28419 - 5029 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28426 Object

Respondent: Cariss Tsui [8694]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. It is not sustainable to build on this land. The surrounding infrastructure is not adequate to accommodate the extra bodies that this housing plan will bring in.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28426 - 8694 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28431 Object

Respondent: Mrs Rita Tuffey [4620]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28431 - 4620 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28436 Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Tuffey [4621]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28436 - 4621 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28441 Object

Respondent: Mr Giovanni Vaccari [8957]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28441 - 8957 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28446 Object

Respondent: Mr Pete Vince [8123]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28446 - 8123 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28451 Object

Respondent: Mr Ronald Wakelin [8958]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28451 - 8958 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28456 Object

**Respondent: Ms Natalie Walters [8959]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28456 - 8959 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28461 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Ward [8960]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28461 - 8960 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28466 Object****Respondent: Ms Stephanie Kmiotek-Mutton [8961]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28466 - 8961 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28471 Object****Respondent: Harry Krajcsek [8962]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28471 - 8962 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28476 Object****Respondent: Ms Madeline Krajicek [8963]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28476 - 8963 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28481 Object****Respondent: Stephen Krajicek [8964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28481 - 8964 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28484 Object****Respondent: Mr John Laing [8501]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28484 - 8501 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28491 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Laing [7046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28491 - 7046 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28497 Object

Respondent: Mr John Warner [5018]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28497 - 5018 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28501 Object****Respondent: Sarah Louise Lapena [8965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28501 - 8965 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28505 Object

Respondent: Mrs Linda Watkinson [4984]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield

sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28505 - 4984 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28509 Object

**Respondent: Mr Graham Lawrenson [6958]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28509 - 6958 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28517 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Lennon [8506]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The site is totally unsuitable for development. As well as being a high risk flood area, it is also an area of historical and natural significance. This has remained unspoilt for hundreds of years and once it has gone, it has gone. We have a duty to be custodians of our heritage and wildlife habitats and destruction of these is unwarranted. Aside from aesthetics, the locality cannot sustain any large increase in population. Our health centre is struggling to cope with the present numbers and any increase would be intolerable.

Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28517 - 8506 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28518 Object

Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Watson [8966]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28518 - 8966 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28524 Object

Respondent: Mr Jon Watson [7112]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28524 - 7112 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28529 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Watson [8967]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28529 - 8967 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28536 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Lennon [747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28536 - 747 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28539 Object

Respondent: Mr Eric John Webb [1830]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. No reduction - other than to ZERO - is appropriate for this Green Belt

site with poor infrastructure. There is adequate opportunity on

Brownfield Sites and sites with better infrastructure and lower flood risk.

PLUS Other approved sites inside Brentwood or just across the border

in Epping Forest should be taken into account and allow R25 to be

withdrawn entirely

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28539 - 1830 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28544 Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Webb [4919]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

I do not feel that a reduction in the numbers proposed for R25 and R26 is in any way appropriate - only completely removing both site from the LDP meets my approval.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28544 - 4919 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28549 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28549 - 4396 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28556 Object****Respondent: Ms Michelle Lockton [8968]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Blackmore has no transport links or infrastructure should never have been included in LDP, should be removed. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28556 - 8968 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28559 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joan Westover [4635]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. 1) Even young people with children who have moved into Blackmore recently are NOT able to go to Blackmore school because there are no places available. Consequently any more houses built in Blackmore parents would have to drive their children 3 or 4, even 6 miles, outside Blackmore.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28559 - 4635 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28564 Object****Respondent: Keith Lodge [8969]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28564 - 8969 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28569 Object

Respondent: Ms Maureen Wheeler [8970]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28569 - 8970 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28572 Object****Respondent: Graeme Logan [8971]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28572 - 8971 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28579 Object

Respondent: Mr Andy Wilkins [8972]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28579 - 8972 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28584 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kim Lucas [4711]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28584 - 4711 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28589 Object****Respondent: Mr Stuart Lucas [4956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28589 - 4956 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28597 Object****Respondent: Sean Lucas [8973]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28597 - 8973 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28599 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Wilkinson [8406]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Any development allowed could in future be expanded back to the original allocation number.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28599 - 8406 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28604 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hayley Maclaurin [7097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Of particular concern is the increased risk of flooding in an area which is already prone to flooding (as I have experienced personally at my home in Blackmore)

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28604 - 7097 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28609 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Manning [8974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28609 - 8974 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28616 Object

Respondent: Ms Christine Wilks [8975]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28616 - 8975 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28618 Object****Respondent: Duncan Maclaurin [8976]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Given the risk of flooding within Blackmore Village, no development should be undertaken which could add to the risk. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28618 - 8976 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28624 Object

Respondent: Mrs Edna Williams [4728]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28624 - 4728 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28629 Object

Respondent: Ms Elaine Williams [8159]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28629 - 8159 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28634 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Wiltshire [7141]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28634 - 7141 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28639 Object

Respondent: Mr John Wollaston [8183]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28639 - 8183 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28644 Object

Respondent: Mrs Marion Woolaston [8397]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28644 - 8397 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28649 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin Wood [6965]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Access to this land for the development is either going to be through existing residential streets (so not appropriate) or via Red Rose Lane, which is a narrow lane signposted at either end as being unsuitable for heavy goods vehicles. Also the additional vehicles using Red Rose Lane by residents of the proposed new developments once the development is completed will put a strain in what is a narrow road with insufficient width in places for two vehicles to pass one another. In addition, there have been no plans to update the infrastructure of the village and surrounding area e.g. no additional places in the village school, no increase in capacity at the doctors surgery which is already fully subscribed, no plans to mitigate the additional strain on drainage caused by concreting over existing fields in an area that is already a known flood risk, no allowance for the additional homes being built on our border by Epping Forest Council, the occupants of which are almost certainly to want to use the village facilities, no plans for additional parking in the village centre and nowhere suitable for such parking to be provided.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28649 - 6965 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28654 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sandra Wood [8720]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Access to this land for the development is either going to be through existing residential streets (so not appropriate) or via Red Rose Lane, which is a narrow lane signposted at either end as being unsuitable for heavy goods vehicles. Also the additional vehicles using Red Rose Lane by residents of the proposed new developments once the development is completed will put a strain in what is a narrow road with insufficient width in places for two vehicles to pass one another. In addition, there have been no plans to update the infrastructure of the village and surrounding area e.g. no additional places in the village school, no increase in capacity at the doctors surgery which is already fully subscribed, no plans to mitigate the additional strain on drainage caused by concreting over existing fields in an area that is already a known flood risk, no allowance for the additional homes being built on our border by Epping Forest Council, the occupants of which are almost certainly to want to use the village facilities, no plans for additional parking in the village centre and nowhere suitable for such parking to be provided.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28654 - 8720 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28659 Object

Respondent: Mr Neal Woodford [8978]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28659 - 8978 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28664 Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Woodward [8979]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28664 - 8979 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28669 Object

Respondent: Ms Ann Wright [8980]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28669 - 8980 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28674 Object

Respondent: Mrs Karen York [8748]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28674 - 8748 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28680 Object

Respondent: Ms Barbara Young [8981]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28680 - 8981 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28684 Object

Respondent: Charlie Pyke [8982]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28684 - 8982 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28689 Object

Respondent: Ms Hannah Pyke [8983]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

The only reason Blackmore was selected was due to pressure from developers and BBC taking the easy option, even though sites are totally unsuitable

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28689 - 8983 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28694 Object

Respondent: Mr Harry Pyke [8984]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28694 - 8984 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28699 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Pyke [8985]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Unlike Priests Lane, Crescent Drive & Dunton Hills, Blackmore has no transport links or infrastructure all residents would have to drive everywhere, which I assume is against all environmental aims, so Blackmore should be removed

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28699 - 8985 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28704 Object

Respondent: Ms Eve Pulford [8987]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28704 - 8987 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28709 Object

Respondent: Mr Daniel Pulford [8988]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28709 - 8988 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28714 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Marchant [8569]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28714 - 8569 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28722 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Marr [6006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28722 - 6006 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28729 Object****Respondent: Surrell McGovern [8991]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28729 - 8991 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28733 Object****Respondent: Tom McLaren [8992]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28733 - 8992 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28738 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Miers [8695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Currently we have 70 or so new homes being built or under serious consideration in and around Blackmore, in addition to the 70 homes outlined in the LDP. No additional facilities are being added in the Parish, and none of the above has been mentioned in the LDP; and no improvements are proposed for our failing infrastructure. Allowance need to be made for the planning applications to ; Red Rose Farm site for 12 houses; and for the 30 + 9 houses being currently built in the Epping Forest District Council (EFDC) at the Equestrian Centre on Fingrith Hall Lane which will bring extra pressure on the centre of Blackmore and the local lanes:add the permission by EFDC to build 8 houses at the Ashling's Farm site off the Blackmore Road. Hook End. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28738 - 8695 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28742 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Miers [3959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28742 - 3959 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28748 Object****Respondent: Alex Mills [8993]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28748 - 8993 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28753 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Mills [8533]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28753 - 8533 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28758 Object****Respondent: Greg Mills [8994]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28758 - 8994 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28763 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Page [9000]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28763 - 9000 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28768 Object

Respondent: Ms Marquite Peacham [8999]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28768 - 8999 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28773 Object

Respondent: Ms Janice Plummer [8997]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. No facilities no useful public transport & green belt so should be withdrawn from LDP

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28773 - 8997 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28778 Object****Respondent: Ms Judith Phillips [8615]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. No infrastructure or public transport

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28778 - 8615 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28783 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jill Pritchard [4269]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The village cannot take any further traffic and all that goes with it

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28783 - 4269 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28788 Object

Respondent: Mrs Irene Power [8610]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28788 - 8610 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28793 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Poulton [8149]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28793 - 8149 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28798 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Poulton [8119]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28798 - 8119 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28803 Object

Respondent: Miss Natasha Playle [4291]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28803 - 4291 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28808 Object

Respondent: Ms Polyblank [8996]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28808 - 8996 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28813 Object

Respondent: Ms Gillian Pope [8995]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28813 - 8995 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28818 Object

Respondent: Lloyd Piper [8616]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. BBC selected Blackmore as it was developer led and so easy solution.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28818 - 8616 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28823 Object

Respondent: Mr Frederick Piper [8380]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28823 - 8380 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 28830 Object

Respondent: Mrs Eileen Piper [8381]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Honeypot Lane should not have been removed before Blackmore they were in a better position to take these homes.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28830 - 8381 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28833 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28833 - 8417 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28838 Object

Respondent: Mr Douglas Piper [603]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28838 - 603 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28843 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Dimond [7055]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Reducing the proposed number of houses on the Blackmore green belt sites does not address the objections to the LDP regarding unjustifiable loss of green belt.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28843 - 7055 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28848 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Dimond [4851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Development in remote rural villages such as Blackmore will inevitably lead to increased road traffic because of the lack of jobs and infrastructure. More suitable sites with far better infrastructure are not being fully utilised. All proposed alterations to green belt boundaries should be fully evidenced and justified according to National Planning Policy and this has not happened, the choice of sites has been developer-lead. Alternatives to green belt development in the immediate vicinity of Blackmore village are being ignored by the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28848 - 4851 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28853 Object****Respondent: Mr Conrad Dixon [8688]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The limited capacity of local roads means that any expansion in housing will bring increased risk of road accidents involving cars, cyclists and pedestrians. An increase in paved/hard standing areas will increase the run off of surface water into the basin around the Green and increase the risk of flooding, which is already significant. These risks have not been adequately considered or costed.

Change To Plan: The extra demand on infrastructure has not been adequately planned for or costed. To proceed on this basis would be reckless, given the risk of road traffic accidents and higher flood risk. There are more sound locations available for the proposed developments.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28853 - 8688 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28858 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Dodd [5498]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. I strongly believe that the BBC planners are not giving sufficient attention and consideration to the already over saturated services, school, health services, and parking within the village. Any population expansion will completely overwhelm these essential services. The planners do not appear to have any plans to take account of the damage to the infrastructure and the ability of the existing services to cope if the proposed plans are implemented.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28858 - 5498 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28866 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Dodd [4828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: I am concerned by the development being undertaken by Epping Council on Fingrith Hall Lane that is a real threat to Blackmore local services. There does not appear to have been any published consultation between Brentwood planners and Epping DC and no evidence of working together planners that is a requirement in these circumstances. This should be rectified without further delay.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28866 - 4828 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28867 Object****Respondent: Jack Mills [9001]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28867 - 9001 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28873 Object****Respondent: Carla Downton [9002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28873 - 9002 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28878 Object****Respondent: Jane Mills [9003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28878 - 9003 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28884 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Downton [8432]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28884 - 8432 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28888 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Mills [6982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28888 - 6982 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28892 Object****Respondent: Christine Drew [9004]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28892 - 9004 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28900 Object****Respondent: Anna Dunk [8426]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. This development will change the nature of our village to such a degree that it will no longer be the beautiful village it currently is, and our precious way of life will be gone forever.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28900 - 8426 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28901 Object****Respondent: Toby Mills [9005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28901 - 9005 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28907 Object****Respondent: Dennis Mitchell [9006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28907 - 9006 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28912 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorna Mitchell [8391]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28912 - 8391 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28917 Object****Respondent: Mr Sean Moore [8520]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Surely we should look at planing better for what we need throughout the country and investing in areas that need investment, regeneration in areas that can cope with all environmental issues not just about profit for house building companies and short term fixes. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28917 - 8520 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28922 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shui-Lin Moore [8521]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28922 - 8521 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28927 Object****Respondent: Anastasia Mootoosamy [9007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28927 - 9007 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28932 Object****Respondent: John Moppett [9008]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28932 - 9008 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28937 Object****Respondent: Mr Bryan Moreton [8513]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28937 - 8513 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28942 Object****Respondent: Gloria Moreton [9009]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28942 - 9009 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28947 Object****Respondent: Samantha Dunk [8438]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. This risks us losing our village way of life which is so precious to us.

Change To Plan: Just to reinforce the fact that the infrastructure in our tiny village is wholly inadequate to support building on the scale proposed on our beautiful Green Belt land. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28947 - 8438 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28952 Object****Respondent: Ms Christine Durdant-Pead [8117]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Blackmore Village struggles to sustain the current population within its given infrastructure. Adding more housing would put a significant strain on the supporting schools, doctors, roads etc.

Change To Plan: Had Blackmore been given the correct status in keeping with its size and facilities then this situation would never have got underway. Blackmore is not a 'Large Village' given it only has one local corner shop to provide for its current residents. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28952 - 8117 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28957 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Durdant-Pead [8326]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The Village already struggles to cope with the traffic and additional visitors at weekends. It cannot afford to accommodate a larger population.

Change To Plan: As a new resident in Blackmore it is obvious that the Village cannot sustain the proposed growth to the population by way of more housing. The Village is not a 'Large Village' and does not meet the criteria to be considered as such. Therefore the current LDP for Blackmore should be abandoned.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28957 - 8326 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28962 Object****Respondent: Mr John Eaton [8124]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28962 - 8124 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28967 Object****Respondent: Kirsty Edwards [8450]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28967 - 8450 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28972 Object****Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28972 - 8477 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28977 Object****Respondent: J Ellis [9010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28977 - 9010 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28982 Object****Respondent: Matthew Emerson [9011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28982 - 9011 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28986 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The infrastructure just can not accommodate the extra housing, doctors, shops, parking, schools, traffic and local organisations. We need more transport. also it will not be a village. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. It will not add to the community of Blackmore as it cannot provide the infrastructure needed to meet the needs of the increase in population.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28986 - 4848 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28992 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28992 - 4987 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**28997 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Morgan [4505]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28997 - 4505 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29002 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lesley Moss [7053]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29002 - 7053 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29007 Object****Respondent: Mr and Mrs Brian and Lesley Moss [2905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29007 - 2905 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29012 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Moulder [4719]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29012 - 4719 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29014 Object****Respondent: Stuart Moulder [4713]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29014 - 4713 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29022 Object****Respondent: Mr Gerald Mountstevens [4911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29022 - 4911 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29027 Object

Respondent: Mr Lewis Pincombe [8745]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29027 - 8745 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29032 Object****Respondent: Patricia Mountstevens [9012]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29032 - 9012 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29039 Object****Respondent: Mrs Vicky Mumby [8378]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29039 - 8378 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29041 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Pincombe [8614]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29041 - 8614 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29046 Object

Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Pavitt [8746]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29046 - 8746 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29053 Object****Respondent: Dr Murray Wood [7003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29053 - 7003 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29056 Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Pavitt [8747]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29056 - 8747 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29061 Object

Respondent: Ms Sylvia Pascoe [7953]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29061 - 7953 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29066 Object****Respondent: Mr John and Maureen Murrell [6846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This proposed site would also cause immense problems for the village as would all the other sites earmarked for development in the village. As we have already stated above Blackmore is a very small community and there is no room for expansion. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29066 - 6846 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29071 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Parris [9013]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Doddinghurst has been ruined due to over development, you are now proposing to do the same to Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29071 - 9013 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29076 Object

Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29076 - 8315 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29081 Object

Respondent: Ms Sheena Parish [9014]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29081 - 9014 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29086 Object

Respondent: Mrs Beth Pardoe [8613]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29086 - 8613 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29091 Object

Respondent: Mr Albert Pardoe [8002]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29091 - 8002 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29096 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Pallet [1313]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. Blackmore is a tight knit community and the road (lanes) are not suited to vastly increased traffic. The amenities would be hard stretched to cope with additional inhabitants - doctors, schools, shop etc. Living in

the Parish for the last 22 years we have seen increased traffic through Wyatts Green from the development at Mountnessing and for that to happen in Blackmore would be extremely disadvantageous and possibly dangerous

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29096 - 1313 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29101 Object

**Respondent: Miss Emily Dimond [7227]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: I believe the more suitable brownfield locations have not been fully considered before planning building on Blackmore's Greenfield sites (R25 & R26). As recommendation under the National Planning Policy all other alternatives should be fully considered before greenbelt development is authorised. I therefore wholly OBJECT to the inclusion of these sites within the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29101 - 7227 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29106 Object

**Respondent: Callie Emmett [9019]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29106 - 9019 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29112 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Owen [9016]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29112 - 9016 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29116 Object****Respondent: MR David Emmett [8445]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29116 - 8445 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29121 Object

Respondent: Ms Amanda Owen [9017]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29121 - 9017 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29125 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Emmett [8372]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29125 - 8372 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29130 Object****Respondent: Ms Jennifer Emmett [4896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29130 - 4896 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29136 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Emmett [8436]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29136 - 8436 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29139 Object

Respondent: Mr Scott Osborne [8456]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29139 - 8456 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29146 Object

Respondent: Mrs Faye Osborne [8458]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29146 - 8458 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29151 Object

Respondent: Mr John Orbell [4805]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29151 - 4805 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29158 Object

Respondent: Mrs Gemma Olley [8462]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29158 - 8462 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29162 Object****Respondent: Ann Eustace [9020]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29162 - 9020 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29166 Object

Respondent: Mr David Olley [8461]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29166 - 8461 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29173 Object****Respondent: Kathleen Evans [9021]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 ad R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29173 - 9021 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29177 Object

Respondent: Mr Neil O'Riordan [8630]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29177 - 8630 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29181 Object****Respondent: Pat Fahy [9022]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29181 - 9022 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29186 Object****Respondent: Pat Fearnley [9024]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29186 - 9024 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29191 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett O'Hara [9023]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29191 - 9023 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29196 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew O'Hara [9025]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29196 - 9025 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29201 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29201 - 5892 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29206 Object

Respondent: Ms Suzanne O'Hara [9026]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29206 - 9026 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29211 Object

Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29211 - 9027 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29213 Object

Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. The Shenfield & Dunton sites all have good infrastructure & transport links, which Blackmore is clearly lacking in both

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26

comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29213 - 9027 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29221 Object

**Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29221 - 5892 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29228 Object

Respondent: Ms Tracey O'Brien [9028]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Blackmore is a small village whose infrastructure is already at breaking point. The Doctors surgery and schools are already full, being unable to get an appointment at said Doctors surgery. Also, the transport system, although regular does stop early evening.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29228 - 9028 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29231 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Finlay [8191]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29231 - 8191 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29236 Object

Respondent: Ms Jill Griffiths [5024]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29236 - 5024 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29243 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Gregory [9029]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29243 - 9029 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29246 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ceri Fisher [8459]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: The process that has been followed seems flawed. 1. The parish comments were not taken into consideration at the hearing when the decision was made by BBC because they ran out of time and the parish representations were not heard. 2. I do not believe that the local villagers concerns have been listened to or addressed, hence the strong feelings that have caused the formation of BVHA and so many responses for the size of our community. 3. There are other sites more suitable that have not been considered, eg. Stondon Massey Parish have welcomed opportunities for more housing to regenerate their village. 4. The broader development picture has not been looked at, the development plans of Epping Borough council and the already agreed building that is going on. 5. A proper impact study has not been completed looking at whether the village can cope with this level of development, looking at the whole picture of recent housing expansion not just the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29246 - 8459 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29251 Object

Respondent: Mrs Anne Gregory [4305]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29251 - 4305 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29257 Object

**Respondent: Ms Doreen Greenshields [8460]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29257 - 8460 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29261 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The Wollard way site does not have the supporting infrastructure to cope with this level of housing and with the primary school nearby represents an increased hazard. With parking for the School already a problem down this lane, increase housing on this lane, inevitably attracting families and increase school usage, would create more congestion and more road hazards

Change To Plan: Technically the LDP has been poorly executed and poorly considered. Lack of joined up consultation with the neighbouring borough, not allowing local parish representations to be heard, not considering the overwhelming response of the villages that live here. We don't object to building, but use the brown field sites and common sense please.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29261 - 8480 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29265 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Fletcher [8470]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29265 - 8470 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29270 Object****Respondent: Paul Fletcher [9030]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Increasing the number of houses on land north of Woollard Way would put more pressure on local services such as local schools and doctors surgeries. Most people living in Blackmore rely on cars to travel as the infrastructure for public transport is poor so increasing the number of houses would consequently increase the number of vehicles and increase pollution which local authorities are trying to cut. Policies R18 and R19 have better public transport infrastructure which would lower pollution levels. The greenbelt land in Blackmore proposed for new housing would be better planted with trees to combat pollution and climate change as proposed by government. If houses have to be built on this land the number of houses should be much reduced with larger gardens or greenspace.

Change To Plan: Building on greenbelt would downgrade its designation leading to potentially further development on greenbelt land. If houses are built on sites R25 and R26 what plans would prevent further development of greenbelt land around Blackmore and throughout the Borough of Brentwood?

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29270 - 9030 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29275 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Foreman [4394]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29275 - 4394 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29280 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lucille Foreman [8574]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29280 - 8574 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29285 Object****Respondent: Sally French [9031]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The houses should be re-instated on the 2 sites in Shenfield

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29285 - 9031 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29290 Object****Respondent: Mr Lee Fullick [8467]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29290 - 8467 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29295 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Fullick [8464]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29295 - 8464 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29300 Object****Respondent: Daniel Furnell [9032]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29300 - 9032 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29305 Object****Respondent: Mrs Grace Furnell [8182]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29305 - 8182 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29310 Object****Respondent: Mr Ricky Gardner [7282]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The public facilities in Blackmore and the immediate surroundings are not suitable for the proposed housing increase.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29310 - 7282 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29315 Object****Respondent: Mr Ian Garrett [4947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29315 - 4947 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29320 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Murrell [8519]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29320 - 8519 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29325 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Murrell [8560]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29325 - 8560 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29330 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Murrell [8517]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29330 - 8517 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29335 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Newcombe [8598]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29335 - 8598 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29340 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hazel Newcombe [8597]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29340 - 8597 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29345 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Newton [8601]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29345 - 8601 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29351 Object****Respondent: Mrs Tina Newton [8600]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29351 - 8600 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29355 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Geary [8483]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29355 - 8483 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29365 Object****Respondent: Doddinghurst Infant School (Ms. Ingrid Nicholson) [4339]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29365 - 4339 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29366 Object****Respondent: Beverley Gibson [9034]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29366 - 9034 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29371 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen Gray [9033]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29371 - 9033 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29374 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Gill [8492]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29374 - 8492 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29380 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joanne Gill [4758]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The infrastructure in Blackmore struggles to cope with the current demands on it. Especially the roads, doctors surgery and schools. To further add to this problem is unacceptable. In addition to this the c.65 new homes already in development/planning outside of sites R25 and R25 have not been taken into account at any point and will double the problems that will be caused by R25 and R26.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29380 - 4758 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29382 Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Gordon [9035]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Local infrastructure particularly roads, schools, and doctors surgeries are already inadequate and there are no plans to address these issues with current levels of housing. The proposed plans will only exacerbate the problems.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29382 - 9035 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29390 Object****Respondent: Mr John Ginivan [8476]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29390 - 8476 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29395 Object****Respondent: Mr Bruno Giordan [8104]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29395 - 8104 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29403 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Nicholson [4709]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It is about time that the Council accepts that unless the service provisions are put in place prior to any building ie adequate schooling, medical provision and transport links then Blackmore is clearly unsuitable for a major housing development as proposed. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29403 - 4709 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29404 Object

Respondent: Mr David Goodall [9036]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP. We need to preserve the village

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

We need to keep Green Belt land as Green Belt - no building on the land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29404 - 9036 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**29410 Object****Respondent: Mrs M.H. Giordan [1540]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29410 - 1540 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29415 Object

Respondent: Valerie Godbee [4943]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29415 - 4943 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29420 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Godbee [4942]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29420 - 4942 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29425 Object

Respondent: Mrs Niyazi [9039]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 29425 - 9039 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29430 Object

Respondent: Ms Viola Sherwin [9040]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29430 - 9040 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 29435 Object

**Respondent: Mr Stephen Slaughter [9041]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29435 - 9041 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

## 26517 Support

**Respondent: Cllr Chris Hossack [1974]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: I support this 25% reduction. This will alleviate the pressures on the village and village centre. We must be mindful of the proposed developments adjoining Blackmore in the Epping Forest District that will have a consequential impact on the village centre as occupiers of those properties will undoubtedly use the village centre facilities and these is already huge congestion in the village

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26517 - 1974 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26522 Support****Respondent: Mr John Darragh [4862]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: provided includes affordable housing

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: Yes

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26522 - 4862 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26540 Support****Respondent: Chelmsford City Council (Ms Gemma Nicholson) [8305]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: For relevance of Chelmsford, Policy R25 and R26, located in Blackmore have a reduction in the number of dwellings for these site allocations. From 40 to 30 homes for R25, and 30 to 20 homes for R26. The capacity of Policy R01 (Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation) has increased from 2,700 to 2,770 to take account of the reduction in numbers from the sites identified. CCC continues to support BBC's proposed approach to housing and employment allocations which are unlikely to have any obvious adverse cross-boundary impacts on Chelmsford. BBC continues to meet its own housing need within its administrative boundaries and has not approached neighbouring authorities under the Duty to Co-operate to request other authorities help accommodate any unmet needs. This is supported by CCC.

Change To Plan: No change proposed.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26540 - 8305 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26655 Support****Respondent: Anglian Water (Mr Stewart Patience) [6824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We note that it is proposed to decrease the amount of housing on this allocation site to address comments made as part of the previous consultation. As an infrastructure provider we closely monitor housing growth in our region to align our planned investment with additional demand for water recycling infrastructure. Therefore we have no comments to make relating to the focused change to Policy R25.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26655 - 6824 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26675 Support****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Kennard [8810]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Such a small reduction in the planned number of houses to be built will make little difference when it comes to infrastructure, etc. bearing in mind the already proposed developments off of Fingrith Hall Lane, Red Rose Lane and Spriggs Lane

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26675 - 8810 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26697 Support****Respondent: Mr Mr J Nicholls and Mr A Biglin (Land owners) [8368]****Agent: Sworders (Mrs Rachel Bryan) [5481]**

Summary: We support the following changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan:

\* Policy R18 (Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 55" to "around 35 homes".

\* Policy R19 (Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 75" to "around 45 homes".

\* Policy R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 40" to around "30 homes".

\* Policy R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 30" to "around 20 homes".

We support the reduction in housing numbers at the allocation sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, as this is justified by the evidence base.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26697 - 8368 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26771 Support****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I support the reduction - but it does not go far enough. This housing will overburden the village infrastructure and destroy green belt which is already under encroachment with other developments in progress. This construction will also have an adverse impact on rainwater soakaway, increasing the existing risk of flooding.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26771 - 5175 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26786 Support****Respondent: Historic England (Andrew Marsh) [8824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Site is in close proximity to the Grade II listed The Woodbines and Horselocks Cottage, the Wells Farmhouse and the Blackmore Conservation Area. Development on site will need to be sensitive to this edge of settlement location and relate to the open landscape around it and to the historic settlement it adjoins. The surrounding land is of historic interest and makes a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area. Cumulative impacts of the development of this site and site R26 must be taken into account to ensure the setting of these heritage assets is not compromised.

Change To Plan: Development of this site will need to conserve and, where opportunities arise, enhance these heritage assets and their settings. The development should be of high quality design. These requirements should be included in any site specific policy and supporting text of the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26786 - 8824 - Policy R25: Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore (page 299) - None

**26526 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Anthony Cross [4376]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposed reduction in the number of dwellings has been arbitrarily calculated. The proposed reduction has no scientific or evidence based reasoning and does not adequately address or mitigate the significant concerns and objections raised as part of the original LDP (Pre-submission, Regulation 19). The proposed change only reduces the proposed number of dwellings and not the size and extent of the site being developed, so the adverse impacts of the development would not materially reduce. There are more suitable alternative sites in the borough that are able to absorb the number of dwellings proposed for this site.

Change To Plan: Remove site allocations R25 and R26 from the LDP entirely. Any development of this greenfield, agriculturally viable and environmentally beneficial land would be detrimental to the village and natural environment.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: ii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26526 - 4376 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii, iv

**26528 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Tom Bennett [4388]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The proposed reduction from 40 to 30 does not address concerns about further strains on services and infrastructure within Blackmore, the narrowness of Red Rose Lane (the only access to the site), potential for flooding and undue incursion of green belt land.

New housing developments by Epping Forest DC at Ashling's Farm, Nine Ashes & former Equestrian Centre off Fingrith Hall Lane (~70 homes) haven't been considered, nor have the recent approvals at Red Rose Farm, Spriggs Lane or the pending application for the Travellers site on Chelmsford Road, Blackmore. These will add to the problems outlined above.

Change To Plan: Remove R26 from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26528 - 4388 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii

**26530 Object**

**Respondent: Mrs Shirley Slade-Bennett [8240]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: The reduction in the number of houses to be built does not fully address my concerns of an increase in the existing overload of services and infrastructure in Blackmore village and its surroundings. This is already exacerbated by new housing developments in nearby communities, who will also use our roads and facilities, and brownfield approvals in Blackmore, none of which are allowed for in the LDP. My original concerns of flooding, loss of greenbelt land and the unsuitability of the narrow red Rose Lane, which is the only access to the site also remain unaltered.

Change To Plan: Remove site R26 from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26530 - 8240 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii

**26536 Object**

**Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: A reduction is welcomed, however the site is still greenbelt land and I disagree with building on it.

Change To Plan: Greenbelt/greenfield sites should not be built on when there are brownfield sites included in the LDP which could accommodate the 20 houses (ie sites R18 and R19)

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26536 - 8477 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - iii

**26538 Object**

**Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Please do not build more houses within the village of Blackmore. The infrastructure will not be able to cope and the character of this ancient village will be lost for ever.

Change To Plan: Removal of sites R25 & R26 from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26538 - 8177 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii

**26549 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: While we welcome the decision to reduce the number of dwellings proposed for the above two sites we feel this would still put too great a strain on the village facilities. Therefore we strongly support the latest proposal to remove a further 20 houses from the Plan for Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26549 - 8777 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26551 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Very concerned that Green Belt and rural green spaces are disappearing. This will impact on local health services, parking and safety due to increase in cars, the schools is full, there has already been a lot of new building. Brentwood town centre is affected by transport problems and the high street is changing for the worse.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26551 - 4735 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26553 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The area used to be all green belt, but over the years have witnessed the villages grown in massive numbers. Unfortunately the local services have not. The school is full, GP services are not sufficient and the roads are congested and there are parking problems in the village. Whilst I understand the need for extra housing, including affordable, there has already been a lot of building in the area. The impact locally and on the high street in Brentwood is clear, gridlocked roads and poor shops. Keep the green spaces.

Change To Plan: Object to Blackmore proposals

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26553 - 4735 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26556 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rosalind Rose [8557]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I would still like to register my concerns over the proposed dwellings on site R 25 and R 26 in Blackmore. There has already been approved planning for dwellings at Fingrith Hall Lane and Ashlings Farm although not in the Blackmore parish they will use the limited amenities of Blackmore. At the moment it is very difficult to get an appointment at the doctors and the village school is full and I can't see that there will be any vast improvement in the near future. It is about time the UK put in the infrastructure before building as in many other countries. I do realise that the younger and older population need more affordable housing but as soon as the properties are built they very soon compete for the higher price range in villages such as Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26556 - 8557 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26563 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Craske [2712]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Reductions in Blackmore Village from 70 to 50 (30%). The statements for justification are i) inconsistency with character, ii) impact on local services, iii) disagreement with settlement hierarchy, iv) Green Belt development and flood risk. In a large village it is difficult to understand how a total of 70 new homes can make too much difference. There are already a large variety in the types of homes in Blackmore so again how can new build be out of character? What can a reduction of 20 homes do to improve the village character that much? It does not make sense and again appears to be NIMBYISM! Does the council think a token gesture will do in this case? The impact on local services of 50 homes is not much different to that from 70 homes. Blackmore has good local services with a rail link to Brentwood and this was part of the reasons given for locating hundreds of homes in West Horndon. Road access is good with easy access to the A414, A12, M25 and M11. It has 3 public houses, 2 village halls, sports and social club, football and cricket pitches and a village shop with a farmers market at weekends. Hardly hard done by and surely it could easily take 70 homes without any impact at all. So this part of the justification does not ring true! What is the basis of the settlement hierarchy? Small population areas tend to provide only low order services such as Post Office and Newsagents, not 3 public houses, 2 village halls etc. This is a ridiculous statement as a justification. West Horndon Village has 1 public house, 1 village hall, no sports and social clubs or cricket pitches etc but is going to have almost 500 extra homes with no improvement in service or facilities. What about our settlement hierarchy? We do not appear to matter to the council and are not as important a village as Blackmore obviously. Again discriminatory, disgusting and very insulting to residents of West Horndon. Where is our value? We pay the same tax to support the council but are obviously second class citizens.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26563 - 2712 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26567 Object****Respondent: Mr Steve Mitchell [8535]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Views remain the same. Oppose any development on R25 and R26

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26567 - 8535 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26569 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorraine Mitchell [8534]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Views remain the same. Oppose any development on R25 and R26

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26569 - 8534 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26571 Object****Respondent: Mr & Mrs Gunthardt [8790]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Our objections to the proposed development reflected the general views expressed by our Parish Council and those of a large proportion of the Village population. We feel strongly that the proposed development including the latest revised LDP would negatively impact on the unique character of the Blackmore Village and put undue strain on its already strained infrastructure and services including traffic and parking facilities, access to the local school, lack of adequate medical facilities, flooding etc. We also understand that there are now plans to build a further 70 properties just outside our borough which will cause further strain on the resources and infrastructure of our village. We fully support the efforts and views expressed by our local Parish Council. We trust that you will fully take into account of the views expressed by the residents of our village.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26571 - 8790 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26574 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Rural Area, for all my life as that is how long I have lived here. Overload of local services, i.e. Doctors Schools, Roads, Parking, Spoiling rural area as it is know

Change To Plan: No large development in Blackmore Rural Areas

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26574 - 4735 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - iv

**26579 Object****Respondent: Mr Anthony Cross [4376]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The village of Blackmore is already going to be adversely impacted by nearby residential developments on Kings Street, Norton Heath Equestrian Centre, Ashling's Farm and potentially on Red Rose Farm amongst others. The impact of none of these sites is considered in the Local Plan. Accordingly, Blackmore is already contributing to the provision of new housing stock. It would be inappropriate to add to this by including sites R25 and R26 in the Local Plan; both should therefore be removed in full.

Change To Plan: Remove site R26 from the Local Plan in full.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: ii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26579 - 4376 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii, iv

**26581 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Too much strain on local infrastructure - schools, medical, doctors waiting times for appointment and could result in increased flooding to village. Parking already impossible in village.  
More suitable sites should have been identified. Land is in Green Belt area. No healthcare in Parish, GP surgeries at max capacity. Blackmore school at capacity now. Inadequate roads, parking in village is a nightmare. Utility services would need upgrading and also public transport. Prone to flooding in the village. Loss of ambience of village, such a major expansion would ruin the character of an otherwise beautiful village. Loss of valuable agricultural land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26581 - 8011 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26583 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Sexton [4860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I registered my concerns and objections with regard to the above development. My views have not changed and have in fact strengthened in the light of other developments that have arisen since February 2019. Additionally, potential residents of any development or developments be adequately warned of all the shortcomings and ongoing problems they might experience living in this village which have been raised by the BVHA during this consultation with Brentwood.gov.uk/localplan.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26583 - 4860 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26585 Object****Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I wish to put forward my concerns about the above plan you have for the end of Woollard Way and Orchard Piece, I'm very against these plans, you have approved development in Fingrith Hall Lane of 70 New houses also I hear you have also approved Red Rose Lane also Spriggs Lane surely with the size of Blackmore you cannot expect our village to cope with a further 50 houses . You seem to be going on what you have been told by the government rather than the needs of your ratepayers.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified                      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified                      Sound?: Not Specified                      Tests: None                      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26585 - 8315 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26595 Object****Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield should have no houses

Change To Plan: Allocate the 20 houses to Crescent Drive Brownfield or to Dunton development

Legally Compliant?: Yes                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: Yes                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26595 - 8678 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26603 Object****Respondent: Mr Alfred Larney [4990]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We are against the building in Blackmore of 50 houses in Fringrith Hall Lane and 15 dwellings on Rose Farm Site and Spriggs Lane, At the present time its nearly impossible to drive u Fringrith Hall Road with all the parked cars on a weekday, weekends even worse. The school cannot take anymore pupils and as for the doctors, its nearly 3 weeks wait. A blood test result usually takes 2 weeks but is now 8. If we gat anymore building allowed we will turn into a town , losing the words village, you are going about a lovely village being spoilt all the people in the new equestrian site will be coming into Blackmore not Ongar, The green Belt Land should be left as green belt.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26603 - 4990 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26604 Object****Respondent: Mrs Doreen Larney [8502]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We are against the building in Blackmore of 50 houses in Fringrith Hall Lane and 15 dwellings on Rose Farm Site and Spriggs Lane, At the present time its nearly impossible to drive u Fringrith Hall Road with all the parked cars on a weekday, weekends even worse. The school cannot take anymore pupils and as for the doctors, its nearly 3 weeks wait. A blood test result usually takes 2 weeks but is now 8. If we gat anymore building allowed we will turn into a town , losing the words village, you are going about a lovely village being spoilt all the people in the new equestrian site will be coming into Blackmore not Ongar, The green Belt Land should be left as green belt.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26604 - 8502 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26605 Object****Respondent: Mrs Doreen Larney [8502]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We are against the building in Blackmore of 50 houses in Fringrith Hall Lane and 15 dwellings on Rose Farm Site and Spriggs Lane, At the present time its nearly impossible to drive u Fringrith Hall Road with all the parked cars on a weekday, weekends even worse. The school cannot take anymore pupils and as for the doctors, its nearly 3 weeks wait. A blood test result usually takes 2 weeks but is now 8. If we gat anymore building allowed we will turn into a town , losing the words village, you are going about a lovely village being spoilt all the people in the new equestrian site will be coming into Blackmore not Ongar, The green Belt Land should be left as green belt.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26605 - 8502 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26609 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Houses should be switched to Crescent Drive

Change To Plan: Crescent Drive is a brownfield site in Brentwood town with good transport links &amp; work Blackmore has none of these things &amp; is green belt site

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes      Sound?: Yes      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26609 - 8686 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26611 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We have already written to ask for our February forms to be passed on to the Inspector. However, we have now received from our Parish Council a request to write once again about the reduced plan on sites R25 and R26 the reduction on these sites from 70 to 50. There isn't the infrastructure to accommodate more large developments. Epping and Ongar Council have already built on the boundary without consultation or thought for how we will deal with sewage surface water, traffic, we ow only have village post office shop. Parking by visitors now is abysmal with a further 15 in Spriggs Lane and Red Rose Lane We cannot cope now. Redrose and Woollard Way are meadows not brownfield.

There was an application for a very small house on a brownfield site on Orchard Piece you pushed that man who was in fact homeless to distraction, you behaved in a manner we never wish to see again it was disgraceful. Now it is OK to build on the field adjacent T26. 20 houses when you dealt with him you knew about R26 and kept quiet. The whole situation has been dealt with so badly we so not feel safe in official hands.

We thank Chris Hossack for speaking to us at last we have a leader who listens. Please pass all our comments to the inspector we are so disgusted the way this LDP plan has been handled.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26611 - 8388 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26613 Object****Respondent: Mr William A Smith [8512]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We have already written to ask for our February forms to be passed on to the Inspector. However, we have now received from our Parish Council a request to write once again about the reduced plan on sites R25 and R26 the reduction on these sites from 70 to 50. There isn't the infrastructure to accommodate more large developments. Epping and Ongar Council have already built on the boundary without consultation or thought for how we will deal with sewage surface water, traffic, we ow only have village post office shop. Parking by visitors now is abysmal with a further 15 in Spriggs Lane and Red Rose Lane We cannot cope now. Redrose and Woollard Way are meadows not brownfield.

There was an application for a very small house on a brownfield site on Orchard Piece you pushed that man who was in fact homeless to distraction, you behaved in a manner we never wish to see again it was disgraceful. Now it is OK to build on the field adjacent T26. 20 houses when you dealt with him you knew about R26 and kept quiet. The whole situation has been dealt with so badly we so not feel safe in official hands.

We thank Chris Hossack for speaking to us at last we have a leader who listens. Please pass all our comments to the inspector we are so disgusted the way this LDP plan has been handled.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26613 - 8512 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26615 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: My previous comments remain strongly my view and the slight decrease in the number of housing will not make much difference and change my mind or reasons that the houses would be best build outside of Blackmore.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26615 - 4848 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26617 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: My previous comments made in February and March 2019 remain strongly my view and the small decrease in the number of housing will not make much difference and change my mind or reasons that the houses would be best build outside of Blackmore.

There are much more suitable areas in Brentwood and the Greenbelt in Blackmore with no infrastructure is really not suitable.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26617 - 4987 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26619 Object****Respondent: Mr Timothy Webb [5612]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Object to all document particularly R25 and R26

Not legally compliant as still contravenes Green Belt legislation and national policy; unsound as R25 and R26 changes grossly inadequate as fail to rectify destruction of Green Belt, loss of agricultural land, access issues on Redrose Lane, impact on school and medical facilities, minimal public transport, flood risk.

Change To Plan: Failure comply with Duty to Cooperate as local residents and elected representative concerns are disregarded.

Proposed changes are superficial/more more radical reform required. Housing demand should be addressed with high density in and around Brentwood Town - blocks of flats and above shops. Executed effectively in Dagenham Heathway.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26619 - 5612 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, iii, iv

**26622 Object****Respondent: Mr Kenneth Bailey [5045]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The reduction in housing numbers does not address concerns of strain on local services and infrastructure but my greatest objection is the intrusion of building on the green belt. Should not build there, build on brownfield sites, Council have already approved development on Red Rose Farm and Spriggs Lane sites. Consultation is poor. The form is daunting, not everyone in the village and parish have been informed.

I do not know where on this form to make my comments but at least I have made my objections known and would reiterate my previous objections.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26622 - 5045 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii

**26624 Object****Respondent: Mrs Pamela Bailey [8010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Addendum is unsound as main issues of development are ignored. Outrageous to build on Green Belt; extra pressure on traffic is not being addressed with two more cars per property resulting in mayhem, parking already impossible and is a danger for parents and children, and pavements being used for parking by all vehicles, so we need to walk in the road. The school is oversubscribed, resulting in car use to transport children elsewhere. Medical centre is overflowing and can't keep up with existing demand, more residents will exacerbate this. Should listen to our concerns, planners are ignoring the urgent issues. Unfair on village and other brownfield sites should be used.

Change To Plan: This local plan will only be sound if the vital points as set out in question 5 are adhered to: no building on Green Belt, keep Blackmore a village not an attempt to make it into a mini-town. Also need to consider how it will affect the local water supply, etc, etc, etc. The vital points must be listened to - GREEN BELT, SCHOOL, GP SURGERY, PARKING, HEAVIER TRAFFIC, WATER SUPPLY, FLOODING.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26624 - 8010 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26629 Object****Respondent: Punch Partnerships (PGRP) Ltd [8801]****Agent: Cordage Group (Miss Lauren Parsons) [8797]**

Summary: The proposed reduction in housing numbers in Shenfield and Blackmore reduces housing numbers in sustainable settlements where growth is needed, and puts them in a less sustainable location. In relocating the units to the proposed strategic allocation at Dunton Hills, the provision of these units will inevitably occur later in the plan period, when the focus should be on early provision to address the current housing land supply shortfall. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding.

Change To Plan: A much better solution would be to reprovide the units lost from the Shenfield and Blackmore allocations on sustainable sites in and around Brentwood. The site at Spital Lane is an ideal candidate, being located on the edge of the town close to services and facilities, having minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt, and as per the Environment Agency comments on the most recent planning application, being capable of accommodating six houses without any risk of flooding. We therefore advocate that Spital Lane be allocated for housing in the emerging plan, along with other suitable smaller sites identified in the SHLAA, to make up the housing numbers lost in Shenfield and Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26629 - 8801 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26636 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This site should not be included in LDP.

Change To Plan: Greenfield sites not suitable.

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: Yes

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26636 - 8417 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26638 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It fails to take into account the number of dwellings being built outside BBC domain which will impact heavily on the village-65 in all that when added to the proposed total of 50 will effect infrastructure including schools and doctors surgery, flooding, parking, congestion. This reveals the woeful lack of investment in the area beforehand to improve such matters. Re-opening this LDP allows other sites to be investigated like Stondon Massey where there is a welcome need for housing as well as preferred Brownfield sites in Blackmore which could lead to the building of 26 more houses in a controlled manner.

Change To Plan: See above. Investigate building in Stondon Massey which welcomes more housing and has space. Put in new housing in Blackmore that utilises Brownfield sites and has far less impact on the environment and infrastructure which is already under enormous strain-try getting an appointment at the doctor's surgery!

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26638 - 8634 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26643 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield site should be reduced to zero

Change To Plan: The 2 sites in Shenfield which have good public transport &amp; infrastructure could take these homes

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?: Yes

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26643 - 8679 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26645 Object****Respondent: Miss Jean Monery [8007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I sent in my concerns with regards to the new housing development in February of this year. My views on the revised LDP have not changed and I feel that any new development to the two sites will alter the landscape, add extra vehicles to the village which will cause major disruption within the village and to the one shop that we have. I moved to Blackmore 4 years ago, it took my husband and I two years to find where we wanted to spend our retirement and in the plans it clearly stated that there would be no building on the fields surrounding Woollard Way which is why we decided to buy and I now feel this is a contradiction and we should have been informed of any future developments that have been put in place.

We also like the quietness of the village and personally we paid for this benefit which if the housing sites go ahead is not only disrupting our lives as others within the village but also village way of life which is what we so wanted. English heritage should be a major factor and development on villages that need building up. I would appreciate if you can again take my views into consideration.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26645 - 8007 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26648 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: This green field site runs alongside a narrow single lane which at this end is prone to flooding. It has had less of a reduction than the shenfield site which is already in a residential area where the infrastructure is better in place to support this development, yet has had a reduction of 30 dwellings. This site has only had a reduction of 10 yet is having 12 dwellings built, unopposed as on a brownfield site, opposite this site and will share the same narrow single country lane.

Change To Plan: I believe this proposal is unsound and unjustified in a small, old, historic village where the proposed expansion could change and impact on the village significantly. There are better options available in Shenfield and purpose built Dunton village where expansion is welcomed and there are brown field sites which have not been taken into consideration and should be built on first.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: ii, iii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26648 - 8480 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii, iii

**26650 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Clarke [7095]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Site R26 is not suitable for development due to the following  
 Green belt land  
 Local services at full capacity  
 Housing development already in and around Blackmore being built  
 Redrose Lane is not suitable for the increased traffic  
 Site R26 and Redrose Lane are at risk of flooding

## Change To Plan:

R26 has to be removed from the LDP as it is not suitable.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: ii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26650 - 7095 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii

**26651 Object****Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Building on this site will increase the population (many existing homes only have 2 residents), overcrowding, congestion, traffic hazards putting a strain on infrastructure which has remained unchanged for many years. New housing developments not included in the LDP are surrounding Blackmore. This site is greenbelt and should be excluded as there are brownfield sites available. The area is subject to serious flooding and access is narrow and dangerous. There would also be adverse affects to the natural environment and nature of the village. Purely developer-led, this site was excluded from the LDP in 2016 and should be excluded again.

## Change To Plan:

This site should be removed from the LDP and the village plan implemented which makes use of available brownfield sites and meets village requirements not those of developers. Brownfield sites already offered should be used and more investigation into including other brownfield opportunities undertaken (e.g. Stondon Massey where development is actively encouraged). Our PC representatives should be given the opportunity to present alternatives to the BBC.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26651 - 6880 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26658 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I have previously commented on the proposed plans for sites labelled as R25 and R26 (Woollard Way and Orchard Piece) of the LDP. I re-iterate my views previously posted to yourselves in this regard. Furthermore, the recent flooding to the north of England and particularly Fishlake, only go to demonstrate the danger of flooding to areas that have a history in this regard. Blackmore is one of these areas and this increased risk and the other concerns previously I have expressed remain.

## Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26658 - 8648 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26666 Object****Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There is no proven need for a development of this size in Blackmore. By even reducing numbers you admit that the original proposal was flawed

## Change To Plan: Removal from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: iii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26666 - 2456 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - iii

## 26670 Object

**Respondent:** Blackmore, Hook End and Wyatts Green Parish Council (Parish Clerk) **Agent:** Holmes & Hills LLP (Mr Michael Harman) [8074]  
[1921]

**Summary:** Both the Parish Council and BVHA remain strongly opposed to the proposed allocation of Sites R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore) and R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore) for housing development. The proposed allocations, following the "focussed changes", are for "around 30 new homes" at R25 and for "around 20 new homes" at R26. The Parish Council and BVHA say that BBC can meet its Local Housing Need ('LHN') on preferable sites to R25 and R26. Further, the Parish Council and BVHA say that the LHN can be met without sites R25 and R26 at all. Greater use of Dunton Hills Garden Village with higher densities; greater use of sites R18 and R19 with higher densities rather than lower as proposed and are more sustainable town sites; the existing windfall development rate in Blackmore is appropriate; nearby development in Epping impacts on infrastructure without contribution; Therefore the Parish Council and BVHA recognise that proposed allocation on sites R25 and R26 has been reduced following "focussed changes". However, both the Parish Council and BVHA maintain that the LHN can be met on more suitable and/or sustainable sites elsewhere in the Borough. BBC have not considered increasing housing density on the Dunton Hills Garden Village site. A modest increase in density may negate the need for both the Shenfield (R18 and R19) and Blackmore (R25 and R26) sites. The Shenfield sites are clearly in more sustainable locations (as confirmed by the Sustainability Appraisal scores) but are surrounded by built form development but also transport links/infrastructure. Thus, the inclusion of sites R18 and R19 will not lead to coalescence nor erode the countryside/Green Belt. Sites R18 and R19 should be allocated in preference to the Blackmore sites (R25 and R26). There is no need for the Blackmore sites if the allocation on the Shenfield sites is reinstated. Namely, the 50 dwellings removed from sites R18 and R19 would, if reinstated, entirely negate the need to allocate sites R25 and R26. Moreover, there is no evidence that BBC have considered increasing housing density on sites R18 and R19; both of which could take a higher housing density but particularly the latter.

**Change To Plan:** The Plan, as amended by the focussed changes, is not sound with the inclusion of sites R25 and R26. The inclusion of sites R25 and R26 cannot be justified and their inclusion of these sites is contrary to national policy, particularly with regards to sustainable development and Green Belt land policies within the NPPF. Brentwood Borough Council should amend the plan to retain R25 and R26 as Green Belt and not allocate these sites for housing.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26670 - 1921 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 26671 Object

**Respondent:** Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874] **Agent:** Holmes & Hills LLP (Mr Michael Harman) [8074]

**Summary:** Both the Parish Council and BVHA remain strongly opposed to the proposed allocation of Sites R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore) and R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore) for housing development. The proposed allocations, following the "focussed changes", are for "around 30 new homes" at R25 and for "around 20 new homes" at R26. The Parish Council and BVHA say that BBC can meet its Local Housing Need ('LHN') on preferable sites to R25 and R26. Further, the Parish Council and BVHA say that the LHN can be met without sites R25 and R26 at all. Greater use of Dunton Hills Garden Village with higher densities; greater use of sites R18 and R19 with higher densities rather than lower as proposed and are more sustainable town sites; the existing windfall development rate in Blackmore is appropriate; nearby development in Epping impacts on infrastructure without contribution; Therefore the Parish Council and BVHA recognise that proposed allocation on sites R25 and R26 has been reduced following "focussed changes". However, both the Parish Council and BVHA maintain that the LHN can be met on more suitable and/or sustainable sites elsewhere in the Borough. BBC have not considered increasing housing density on the Dunton Hills Garden Village site. A modest increase in density may negate the need for both the Shenfield (R18 and R19) and Blackmore (R25 and R26) sites. The Shenfield sites are clearly in more sustainable locations (as confirmed by the Sustainability Appraisal scores) but are surrounded by built form development but also transport links/infrastructure. Thus, the inclusion of sites R18 and R19 will not lead to coalescence nor erode the countryside/Green Belt. Sites R18 and R19 should be allocated in preference to the Blackmore sites (R25 and R26). There is no need for the Blackmore sites if the allocation on the Shenfield sites is reinstated. Namely, the 50 dwellings removed from sites R18 and R19 would, if reinstated, entirely negate the need to allocate sites R25 and R26. Moreover, there is no evidence that BBC have considered increasing housing density on sites R18 and R19; both of which could take a higher housing density but particularly the latter.

**Change To Plan:** The Plan, as amended by the focussed changes, is not sound with the inclusion of sites R25 and R26. The inclusion of sites R25 and R26 cannot be justified and their inclusion of these sites is contrary to national policy, particularly with regards to sustainable development and Green Belt land policies within the NPPF. Brentwood Borough Council should amend the plan to retain R25 and R26 as Green Belt and not allocate these sites for housing.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26671 - 4874 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26674 Object****Respondent: Ms Margaret Boreham [8033]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: As a resident of Blackmore I am writing with regard to the Local Development Plan. I have very grave concerns that the proposed plan does not address the concerns of local residents.

1. The development encroaches on to Green Belt land which is a statutory green belt around London and should remain so.

2. The proposed development, although reduced, will put a huge strain on the local infrastructure.

i) The area is prone to flooding.

ii) Local services are already over stretched

iii) The road access is inadequate

3. The area already has significant development close by at Nine Ashes and Fingrith Hall Lane. The Residents of these development use the services provided by Blackmore but the revised plan does not take account of this.

4.

In addition no allowances have been made by Brentwood Council of plans recently approved build over 15 dwellings on Red Rose Farm site and Spriggs Lane.

The plan will significantly increase the population and traffic of the parish. The village cannot realistically support such an increase, especially in the light of adjacent developments who already use the services of Blackmore.

Change To Plan: remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26674 - 8033 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26677 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christine Blythe [4718]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Object reduction of dwellings proposed by Addendum document.

I support the Preferred Options local Plan (2018) which was the outcome of a positively framed ten year process. I support the sequential land use approach in particular in relation to Policy R26 which supports Policy NE9 8.88 (p228) and Policy NE13: 8.115 (p238). In particular Policy R26 supports item 2.8 (p21) and would serve to help satisfy SP01: SP02: HP01.

I object to reduction in numbers as there is no technical justification for this, net density should be at least 35 dpha and previous density should be reinstated.

Under policy r26, new housing will give priority to those with "demonstrable local connection and those over 50". As there is currently insufficient housing stock for downsizing in Blackmore and the local area, the number of dwellings should not be reduced.

The concerns regarding surface water flooding does not correspond with my knowledge of the site. However in order to be fully informed, Ardent Consulting Engineers have conducted a detailed technical analysis relating to flooding and drainage on the Site. It has been demonstrated that the Site is not in an area vulnerable to groundwater or fluvial flooding. Even so, Crest Nicholson Eastern, the builder who would deliver housing on this Site has taken note of the concerns of local residents in its proposed design for the Site. No technical objection has been received from ECC or the EA.

The allocation should be returned to 40 dwellings. Please refer to of previous comments made.

Change To Plan: Reinstate the dwelling number to 40 for site R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26677 - 4718 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26682 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: It should be recorded that each item I have entered is a separate representation and should be logged as such. It has been publicised that Blackmore created c500 responses to the previous consultation. However if you look at these actual responses stored on the BBC portal you will see that in fact for R25 there are 1,026 separate respondents and for R26 there are 1,035 respondents. In addition many of these respondents raise multiple objection when their individual response is reviewed. e.g. Ref

23127 has 11 different objections but is only counted as 1 representation. It would seem that there has been deliberate understatement of the magnitude of local feeling about the inequities of the foisted upon Blackmore by the LDP. To put these numbers in perspective the BBC site shows the representations on other sites as: R01 15 comments; R02 29 comments; R03 18 comments.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26682 - 4759 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26685 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The Addendum of Focussed Changes is presented as a single plan affecting 5 sites, but the individual elements do not have equal merit. All negative aspects relate to R18 & R19, whilst none relate to R25 & R26. Consequently R25 & R26 should be removed entirely and their allocation transferred to R01, R18 or R19

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26685 - 4759 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26687 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The Addendum of Focussed Changes has recognised a problem with the LDP and looks to reduce the damaging impact on the worst affected Allocated Sites based on a Council view that removal of any specific site was not "possible". In fact, it is possible to remove a site at this stage, just as it is at the next stage (if so directed by the Inspector). This artificially designated "Major" change of removing a site was shelved. Possibly as it had the negative potential consequence of getting BBC censured, or even possibly having the control of the process taken away from them by central government. Whilst the "Major" change was not palatable for BBC, it is the right option, and better than a superficial "Minor" reduction in numbers on R25 & R26.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26687 - 4759 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26689 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: 4. The Sustainability Objectives specifically raise the need for Gypsy and Traveller communities to have SUITABLE access to services and health care. BBC spent resident's money fighting one unauthorised occupation of land in Blackmore and won. Regrettably they have now smuggled this land-grab in to the LDP as a new official site with no debate or notice. This increases the burden on Blackmore services and infrastructure. which is unable to deal with the existing increase of housing proposed by the LDP. If this is left in the LPD there should be some recognition by completely removing the new house burden R25 & R26 imposed on the village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: None                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26689 - 4759 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26700 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I have submitted 2 previous objections to the LDP, please see these for the reasons of objections. Also see objections in R25

Change To Plan: Removal from LDP. Green belt should not be built on when other more suitable brown field sites closer to supporting infrastructure is available.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26700 - 4396 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii

**26708 Object****Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: There is sufficient current housing on the outer fringes of the village along with proposed brownfield sites that meet the planned requirements. The already inadequate schools and doctor resources have not been considered along with Essex councils own flood risk plan which all show the risk and the village infrastructure simply is not sufficient to cope with a development on green belt in the village

Change To Plan: Review of current/proposed brown field developments and remove the need to build on green bely

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26708 - 7193 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26709 Object****Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: there is no infrastructure to support this number of houses, the doctors and school are already oversubscribed there is no access to public transport and so further traffic caused by at least one car per household will cause traffic and other issues in the village no housing needs survey has been carried out - this has been developer lead and no co-operation with neighbouring boroughs or villagers no account has been taken of the small size of blackmore village and the effect of these houses and other developments which will force traffic through the village

Change To Plan: remove from the plan and put housing nearer infrastructure and public transport links

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26709 - 4547 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**26717 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The council has also failed to take into account the flood risk assessment completed by Essex Council and requirements to grow the supporting infrastructure. In addition brownfield developments within the village area and additional housing on the perimeter of the parish meets the requirement and must be taken into consideration. The lack of local resources ie schools, doctors and lack of parking in the village increased volumes of traffic along restricted land for access track makes the proposal unsound. The council has also failed to take into account the flood risk assessment from Essex Council and requirements to grow supporting infrastructure

Change To Plan: In addition brownfield developments within the village area and additional housing on the perimeter of the parish meets the requirement and must be taken into consideration. Aside from the lack of local resources ie schools, doctors and lack of parking in the village increased volumes of traffic along restricted land for access track is not acceptable and makes the proposal unsound. The council has also failed to take into account the flood risk assessment completed by Essex Council and requirements to grow the supporting infrastructure. In addition brownfield developments within the village area and additional housing on the perimeter of the parish meets the requirement and must be taken into consideration. Aside from the lack of local resources ie schools, doctors and lack of parking in the village increased volumes of traffic along restricted land for access track is not acceptable and makes the proposal unsound. The council has also failed to take into account the flood risk assessment completed by Essex Council and requirements to grow the supporting infrastructure. The plan to build on this green belt site must be removed from the overall plan

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26717 - 7195 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iv

**26719 Object****Respondent: Cllr. Andrew Watley [4869]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: My previous 2019 submission still stands. New sites on border or within Parish add 65 dwellings not included within LDP and not taken into account. All will use Blackmore infrastructure and facilities with no improvements planned. Red Rose Farm - brownfield - 12 dwellings not identified in LDP being built opposite proposed site. Stondon Massey requesting development but not in LDP. Oaktree Farm Plot 3 being included even though previously thrown out by the High Court. Illogical and sends wrong messages. The LDP not thought through and vague on numbers - uses 'around' to detail developments - open ended.

Change To Plan: Development reduced to 0

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26719 - 4869 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, iii

**26721 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Watley [8815]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Nothing has changed! The BBC's view that the village does not have the infrastructure to cope with development of this nature in its previous LDP proposal. Even with a reduction from 70 to 50 it is still far too much and does not take into account the numerous developments that are right on the village borders numbering some 65 dwellings. The extra traffic will cause a very real danger at Nine Ashes Road and Red Rose junction - right by the school, preschool, village hall and sports club and where the water floods across the road after rainfall.

Change To Plan: Remove from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: Yes                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26721 - 8815 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii

**26731 Object****Respondent: Essex County Council (Mrs Anne Clitheroe) [6776]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: NPPF para 31 requires planning policies to be underpinned by relevant and up to date evidence.

BBC need to be satisfied reduction in dwelling numbers is supported by appropriate evidence base, including:

- demonstrating site makes effective and efficient use of land (paragraphs 117,118,122,123)
- is economically viable (paragraph 67)
- updated transport evidence base fully assesses transport implications.

Highway Authority's vehicular access objection (March 2019) overcome,now satisfied vehicular access can be taken from Redrose Lane.

Proposed policy change does not address ECC's Pre-Submission Reg.19 consultation representations to this policy (March 2019).

ECC's position has not changed on this matter.

Change To Plan: As a result of the reduction in dwelling numbers for this site allocation BBC should include, within the Plan evidence and supporting text for this Policy, details to demonstrate that the site allocation makes effective and efficient use of land, and is economically viable.

The policy needs to be further changed to address ECC's representations to this policy made to the Pre-Submission Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation in March 2019.

Legally Compliant?: Yes

Duty to Co-operate?: Yes

Sound?:No

Tests: ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26731 - 6776 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii, iii, iv

**26733 Object****Respondent: Mrs G Emms [8817]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am aware that amendments have been made to the local plan regarding sites R25 and R26 reducing the number of houses planned to be put there. I do have some issues with the amount of housing being put in our area as it is putting a strain on roads and local services and also destroying the green belt in the process. The local NHS services are not able to cope with the influx of all the extra residents. I feel that we don't have the infrastructure to cope with all the development you seem to be pushing through and that you have no regard for the current residents in these areas. What extras services are you planning to put in to cope with all the new people and the needs we will all have if you go ahead with this. I think it would be better to scrap these sites completely at the moment as you still have a lot of other planning going ahead locally.

I would be grateful if you will take my view into consideration during your consultation process for this plan.

Change To Plan: remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?:Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26733 - 8817 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26736 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joyce Prince [8806]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am against the new housing plan in and around Blackmore. Our school is full and our Deal Tree Health Centre is struggling to cope with the ever growing population. There will be increased flooding and more cars using our narrow roads. We are also still fighting to keep a bus service to enable us to get to Brentwood.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?:Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26736 - 8806 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26742 Object****Respondent: Mrs Rosemarie Nelson [4529]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The area behind Orchard Piece (and that part of the Blackmore village) is already subject to flooding and in view of recent flooding issues in other parts of the UK, there can be no justification for building on what is effectively a "flood plain". In addition, the additional developments proposed by Epping District Council, will impose an unreasonable strain on the Blackmore infrastructure - parking, schools, doctor's surgery etc. There are no positives associated with this proposed development.

Change To Plan: This development should be removed completely from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?:No

Tests: ii

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26742 - 4529 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii

**26749 Object****Respondent: Basildon Borough Council (Ms Christine Lyons) [8820]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Basildon Council objects to the Focussed Changes 1 - 5, as they do not seem to have been informed by evidence or the Sustainability Appraisal as required by National Policy. The amendments effectively redistributes 70 proposed dwellings from the 'Central Brentwood Growth Corridor', which has opportunities to embrace more sustainable modes of transport, to a Green Belt location with a less developed public transport infrastructure. The reasons for the amendments do not seem to be supported by the evidence and appear to be based solely on the considerable number of objections received in response to the Pre-Submission Local Plan consultation in March 2019. The Brentwood Sustainability Appraisal October 2019 concludes that;

"It is difficult to draw strong conclusions, with the primary considerations being: A) decreasing the homes assigned to the Brentwood/Shenfield urban area by 50 may serve to reduce traffic through the problematic town centre AQMA, but any benefit would be marginal, and equally these are accessible locations suited to minimising car dependency; and B) increasing the number of homes assigned to DHGV by 70 is potentially associated with a degree of risk, noting the ongoing work being undertaken in respect of improving air quality along the A127 within Basildon Borough, and noting consultation responses received."

Paragraph 16 of the NPPF advises amongst other things that Plans should be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. Basildon Council has considered the two Growth Corridors identified in the Brentwood Borough Local Plan. It has reflected however that there are fundamental distinctions between them, which do not appear to have influenced site selection choices in a justified way. The Central Brentwood Growth Corridor is the location of nationally and regionally managed and maintained infrastructure - the A12 & M25 (Highways England) and the Elizabeth Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Transport for London) and East Anglia Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by Abellio East Anglia). Growth in this location would maximise this infrastructure investment. The South Brentwood Growth Corridor meanwhile, consists the A127 (maintained by Essex County Council) and Essex Thameside Line (maintained by Network Rail and operated by c2c).

It is not considered that the two corridors offer comparable choices in terms of the strategic importance or capacity of transport connections, and using the Sustainability Appraisal and other evidence, the Plan should select sites within the Central Brentwood Growth Corridor that provide opportunity for extensions to towns and villages that can encourage more sustainable travel choices and take advantage of the strategic infrastructure available. This would encourage commuting behaviour to shift away from private car use and therefore make this location a more sustainable and viable option to concentrate growth. Such an alternative approach would be justified by evidence and align with national policy.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26749 - 8820 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26755 Object****Respondent: Mr John Riley [4905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The 71 new dwellings currently either under construction, with planning permission or under planning consideration in or adjacent to the village render this policy completely inappropriate in terms of the capacity of its infrastructure.

Change To Plan: Withdraw Policy R26

Legally Compliant?: Yes      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: ii      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26755 - 4905 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - ii

**26764 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian harding [8821]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I am fully supportive of the objections specified within the analysis of the Parish council / Blackmore Village Heritage Association response to the Addendum Consultation and I have supplied it again for information.

Change To Plan: Remove sites R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26764 - 8821 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26765 Object****Respondent: Crest Nicholson Eastern [2509]****Agent: Savills UK (Mr Ben Thomas) [2271]**

Summary: Strongly refute the assumptions of the Addendum. Previous objections on the grounds of flood, access safety and suitability, settlement category are addressed. To ensure the Plan is Sound, we therefore request that the wording of the site allocation be amended to reinstate the capacity of the development to approximately 40 dwellings, in accordance with the technical evidence and advice from statutory consultees. The appended Vision Statement articulates how approximately 40 homes can be delivered in the short term to meet Blackmore's needs as part of a high quality, generously landscaped scheme, reflective of the density, pattern and character of existing surroundings.

Change To Plan: Return indicative dwelling yield to former number of around 40 dwellings.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26765 - 2509 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26779 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Swift [1747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Object to the further reduction the allocation of dwellings from 30 to 20. The site's original allocation of approximately 40 dwellings continues to be supported by technical evidence and statutory consultees. The village will lose its vitality and potentially current services if it doesn't continue to thrive. The amended policy of 20 houses is not in line with national policy for a plot of this size or Policy HP03 of the Pre-Submission Local Plan.

Change To Plan: Site R26 (1.52 hectares) would provide for at least 40 two and three bedroom homes for first time buyers, for local residents and for those wishing to downsize without leaving Blackmore.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26779 - 1747 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26781 Object****Respondent: Ms Virginia Stiff [1748]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Object to the "Focussed change 5 Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore" which seeks to further reduce the number of dwellings from 30 to 20. The site's original allocation of approximately 40 dwellings continues to be supported by technical evidence and statutory consultees. Given that there is an acute need at the local level for more housing, there is no rationale given for this reduction. The allocation of 40 units should, therefore, be reinstated. The site has never been flooded in the last 60 years. No technical objection has been to the site in regard to this issue.

Change To Plan: The allocation of 40 units should, therefore, be reinstated.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26781 - 1748 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26864 Object****Respondent: Mrs Christina Atkins [8118]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: R25 received 36% of total Reg 19 responses, R26 received 37% with a total of 73% for both sites. Greenfield / Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure not able to support level of development. Site should be removed completely from LDP, reduction of 10 houses does not resolve issues. Site is developer led and still not properly assessed against local housing needs. There are a number of large developments progressing nearby which will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. These include 30 homes under construction on Fingrith Hall Lane plus an additional 5 on the same road, infill sites in Nine Ashes and 10 dwellings at Ashlings Farm. Inadequate consultation and strategic planning discussions with Epping Forest DC regarding these developments in the wider area. There are a number of other sites going through the planning process including 12 houses at Redrose Farm, 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane, a further 9 houses on Spriggs Lane/ Chelmsford Road. Redrose Farm is a brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes and will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. Both R25 and R26 should be removed as the permitted and planned windfall development in the area will already overwhelm the limited resources and infrastructure of the Blackmore area. There are better alternative sites both within the village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Borough area. Honeypot Lane (022) was previously removed from the Local Plan which is a better location due to it being on the edge of the Brentwood urban area, surrounded by existing housing, providing c200 houses. This should be reinstated as it would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be removed whilst not adding the burden on R01. R25 and R26 equate to 49% of the Green Belt release in larger villages. Brentwood and Shenfield urban area are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had the number of dwellings reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a Category 3 settlement (larger village). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. We do not need anymore houses in Blackmore as we are a sustainable Village as we are, anymore Housing would be horrendous for this village. Would have to mention more Traffic, Flood Risk, Doctor Services, School etc.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: None      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 26864 - 8118 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26890 Object****Respondent: Cllr Roger Keeble [1990]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I agree that the information given by me in the February 2019 consultation can be shared with the planning inspectorate and programme office. That the allocations on both sites R25 and R26 are contrary to both national and local policies. The required housing need can be found on sites that already exist on land that exists in urban areas. Blackmore is classified as a larger village which is unsound and this is inconsistent with the NPPF Feb 2019, is not effective or justified. The area including Redrose Lane is liable to flooding, has poor access and will result in an increase in housing stock that is not in accordance with the present number of present properties and will add approximately 25% to the village size. Epping Forest District Council is continuing to build on their extreme boundaries around Blackmore almost doubling the BBC LDP requirements on R25 and R26. These properties will directly impact on Blackmore Village facilities and services. The school, doctors surgery and sewerage system are already oversubscribed. R25 and R26 are situated on very good Green Belt land and there are no special circumstances for building on these sites. The Brentwood Replacement Plan 2005 tightly restricts development on Green Belt land. The R25 and R26 sites are "developer led" as admitted at Blackmore Village Hall meeting by senior planning officers. There is no evidence of a housing need in Blackmore. Regularisation of the Oaktree Farm Gypsy and Traveller site is not reflected anywhere else in the borough and again puts more strain on the local infrastructure.

Change To Plan: R25 and R26 have been discounted most recently as 2016 as unsuitable. There has been no change in circumstances locally to allow development here. Draft Policy SP02 refers to direct development in highly accessible areas R25 and R26 are in a very rural situation with poor transport connections. There are far more sustainable sites in the borough that could easily accept the 50 houses proposed in R25 and R26. These are in Shenfield, Pilgrims Hatch, Ingatestone and Brentwood where infrastructure is already in place. There is documentary evidence for a housing need but not for the villages which include Blackmore. There are other brownfield sites in the borough before Green Belt land is even considered for development and the inclusion of R25 and R26 runs contrary to this. The Green Belt should be respected in both these sites and therefore R25 and R26 should be removed from the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 26890 - 1990 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 26895 Object

Respondent: L Apostolides [8836]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

The GP surgery can not cope with the number of patients now and the schools are not large enough for more children

Change To Plan: sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26895 - 8836 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26900 Object

Respondent: Mr Alex Atkins [8126]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26900 - 8126 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26908 Object

Respondent: Mr Christopher Atkins [8837]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP. No building on Greenbelt land in Blackmore.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. This village is sustainable as it is, anymore houses would be horrendous and completely spoil the village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26908 - 8837 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26913 Object

Respondent: Mr Joseph W E Atkins [8703]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Red rose Farm is a Brownfield site and a proposed development of 12 houses will deliver part of our own village plan so it should therefore replace R26 kits entirety. Green belt land should not be built on, Brownfield should always be considered first.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree Blackmore is Greenbelt Land and Brownfield Sites should be used before the destruction of Green Belt Land.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 form plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26913 - 8703 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26918 Object

Respondent: Ms Lynn Baggott [8721]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26918 - 8721 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26923 Object

Respondent: Mr David Hall [4867]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26923 - 4867 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26937 Object

Respondent: Mr. Clive Austin [7186]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26937 - 7186 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26938 Object

Respondent: Mrs Gillian Hall [8684]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26938 - 8684 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26944 Object

Respondent: Mr Harry Austin [8839]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26944 - 8839 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26949 Object

Respondent: Mrs. Jill Austin [7272]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R125 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26949 - 7272 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26953 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin Hall [6734]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Q14 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Please use this space for any further comments you wish to record.

These proposed developments should be removed for all the reasons stated within the last consultation. a tiny reduction will make no difference to the fundamental issued raised previously.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26953 - 6734 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26958 Object

Respondent: Mr. Chris Hamilton [3835]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore, Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Q14 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Please use this space for any further comments you wish to record.

In summary, there are many options available that are far more appropriate

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26958 - 3835 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26963 Object

Respondent: Mrs Mandy Hamilton [8633]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26963 - 8633 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26968 Object****Respondent: Mr Jack Stevens [8840]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26968 - 8840 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 26972 Object

Respondent: Mr John Adkins [8734]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26972 - 8734 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26977 Object

Respondent: Ms Anne Adkins [8735]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26977 - 8735 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26982 Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Aiken [8827]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26982 - 8827 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26987 Object

Respondent: Kerry Allardyce [8828]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26987 - 8828 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26996 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Bacon [8841]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

I am former resident of Blackmore and am aware that building on this scale is totally disproportionate, and will cause massive disruption to life in this small village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 26996 - 8841 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27001 Object

Respondent: Mr David Barfoot [7177]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27001 - 7177 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27002 Object

Respondent: Mr Liam Allardyce [8829]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). We moved to current address to be able to be near Blackmore and benefit from the unique character of an English village.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27002 - 8829 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27007 Object

Respondent: Bernard Allen [8830]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27007 - 8830 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27012 Object

Respondent: Mr Mark Allen [8831]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27012 - 8831 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27021 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Barfoot [7200]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Blackmore is a small village that is already 'bursting at the seams' with people and cars. I live in central Blackmore and I am already shocked by the sheer volume of traffic going through the village day and night. I often have people parking over my drive to access the Co-Op, Leather Bottle and tearooms because there isn't enough space to park. The number of children that walk to school is huge, but yet there is already SO many cars driving through the village at high speed. I tried to call Dealtree Surgery yesterday, and could not get through (8 times throughout the day). Another reason to not build more dwellings. The village cannot fit more dwellings! There are so many other spaces where places can be built; this just does not make sense. Please do not ruin this village!

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27021 - 7200 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27022 Object

Respondent: Toni Allen [8832]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27022 - 8832 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27027 Object

Respondent: Tallulah Allen [8833]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27027 - 8833 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27032 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Allington [8316]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27032 - 8316 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27037 Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Andrews [8834]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27037 - 8834 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27042 Object

Respondent: Ms Melanie Andrews [8826]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27042 - 8826 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27049 Object

Respondent: Ms Mandy Anthony [8737]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27049 - 8737 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27055 Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Barrett [8842]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27055 - 8842 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27057 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Anthony [6823]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27057 - 6823 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27066 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Bartrop [8651]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27066 - 8651 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27071 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Bartrop [8650]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27071 - 8650 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27076 Object

Respondent: Ms Anita Bastin [8843]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Please preserve our beautiful villages. Once these developments have taken place there is no turning back. We can not restore what has been destroyed. Blackmore has history dating back to Henry VIII and deserves to have its beauty preserved. Blackmore is a picturesque village which does not have the infrastructure to support these extra homes. The school is already full to capacity with no space to extend. These extra houses will cause congestion on the roads and will spoil the beauty of this village. The five parishes as a whole are a beautiful part of Brentwood. There are plenty of opportunities to build closer to the town which will not ruin the countryside feel of the local villages

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27076 - 8843 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27081 Object

Respondent: Ms Pauline Davidson [6327]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore, Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Sites R25 and R26 should be removed from the plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27081 - 6327 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27086 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Bastin [8844]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27086 - 8844 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27091 Object

Respondent: Mr James Baur [8845]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Blackmore village cannot cope with any further increases in population. There are already developments going ahead that will place further strain on the limited resources in our village.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27091 - 8845 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27096 Object

Respondent: Karen Baur [1079]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Blackmore is a wholly inappropriate site for further development. This historic village should be preserved from any more development in order to retain its authentic village atmosphere and history. Quite apart from the aesthetic reasons, there is simply no infrastructure to support such an expansion of the population. There are very limited services available to the residents as it is - the local store cannot cope with the parking demands and the Post Office 'service' is abysmal. A serious road traffic accident is bound to happen at some point in the village and increasing the population will only make this much more likely. The Deal Tree Heath Centre is under great pressure coping with the demands of the existing parish residents without any more joining the ranks. I don't have children of school age but it's likely that Blackmore school cannot cope with increased demand for places. Additionally the increase in traffic on the country lanes makes the area a much more dangerous place to live. Please immediately scrap the plans for expansion of Blackmore village.

These development options have not been accurately assessed for the impact on their surroundings and existing residents. The plans need to be urgently reassessed.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27096 - 1079 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27101 Object

Respondent: Mr Kurt Baur [8846]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Blackmore has already been developed to maximum capacity. Despite this further development has been allowed and is currently being processed. Blackmore needs to retain its village identity and heritage. There is a lack of services for the existing population without any more people adding to the problems we already have. The roads in and out of Blackmore are unsuitable for heavy traffic and accidents are bound to happen. The doctor's surgery cannot cope with existing demand let alone even more patients. There is one village store that tries and fails to meet everyone's needs. It is already struggling to provide a Post Office service. Blackmore simply cannot cope with further development.

There are more appropriate sites available in the Brentwood area without developing Green Belt land that will never be recovered. Please STOP this madness now.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27101 - 8846 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27107 Object

Respondent: Mr Gordon Beaman [8848]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27107 - 8848 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27112 Object

Respondent: Mrs Eileen Beazley [8700]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27112 - 8700 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27113 Object

Respondent: Mr Ron Beazley [4831]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 form plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27113 - 4831 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27122 Object

Respondent: Mr Gary Bedford [8673]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

The amount of cars in the village of Blackmore is already a concern and any further housing is going to cause major upsets. Also the problem of getting a doctor's appointment is already so frustrating. Any increase on the Doddinghurst surgery will have people waiting weeks to see the doctor.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27122 - 8673 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27127 Object

Respondent: Mavis Beeching [8849]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27127 - 8849 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27132 Object

Respondent: Mr. Robert Beeching [3839]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27132 - 3839 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27137 Object

Respondent: Mr Cameron Beman [8850]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27137 - 8850 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27139 Object****Respondent: Mr Ronald Quested [8452]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27139 - 8452 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27141 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association [8568]****Agent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]**

Summary: Refer to attached submission. Statistical summary of responses of Survey Monkey questionnaire from residents and their families in Blackmore objecting to proposed sites R25 and R26.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: No

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27141 - 8568 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27146 Object****Respondent: Mr. Brian Rafis [4554]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. This is within Government guidelines to local authorities.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27146 - 4554 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27151 Object****Respondent: Ms Diane Randall [8851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27151 - 8851 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27161 Object****Respondent: Mr John Randall [8852]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27161 - 8852 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27166 Object

Respondent: Mr Andy Davies [8853]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27166 - 8853 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27171 Object

Respondent: Ann Davis [4404]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The use of Green Belt land for housing should only be considered when brownfield land has been exhausted.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27171 - 4404 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27176 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert Davis [4789]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The use of Green Belt land for housing should only be considered when brownfield land has been exhausted.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Local Plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27176 - 4789 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27181 Object

Respondent: Ms Maria J Bennett [8723]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27181 - 8723 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27186 Object

Respondent: Mrs Paula Bills [8854]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27186 - 8854 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27191 Object

Respondent: Mr Arthur Birch [4769]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27191 - 4769 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27196 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Birch [8730]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27196 - 8730 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27201 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Birch [8158]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27201 - 8158 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27206 Object

Respondent: Mr Craig Bishop [8855]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27206 - 8855 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27211 Object

Respondent: Mr Cliff Black [8729]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27211 - 8729 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27216 Object

Respondent: Mrs Ruth Black [8728]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27216 - 8728 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27221 Object

Respondent: Mr Tim Black [8248]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27221 - 8248 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27226 Object

Respondent: Ms Pam Blackmore [8856]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27226 - 8856 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27231 Object

Respondent: Ms Rosemary Blowes [8857]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27231 - 8857 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27242 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Borton [8648]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Green Belt land should be retained to keep our glorious countryside.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27242 - 8648 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27243 Object****Respondent: Alison Ratcliffe [8860]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. There has been a total disconnect between the LDP, and ongoing "normal" planning processes. You cannot create an LDP without looking at all other development opportunities

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The ECM held at Brentwood BC on 8/11/18, when sites 25 and 26 were formally included in the LDP was undemocratic and flawed, and the debate should be held again and conducted properly

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27243 - 8860 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27248 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Bradley [8861]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27248 - 8861 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27251 Object

Respondent: Mr Alan Hardy [8858]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27251 - 8858 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27256 Object

Respondent: Mrs Ella Bradley [4875]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

The current parking at Fingrith Hall Road is already maximised - and in fact quite dangerous at times - further building will only make the situation worse. The school and doctors are already at capacity. The development at Norton Heath will affect us. The infrastructure cannot cope with the additional homes and in particular Red Rose Lane is an ancient, historical lane, will be ruined.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 27256 - 4875 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27264 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Brassett [8862]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27264 - 8862 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27270 Object

Respondent: Mrs Judith Brewster [8863]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - Skipped question

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A Skipped this question

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

There are insufficient amenities and services available to people in Blackmore as it is. The result of extra population will cause these to be stretched so far that the village will not be able to cope. We already have very poor broadband (I have 1 mgb at best, normally .65) and no mobile signal.

Plan has not been thought through properly and there needs to be a sensible conclusion.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Skipped this question.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27270 - 8863 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27271 Object****Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]      Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Brentwood Council has NOT considered all better development opportunities in and around not only this village but across the wider borough area. The LDP should not have been constructed purely around sites proposed by developers, especially when within Blackmore there is no identified housing need in the scale proposed.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. The LDP, in so far as the 2 Blackmore sites are concerned, was never written strategically and indeed prior to Reg 18 the BBC position was the correct position i.e, R25 and R26 are wholly inappropriate for development. We therefore need to reverse out of Regs 18 and 19 and return us to the correct position as stated in January 2016.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27271 - 4874 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27278 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kelly BRITTLETON [8097]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27278 - 8097 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27283 Object****Respondent: D. Rawlings [1058]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27283 - 1058 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27291 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lisa Rawlings [8555]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27291 - 8555 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27294 Object

Respondent: David Hammond [577]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27294 - 577 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27299 Object

Respondent: Mrs June Harrington [4776]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27299 - 4776 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27306 Object****Respondent: Mr Hugh Rayner [8011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27306 - 8011 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27311 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susan Rayner [8553]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27311 - 8553 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27316 Object****Respondent: David Read [8864]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27316 - 8864 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27320 Object

Respondent: Mr Lawrence Harrington [4778]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27320 - 4778 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27325 Object****Respondent: Vera Read [8865]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The parking situation in the centre of village is already awful.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27325 - 8865 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27329 Object****Respondent: Ms Tina Harrington [4779]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27329 - 4779 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27331 Object

Respondent: Mr Robert J Brittleton [8724]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27331 - 8724 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27336 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Brooks [8683]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

We don't want any more houses built on green belt land

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27336 - 8683 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27344 Object

Respondent: Mr Ray Brooks [8643]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27344 - 8643 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27346 Object

Respondent: Mr Adam Harris [8679]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore, Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26, reinstate Honeypot Lane site

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27346 - 8679 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27351 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Harris [8628]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART A POLICY R25 - Land North of Woollard Way, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (36% of total responses, March 2019). To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 5 - All comments apply to both sites. Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development.

A - I agree - the site should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27351 - 8628 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27356 Object

Respondent: Mr. James Harris [8678]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27356 - 8678 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27361 Object

Respondent: Laura Harris [8685]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27361 - 8685 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27362 Object****Respondent: Susan Harris [8686]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27362 - 8686 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27371 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sara Harris [8122]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27371 - 8122 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27376 Object

Respondent: Ms Leanne Hartley [8325]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27376 - 8325 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27381 Object

Respondent: Mr Kenneth Herring [4841]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27381 - 4841 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27386 Object

Respondent: Miss Jade Hayes [8136]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27386 - 8136 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27391 Object

Respondent: Mrs Helen Haynes [8416]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27391 - 8416 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27398 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Haynes [8138]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27398 - 8138 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27399 Object

Respondent: Mr Simon Heed [8868]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27399 - 8868 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27408 Object

Respondent: Mr Raymond Hatfield [8869]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27408 - 8869 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27413 Object

Respondent: Ms Joanne Browne [8870]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27413 - 8870 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27418 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Budd [8871]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27418 - 8871 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27423 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Budd [8872]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27423 - 8872 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27431 Object

Respondent: Mr Carl Budge [8873]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27431 - 8873 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27433 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Reed [4708]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. I agree that Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield prioritised however I am not showing favour to any particular site as any site needs to apply for permission through the necessary channels.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 & R26 and let the village undertake it's own survey for what the residents need - which will ONLY go on Brownfield.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27433 - 4708 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27438 Object****Respondent: Theresa Reed [8876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. I think Blackmore is more than playing its part in shouldering some of the development, we cannot cope with any more.

Change To Plan: The proposed developments in Blackmore are not only disproportionate, but suffering from the location of our village in proximity to other developments not under the control of Brentwood.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27438 - 8876 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27446 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Richardson [4859]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27446 - 4859 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27448 Object

Respondent: Ms Kaye Bundy [8874]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27448 - 8874 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27454 Object****Respondent: Ian Richardson [8878]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27454 - 8878 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27459 Object****Respondent: Mr John Richardson [4858]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27459 - 4858 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27464 Object****Respondent: Mr Keith Richardson [8192]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27464 - 8192 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27472 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sandra Richardson [7330]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27472 - 7330 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27473 Object

Respondent: Mrs Beryl Burgess [5030]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27473 - 5030 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27478 Object****Respondent: Mr Simon Richardson [8562]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27478 - 8562 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27483 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sue Rigley [8879]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27483 - 8879 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27489 Object****Respondent: Steve Rigley [8880]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27489 - 8880 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27494 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Burgess [4863]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27494 - 4863 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27502 Object****Respondent: Mrs Brigid Robinson [4897]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27502 - 4897 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27504 Object

Respondent: Mr Shaun Burnett [8881]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27504 - 8881 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27513 Object

Respondent: Mr. Christopher Burrow [4618]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27513 - 4618 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27514 Object****Respondent: Jaqueline Robinson [8883]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27514 - 8883 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27519 Object

Respondent: Ms Jean Bury [8716]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27519 - 8716 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27524 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Robinson [4899]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27524 - 4899 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27529 Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Bury [8717]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27529 - 8717 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27534 Object****Respondent: Mr David Rolfs [8566]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Blackmore has great history, dating back to Tudor times, with its church going back considerably further. We must care for such a heritage. We do not want it destroyed "on our watch".

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27534 - 8566 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27539 Object****Respondent: Mrs Yvonne Rolfs [8567]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Green Belt must be protected as directed by Central Government. Therefore, the planners should use the brownfield site under development at Redrose Farm as a part of its numbers.

Change To Plan: Deal Tree Health Centre is already operating at figures beyond the optimum number of patients per GP, as outlined in the Brentwood Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). New housing has already impacted this further, with developments in Rookery Road and The Elms in Lower Road Mountnessing, along with travellers who have occupied land on the Chelmsford Road all squeezing Deal Tree Health Centre further. The addition of the proposed new properties in Blackmore under R25 and R26 will further exacerbate the problem.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27539 - 8567 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27544 Object****Respondent: Andrew Romang [8884]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27544 - 8884 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27548 Object

Respondent: Ms Jan Butler [8885]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27548 - 8885 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27553 Object

Respondent: Mrs Maureen Butler [5017]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27553 - 5017 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27558 Object

Respondent: Ms Bonnie Cain [8886]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27558 - 8886 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27563 Object

Respondent: Ms Janet Carter [8887]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27563 - 8887 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27568 Object

**Respondent: Blackmore Village Heritage Association (Mr William Ratcliffe) [4874]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The site should be removed from the LDP. Blackmore is a tiny, remote, village, nowhere near main roads, and the existing population is almost totally dependant on cars. We cannot cope with more traffic movements, especially bearing in mind the nearby developments within EFDC which are right on our doorstep. Redrose Lane is so narrow at the proposed entry point to this site as to make it wholly inadequate. Flood risk remains a major concern.

We support the properly considered, strategic, elements to the LDP, notably Dunton Hills Garden Village. The proposed sites in Blackmore, however, do not constitute "strategic thinking", indeed for all the reasons why Blackmore was excluded from the LDP prior to January 2018, the old strategy was the correct strategy, ie sites R25 and R26 should now be removed. Furthermore, the Honeypot Lane site, which had been included in the LDP prior to Reg 19, needs to be reinstated. It's withdrawal, for largely "political reasons" was also not professional strategic thinking.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Yes

Full Reference: O - 27568 - 4874 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27573 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gillian Romang [8107]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27573 - 8107 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27578 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Romang [4374]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27578 - 4374 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27583 Object****Respondent: Mr Clive Rosewell [8563]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27583 - 8563 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27588 Object****Respondent: Joanne Ryan [8889]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27588 - 8889 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27593 Object****Respondent: Nichola Ryan [8890]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27593 - 8890 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27598 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Ryan [4937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27598 - 4937 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27603 Object****Respondent: Robert Ryan [8891]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The strain on the roads and services is unacceptable in Blackmore

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27603 - 8891 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27611 Object

Respondent: Mr Callum Cartwright [8370]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

In addition to the current brownfield applications there is also a substantial amount of houses being built on the outskirts of the village which will overwhelm the current resources.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Red Rose Lane is very narrow with no pavement and is not suitable for increased traffic use. The access roads around the proposed developments are regularly used by farm vehicles and further use would be dangerous

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27611 - 8370 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27613 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Sanders [8474]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27613 - 8474 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27619 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Sanders [4923]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27619 - 4923 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27623 Object

Respondent: Mr. David Cartwright [7193]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Due consideration has not been given to current brown field opportunities currently being an option. These sites and other developments by Epping council on the village outskirts must be taken into consideration when developing the plan. Green belt must not be built on as it will ruin the fabric of the village and the current resources are not able to support it

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. The local development plan and the due review process has not been properly considered. There are current developments in process and proposed Brown field sites that will meet the needs. In addition the Dunton Village site is being developed with the full required infrastructure and transport links in place so should be explored to its full potential and Green belt protected .

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27623 - 7193 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27630 Object

Respondent: Mrs. Margaret Cartwright [7195]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The council must prioritise brown belt sites

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Red Rose Lane is very narrow and has no footpath on either side Addison also road traffic is unacceptable.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27630 - 7195 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27633 Object****Respondent: Mrs Malanie Sanders [8511]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27633 - 8511 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27638 Object

Respondent: Mr Barry Casswell [8888]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27638 - 8888 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27644 Object****Respondent: Mrs Irene Saunders [8386]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27644 - 8386 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27648 Object

Respondent: Mrs Beryl Caton [8657]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27648 - 8657 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27655 Object

Respondent: Ms Marjorie Herring [8893]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27655 - 8893 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27658 Object****Respondent: Ronald Barry Saunders [8894]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27658 - 8894 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27667 Object

Respondent: Mr John Caton [4881]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27667 - 4881 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27670 Object****Respondent: Mr David Saxton [4286]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27670 - 4286 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27677 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Hesketh [8634]

Agent: N/A

Summary: The reopening of the LDP has allowed other sites not originally within it to be discussed and proposed whilst noting that other sites like Honeypot Lane which were within it and taken out to be relooked at since they were in Brownfield areas which are far more compatible for development than in village areas like Blackmore where infrastructure concerns are paramount. The number of houses already taken from sites R25 and R26 total 20 and have been reallocated to Dunton Hill. If it can be done for 20 homes then surely the logic is it can also be done for the 50 homes remaining on these sites. If you take away the 24 homes identified around the village on proposed Brownfield sites this figure comes down to 26 extra homes for Dunton Hills. As this site is scheduled to be developed for 4000 homes by 2033 surely it is conceivable to be able to find other sites for the 26 houses so allowing the LDP to meet its target for the number of homes Brentwood Council has to find to build by 2033.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

With the reopening of the LDP other sites have become available and surely if these sites are Brownfield in nature then to save the Green Belt it is wiser to develop them. Furthermore, in an age where democratic values are highly prized (Brexit) and the village as a whole (see responses made against development e.g. 73%) has its own Village Plan which highlights sites rather than digging up the Green Belt it is preferable to explore these options.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to: 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27677 - 8634 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27678 Object

**Respondent: Mr David Chalkley [8671]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27678 - 8671 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27683 Object****Respondent: Miss Carole Scott [8541]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27683 - 8541 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27691 Object****Respondent: Stephen Scott [8896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27691 - 8896 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27696 Object

Respondent: Ms Susan Hill [8897]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27696 - 8897 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27701 Object

Respondent: Kerry Hipgrave [8898]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27701 - 8898 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27706 Object

Respondent: Mr Rick Hipgrave [8899]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27706 - 8899 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27711 Object

Respondent: Kay Hobbs [8900]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27711 - 8900 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27716 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Chambers [8300]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27716 - 8300 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27721 Object

Respondent: Mrs Mandy Chambers [4846]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27721 - 4846 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27726 Object

Respondent: Mrs Trina Chambers [8348]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore should never have been included in this survey, it has no facilities, infrastructure or transport links, and already cannot cope with the additional properties built surrounding it from neighbouring Epping Forest Council.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27726 - 8348 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27731 Object

Respondent: Ms Julie Chandler [8352]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The council must prioritise brown belt sites

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27731 - 8352 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27736 Object****Respondent: Mrs Anita Clark [8168]****Agent: N/A**

Summary:

R26

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The council must prioritise brown belt sites

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27736 - 8168 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27741 Object

Respondent: Mr Joshua Clark [8135]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The council must prioritise brown belt sites

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore doesn't have the infrastructure to accommodate sites R25 and R26. Red Rose farm is a adequate compromise

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27741 - 8135 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27746 Object

Respondent: Mr Martin Clark [2456]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The council must prioritise brown belt sites

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore doesn't have the infrastructure to accommodate sites R25 and R26. Red Rose farm is a adequate compromise

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27746 - 2456 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27751 Object

Respondent: Mr David Coates [8133]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27751 - 8133 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27756 Object

Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cohen [8313]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27756 - 8313 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27761 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Cohen [8901]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Build these houses in Shenfield good access to station & town.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27761 - 8901 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27766 Object

Respondent: Mr Marc Cohen [4268]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27766 - 4268 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27771 Object

Respondent: Ms Wendy Cohen [6923]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree.

The LDP and BBC have proposed and presented a disgraceful project which is full of inaccuracies and misinformation. It is absolutely absurd to propose such a housing development with such a lack of infrastructure above other sites that are clearly more suitable. The system is broken and corrupt.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27771 - 6923 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27776 Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Colbert [8902]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree.

Blackmore area is a small village with only one shop and a small school which is already full. With no regular transport to rely on. Blackmore cannot and should not become overcrowded it should remain one of Essex's beautiful small villages.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27776 - 8902 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27781 Object

Respondent: Mr Barry Coldham [8656]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27781 - 8656 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27786 Object

Respondent: Mrs Louise Coldham [8666]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27786 - 8666 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27791 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Cole [8903]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27791 - 8903 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27796 Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Cook [8794]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27796 - 8794 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27801 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joann Cook [8669]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

The traffic in the village is already an issue. I have been trying to get a GP appointment for my son for the last 3 weeks and have not been able to. This would be worse with more people living in the area. Our infrastructure cannot sustain this. The Post Office and School are already unable to cope with demand.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27801 - 8669 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27806 Object

Respondent: Mr Daniel Cracknell [8142]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP. However, by building upon Redrose Farm, the residents of Orchard Piece that back onto the farm (many of whom are older or retired), will be heavily disrupted. Works would be carried on during daytime, when most residents are at home trying to enjoy life in a peaceful village. Large scale building works could directly have a negative impact upon residents' emotional wellbeing and mental health. It is our responsibility to safeguard these members of our community.

However, by building upon Redrose Farm, the residents of Orchard Piece that back onto the farm (many of whom are older or retired), will be heavily disrupted. Works would be carried on during daytime, when most residents are at home trying to enjoy life in a peaceful village.

Large scale building works could directly have a negative impact upon residents' emotional wellbeing and mental health. It is our responsibility to safeguard these members of our community.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27806 - 8142 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27811 Object

Respondent: Mrs Danielle Cross [7016]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP. However, by building upon Redrose Farm, the residents of Orchard Piece that back onto the farm (many of whom are older or retired), will be heavily disrupted. Works would be carried on during daytime, when most residents are at home trying to enjoy life in a peaceful village. Large scale building works could directly have a negative impact upon residents' emotional wellbeing and mental health. It is our responsibility to safeguard these members of our community.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27811 - 7016 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27816 Object****Respondent: Ms Deborah Cullen [4547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: R26

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27816 - 4547 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27821 Object

Respondent: Mrs Christine Tabor [8427]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27821 - 8427 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27826 Object

Respondent: Mr Frank Tabor [8424]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27826 - 8424 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27831 Object

Respondent: Ms Gloria Tanner [8904]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). This is a very small village and we do not have space for all the houses ie schools doctor surgery and the cars on the small lanes this why It should remain a village not a town

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27831 - 8904 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27836 Object

Respondent: Miss Chloe Taylor [8429]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27836 - 8429 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27841 Object

Respondent: Mr Dean Taylor [6978]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound. Redrose Farm is in a flood plain however so these house will probably flood every year

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27841 - 6978 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27846 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elisabeth Taylor [2918]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Blackmore is a lovely rural, historic village and it should stay that way it would spoil forever if this building goes ahead.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27846 - 2918 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27851 Object

Respondent: Mr Gary Taylor [8905]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27851 - 8905 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27856 Object

Respondent: Mr James Taylor [8430]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27856 - 8430 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27861 Object

Respondent: Ms Nikki Taylor [8906]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27861 - 8906 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27866 Object

Respondent: Ms Patricia Taylor [6880]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). This brownfield site should have been identified by BBC and added to the LDP as it would provide what our village needs - smaller more affordable housing on a smaller site which would not cause major impact. There are other brownfield sites available within the area which have not even been considered. Brownfield BEFORE greenbelt! Our own Village Plan has identified Redrose Farm, plus other areas within the locality which will provide 26 homes. The proposal is for 50 homes for Blackmore and if you reduce this figure by 26, the need is for 24 to be found. Rather than destroying greenbelt and the whole ethos of Blackmore, it makes more sense to add this reduced number to the Dunton Hills site!

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. The two sites in Blackmore R25 and R26 were only added to the LDP recently, having formerly been designated as unsuitable for development in 2016. The only reason I can see that they have now been added is to satisfy BBC needs to meet with government directives, and are purely developer-led. They offer no advantage or assistance to the village and would only serve to add to existing pressures with infrastructure and destroy the nature of Blackmore.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27866 - 6880 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27871 Object

Respondent: Mr Steven Taylor [8431]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Development will ruin the character of an historic village with inadequate infrastructure for additional housing.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27871 - 8431 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27880 Object

Respondent: Ms Shirley Taylor [8907]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27880 - 8907 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27881 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sophia Severn [4876]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27881 - 4876 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27886 Object****Respondent: Mrs Sila Sheridan [5201]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Greenfield/Green Belt land in a remote village location with inadequate infrastructure. The number of houses has been reduced by 10. For all the reasons stated in March 2019, this site should be withdrawn completely from the LDP. A reduction of 10 houses does not change fundamental problems - in particular the infrastructure and services of the historically significant Blackmore Village will not support this scale of development. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27886 - 5201 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27891 Object****Respondent: Collin Sherwood [8908]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27891 - 8908 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27896 Object****Respondent: Mrs Valerie Sherwood [8015]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27896 - 8015 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27901 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Slimm [5042]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27901 - 5042 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27906 Object****Respondent: Mr Adam Smith [8910]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27906 - 8910 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**27911 Object****Respondent: Barry Smith [8911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27911 - 8911 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 27916 Object

Respondent: Mr Ritchie Hobbs [8909]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27916 - 8909 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27921 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Holbrook [4759]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

But the brownfield site of Redrose Farm can ONLY be considered and can ONLY be included in the LDP IF R25 & R26 are removed entirely.

Otherwise it will just increase the problem that Blackmore will suffer.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27921 - 4759 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27926 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janice Holbrook [4700]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27926 - 4700 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27931 Object

Respondent: Ms Lauren Holbrook [8912]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27931 - 8912 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27936 Object

Respondent: Miss Ami Holmes [8653]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27936 - 8653 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27941 Object

Respondent: Mr Ben Holmes [8654]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27941 - 8654 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27946 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Holmes [4693]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27946 - 4693 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27951 Object

Respondent: Mr Ken Holmes [8691]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27951 - 8691 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27956 Object

Respondent: Mr Luke Holmes [8652]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focused Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27956 - 8652 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27962 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Holmes [8655]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27962 - 8655 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27967 Object****Respondent: Mrs Nicola Holmes [8668]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27967 - 8668 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27971 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shirley Holmes [8660]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27971 - 8660 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27976 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane House [8681]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27976 - 8681 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27981 Object****Respondent: Mr Howe [8913]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27981 - 8913 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27982 Object****Respondent: Mrs Howe [8914]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27982 - 8914 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 27991 Object

Respondent: Mrs Elizabeth Thompson [5016]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27991 - 5016 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27992 Object****Respondent: Ms Charlotte Howse [8915]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27992 - 8915 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**27998 Object****Respondent: Mrs Gail Hughes [8638]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 27998 - 8638 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28006 Object****Respondent: Mr David Smith [4872]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Local roads cannot cope with more traffic - Blackmore is already swamped with traffic/parking esp. cycling season! making travelling though it a nightmare. No new homes burdening the existing infrastructure - but if necessary Brownfield before Greenfield.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28006 - 4872 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28011 Object****Respondent: Mr James Hughes [8677]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28011 - 8677 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28017 Object

**Respondent: Mr John Hughes [4500]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:**

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28017 - 4500 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28025 Object

**Respondent: Joyce Smith [8917]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. There is already a large number of properties built or been given planning permission 65 approx so building another 50 on green belt land is just not right, and the village character will be lost.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28025 - 8917 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28030 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janis Smith [4735]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Any future development allowed on this scale will totally destroy Blackmore as a Rural village, which is what it should essentially be, and allowed to stay as without inflicting a new massive population and traffic, and putting a heavy burden on our already stretched infrastructures

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28030 - 4735 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28031 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Hughes [8637]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28031 - 8637 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28036 Object****Respondent: Lesley Smith [8918]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28036 - 8918 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28045 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kate Hurford [4275]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28045 - 4275 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28047 Object

**Respondent: Marisa Smith [8919]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:**No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 28047 - 8919 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28052 Object

**Respondent: William Alan Smith [8920]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. This farm has been run down by the owner since day 1.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. 1. Focussed Change 4 - PART D

If you allow this farm to be developed whatever the developers say 12 dwellings they will be up to the A414 in the blink of an eye. 2. Focussed Change 5 - PART B Honeypot Lane is close to all amenities inc the M25 (both directions) and Romford. I lived in the area a lot of my life and I know it well. We were close to everything. It has good schools - St Peter's is a great attraction as are all of the senior schools. 3. Additional Comments The original meeting was conducted in a disgusting manner. No evidence was discussed about Blackmore, just a vote. Not the way to conduct an important meeting.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:**No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 28052 - 8920 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28053 Object

**Respondent: Malcolm Hurford [7304]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. History of flooding shows both Chelmsford Road and Redrose Lane become impassable during heavy rainfall.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28053 - 7304 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28062 Object

**Respondent: Ms Dawn Ireland [4861]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28062 - 4861 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28067 Object****Respondent: Mrs Melanie Snelling [8547]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypt Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Our house has already been refused an extension due to the current Green Belt regulations. This has also been prone to flooding and caused us to have to build land drains in our garden which directly backs onto the Red Rose Lane development.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28067 - 8547 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28072 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Snelling [6960]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypt Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28072 - 6960 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28077 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Snook [8484]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28077 - 8484 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28082 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Thorogood [8916]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28082 - 8916 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28083 Object****Respondent: Ms Annie Jackson [8921]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28083 - 8921 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28092 Object

Respondent: Ms Emma Thwaite [8922]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28092 - 8922 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28097 Object

Respondent: Mrs Deborah Thwaite [8175]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Infrastructure insufficient and risk of flooding. Move homes to R18 instead.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28097 - 8175 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28102 Object

Respondent: Mr Richard Thwaite [6964]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). The existing infrastructure in Blackmore cannot sustain additional housing above that already planned and approved. The flood risks of more development on greenfield sites are substantial and should not be ignored.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. The planning process has been flawed from the beginning, including permission for travellers on a site that has already been ruled illegal by the High Court; ignoring perfectly good and more appropriate local brownfield sites (RedRose Farm) and failing to ask respondents for permission to pass their personal Details on to the planning inspector. The Brentwood Council have been mislead (possibly willingly) by the greed of the developers who see Blackmore as a highly profitable location for them to build.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28102 - 6964 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28107 Object

Respondent: Mr Thomas Thwaite [4475]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Building on Brownfield sites will add to the village's housing needs in a sustainable and suitable manner by slowly increasing the number of dwellings within the village so as not to overwhelm the existing village resources. This should take priority over building large scale developments on green belt land.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28107 - 4475 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28112 Object

Respondent: Mr Derek Tillet [8923]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 28112 - 8923 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28115 Object****Respondent: Isabella Jacobs [1695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28115 - 1695 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28120 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Smith [8388]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Redrose is an eyesore and should be cleaned up. The farm was bought and deliberately run down. My personal opinion is if you allow building on here we will have every farmer trying the same trick. If this development is allowed we will have development up to the A414 High Road as infill. You cannot take the word of Stonebond or anyone else in other villages will do the same. I am no nimby, Brentwood council will never give the infrastructure we need, they never even try. Our school is not suitable for large developments, be it Redrose, Woolard Way Orchard Piece, nothing has been done. The temporary relocation classrooms we were sent - my daughter was the first class to use them. At 56 years old she has just become a grandmother and the 'temporary' classrooms are still there!

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28120 - 8388 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28125 Object****Respondent: Peter Southgate [8925]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28125 - 8925 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28130 Object****Respondent: Vyvian Southgate [8926]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28130 - 8926 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28135 Object

**Respondent: Deborah Spencer [8927]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28135 - 8927 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28140 Object

**Respondent: Kevin Spencer [8928]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28140 - 8928 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28147 Object

Respondent: Mrs Karen Tomey [8428]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Green Belt Land should be protected for future generations to enjoy. Brown belt land should be utilised first

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28147 - 8428 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28152 Object

**Respondent: Liam Spencer [8929]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28152 - 8929 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28157 Object

**Respondent: Dean Spicer [8930]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28157 - 8930 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28158 Object****Respondent: Mrs Janet Jacobs [8692]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28158 - 8692 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28163 Object****Respondent: Paul Springate [8931]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28163 - 8931 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28164 Object****Respondent: Mr Steven Jacobs [4408]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28164 - 4408 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28171 Object****Respondent: Mr Khodad Jahromi [8190]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28171 - 8190 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28176 Object****Respondent: Gulay Jahromi [8933]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28176 - 8933 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28181 Object****Respondent: Ms Mitra Jahromi [8934]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The local services and amenities do not support the existing population further increases will be unbearable for the residents

Change To Plan: Remove R25, R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28181 - 8934 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28185 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Jakobsson [8177]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 R26.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28185 - 8177 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28186 Object****Respondent: David Janes [8935]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28186 - 8935 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28195 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28195 - 5175 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28200 Object****Respondent: Mrs Catherine Jennings [8693]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Houses flooded in August 1987 will be at increased risk from the surface water run off from R25 and R26 - The Green, Church St and C11th Church, Blackmore Rd.

More extremes of weather are predicted due to Global Warming! About 50 more houses are already in the pipeline in or close to Blackmore - many on border with Epping Forest which Brentwood Borough Council only found out about after the original allocation to Blackmore! Infrastructure can't take these houses - waiting lists for school, Beavers, etc. Roads, sewage - frequent permitted discharges into The Moat when Swallows Cross Treatment Works can't cope! - surface water drainage also poor.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28200 - 8693 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28205 Object****Respondent: Dr. S.J. Jennings [1497]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Further to my comments in previous consultation it has become apparant that we are confronted with about 50 - 60 houses already in the pipeline - many of these on the border of Epping Forest but really part of Blackmore - putting even more strain on the inadequate infrastructure of Blackmore - roads, sewage, surface water, schooling, parking, medical services, etc.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28205 - 1497 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28210 Object****Respondent: Nicola Joiner [8936]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28210 - 8936 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28215 Object****Respondent: Aidan Jones [8937]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28215 - 8937 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28216 Object****Respondent: Chloe Jones [8938]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28216 - 8938 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28224 Object****Respondent: Diane Jones [8939]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28224 - 8939 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28230 Object****Respondent: Miss Heather Jones [8318]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28230 - 8318 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28235 Object****Respondent: Iris Jones [8495]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The council must ensure due diligence and process is followed and brownfield sites must be prioritised over green belt. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28235 - 8495 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28236 Object****Respondent: Mr Michael Jones [8690]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28236 - 8690 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28242 Object****Respondent: Ruth Jones [8485]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28242 - 8485 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28247 Object****Respondent: Ms Sophie Jones [8940]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28247 - 8940 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28251 Object****Respondent: Sylvia Stanley [8932]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28251 - 8932 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28256 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Staples [8526]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28256 - 8526 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28260 Object****Respondent: Mr Kevin Joyner [8375]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28260 - 8375 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28265 Object****Respondent: Brenda Juniper [8493]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28265 - 8493 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28270 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Staples [8527]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28270 - 8527 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28277 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ann Stenning [8546]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28277 - 8546 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28284 Object****Respondent: Mr Terence Stenning [8544]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28284 - 8544 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28289 Object****Respondent: Andrew Stevens [8942]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28289 - 8942 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28291 Object

Respondent: Mr Michael Juniper [8129]

Agent: N/A

Summary: I list below my objections to the two sites in Blackmore:

- 1) The village is in a hollow and any increase of hard surfaces will increase the possibility of flooding.
- 2) The village school is over-subscribed and the site quite small and the space for additional teaching area is limited.
- 3) The local GP surgery is not within the Parish and it is virtually at full capacity. I have been told that the residents of the Elms Development have been advised to use this surgery.
- 4) The public transport is limited and not full time
- 5) The current sewage system is at full capacity and the services also need upgrading
- 6) There is inadequate parking in the village, particularly at weekends with many visitors and cyclists
- 7) Both sites are Green Belt
- 8) The amount of traffic using Redrose Lane during construction will cause considerable disruption
- 9) There has been development close to the village in Epping Council area and further houses are being built, the occupiers will use the village facilities.

I have concern that there are at least five unoccupied houses in the Village which could be used and I would think there must be many more within Brentwood, are there any powers that the council has to acquire or lease these properties?

On reading through the draft LDP there is no mention of any proposals for Doddinghursts or Stondon Massey, are there no sites in these Parishes?

I notice that in the LDP that there is provision for Travellers Sites, does this mean that the unauthorised sites would be removed?

All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28291 - 8129 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28295 Object

**Respondent: Benjamin Stevens [8943]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:**No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 28295 - 8943 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28303 Object

**Respondent: Mr Craig Stevens [4958]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Greenbelt is greenbelt. It is a one time payoff and lost forever. The loss of habitat to the many flora and fauna it supports will be lost forever. The look of the village will be lost forever. There are elements of Blackmore that are steeped in Englands history and this should be preserved, nurtured and protected and not be spoiled by developer led housing when there are more suitable sites available.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. Blackmore has been incorrectly graded and placed in the wrong category. The proposal is unsound and also there has not been enough corroboration between Brentwood and Epping, who have already placed an burden on housing which is right on the Brentwood border and this will directly affect Blackmore.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:**No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 28303 - 4958 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28304 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Once you start building on green belt it opens the gates, the villages will have a tough time coping with more population.

All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28304 - 8944 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28305 Object****Respondent: Christopher Kilian [8944]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Regulations seem to be broken on a whim , and I can't help thinking this is all about lining someone's pocket.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28305 - 8944 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28311 Object****Respondent: Lynn Stevens [8945]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28311 - 8945 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28317 Object****Respondent: Sandra Stock [8946]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28317 - 8946 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28325 Object****Respondent: Lynne Stocks [8947]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28325 - 8947 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28326 Object****Respondent: Mrs Cynthia Kirby [8453]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28326 - 8453 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28335 Object****Respondent: Richard Stocks [8948]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28335 - 8948 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28340 Object****Respondent: Iain Stretton [8949]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28340 - 8949 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28345 Object****Respondent: Samantha Stretton [8950]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28345 - 8950 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28350 Object****Respondent: Jennifer Stucky [8951]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28350 - 8951 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28355 Object

**Respondent: Steve Stuckey [8952]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28355 - 8952 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28360 Object

**Respondent: Christine Swettenham [8953]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28360 - 8953 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28365 Object

Respondent: Mr Colin Tomey [8448]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Green Belt land should not be built on and should be kept for future generations to enjoy. Countryside needs protecting and Brown Belt land should be utilised to its fullest capacity before Green Belt is considered.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28365 - 8448 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28370 Object

**Respondent: Edward Davis [8954]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The use of green belt land for housing should only be considered when brown field land has been exhausted

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:** No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 28370 - 8954 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28375 Object

**Respondent: Miss Harriet Davis [8440]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The use of green belt land for housing should only be considered when brown field land has been exhausted

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:** No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 28375 - 8440 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28380 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dean [8434]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R6 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28380 - 8434 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28385 Object****Respondent: Sharon Decastro-Bunce [8955]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28385 - 8955 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28390 Object****Respondent: Allan Roy Dickinson [8956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. As already expressed the village facilities are fully stretched and any additional traffic from further development would increase the existing danger in the village centre.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28390 - 8956 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28395 Object

Respondent: Mr Louis Tregent [8924]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28395 - 8924 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28400 Object

Respondent: Mr Paul Tregent [8437]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28400 - 8437 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28406 Object

Respondent: Mrs Paula Tregent [8433]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28406 - 8433 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28410 Object****Respondent: Mrs Evelyn Dickinson [8777]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28410 - 8777 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28415 Object

Respondent: Mr Dennis Trumble [8418]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28415 - 8418 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28420 Object

Respondent: Mrs Kathleen Trumble [5029]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28420 - 5029 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28427 Object

Respondent: Cariss Tsui [8694]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28427 - 8694 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28432 Object

Respondent: Mrs Rita Tuffey [4620]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28432 - 4620 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28437 Object

Respondent: Mr Ian Tuffey [4621]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28437 - 4621 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28442 Object

Respondent: Mr Giovanni Vaccari [8957]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28442 - 8957 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28447 Object

Respondent: Mr Pete Vince [8123]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28447 - 8123 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28452 Object

Respondent: Mr Ronald Wakelin [8958]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28452 - 8958 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28457 Object

Respondent: Ms Natalie Walters [8959]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28457 - 8959 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28467 Object****Respondent: Ms Stephanie Kmiotek-Mutton [8961]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28467 - 8961 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28472 Object****Respondent: Harry Krajccek [8962]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28472 - 8962 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28477 Object****Respondent: Ms Madeline Krajicek [8963]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28477 - 8963 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28482 Object****Respondent: Stephen Krajicek [8964]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28482 - 8964 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28483 Object****Respondent: Mr John Laing [8501]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28483 - 8501 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28492 Object****Respondent: Mrs Margaret Laing [7046]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28492 - 7046 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28498 Object

Respondent: Mr John Warner [5018]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28498 - 5018 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28506 Object

Respondent: Mrs Linda Watkinson [4984]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28506 - 4984 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28507 Object****Respondent: Sarah Louise Lapena [8965]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28507 - 8965 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28508 Object****Respondent: Mr Graham Lawrenson [6958]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28508 - 6958 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28519 Object

Respondent: Ms Elizabeth Watson [8966]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28519 - 8966 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28520 Object****Respondent: Mrs Paula Lennon [8506]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Green belt land has been sacrosanct for decades. The reasons for this policy remain unchanged and should not be discarded lightly. Brown field sites should always be prioritised. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28520 - 8506 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28525 Object

Respondent: Mr Jon Watson [7112]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28525 - 7112 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28530 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Watson [8967]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28530 - 8967 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28537 Object****Respondent: Mr Thomas Lennon [747]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28537 - 747 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28540 Object

Respondent: Mr Eric John Webb [1830]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

No reduction - other than to ZERO - is appropriate for this Green Belt site with poor infrastructure. There is adequate opportunity on Brownfield Sites and sites with better infrastructure and lower flood risk.  
PLUS Other approved sites inside Brentwood or just across the border in Epping Forest should be taken into account and allow R26 to be withdrawn entirely

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28540 - 1830 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28547 Object

Respondent: Mrs Susan Webb [4919]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. I do not feel that a reduction in the numbers proposed for R25 and R26 is in any way appropriate - only completely removing both site from the LDP meets my approval.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28547 - 4919 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28550 Object****Respondent: Mr John Lester [4396]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28550 - 4396 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28557 Object****Respondent: Ms Michelle Lockton [8968]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28557 - 8968 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28560 Object

Respondent: Mrs Joan Westover [4635]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. 1) I appreciate that unused Brownfield sites can be used for housing sites. I OPPOSE any Green Belt land being built on in our village. 2) In view of the fact that Blackmore is a village there are already a number of developments underway or in the pipeline. A development on this scale, that is proposed, would undermine the character and identity of Blackmore as a 'village'

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28560 - 4635 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28565 Object****Respondent: Keith Lodge [8969]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28565 - 8969 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28570 Object

Respondent: Ms Maureen Wheeler [8970]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28570 - 8970 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28571 Object****Respondent: Graeme Logan [8971]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28571 - 8971 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28580 Object

Respondent: Mr Andy Wilkins [8972]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28580 - 8972 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28585 Object****Respondent: Mrs Kim Lucas [4711]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28585 - 4711 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28590 Object****Respondent: Mr Stuart Lucas [4956]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28590 - 4956 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28598 Object****Respondent: Sean Lucas [8973]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28598 - 8973 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28600 Object

Respondent: Mr Nicholas Wilkinson [8406]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28600 - 8406 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28605 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hayley Maclaurin [7097]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28605 - 7097 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28610 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Manning [8974]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28610 - 8974 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28619 Object

Respondent: Ms Christine Wilks [8975]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28619 - 8975 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28620 Object****Respondent: Duncan Maclaurin [8976]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Given the risk of flooding within Blackmore Village, no development should be undertaken which could add to the risk. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to: 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28620 - 8976 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28625 Object

Respondent: Mrs Edna Williams [4728]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree.

As stated in my response to the original survey the developments R25 and R26 have no proven need, are in an area with minimal transport links and in an area with an already overcrowded doctors and local school.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28625 - 4728 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28630 Object

Respondent: Ms Elaine Williams [8159]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28630 - 8159 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28635 Object

Respondent: Mrs Margaret Wiltshire [7141]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

X

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28635 - 7141 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28640 Object

Respondent: Mr John Wollaston [8183]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28640 - 8183 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28645 Object

Respondent: Mrs Marion Woolaston [8397]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28645 - 8397 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28650 Object

Respondent: Mr Kevin Wood [6965]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

There does not appear to have been any consideration in Brentwood Borough Council's plans for building on green belt land of the brown field development sites already in planning or that are available across the borough.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. The classification of Blackmore as a "larger village" in justification of the number of proposed dwellings is bizarre given that the population of the village is well under 1000.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28650 - 6965 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28655 Object

Respondent: Mrs Sandra Wood [8720]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). There does not appear to have been any consideration in Brentwood Borough Council's plans for building on green belt land of the brown field development sites already in planning or that are available across the borough.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

The classification of Blackmore as a "larger village" in justification of the number of proposed dwellings is bizarre given that the population of the village is well under 1000.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28655 - 8720 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28660 Object

Respondent: Mr Neal Woodford [8978]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Red Rose Farm is an eyesore, and the scheme proposed makes perfect sense

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28660 - 8978 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28665 Object

Respondent: Mr Matthew Woodward [8979]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28665 - 8979 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28670 Object

Respondent: Ms Ann Wright [8980]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28670 - 8980 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28675 Object

Respondent: Mrs Karen York [8748]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28675 - 8748 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28679 Object

Respondent: Ms Barbara Young [8981]

Agent: N/A

Summary: QQ - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. These houses need to be built where there are facilities & public transport, Blackmore has neither

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28679 - 8981 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28685 Object

Respondent: Charlie Pyke [8982]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28685 - 8982 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28690 Object

Respondent: Ms Hannah Pyke [8983]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28690 - 8983 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28695 Object

Respondent: Mr Harry Pyke [8984]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28695 - 8984 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28700 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Pyke [8985]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28700 - 8985 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28705 Object

Respondent: Ms Eve Pulford [8987]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28705 - 8987 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28710 Object

Respondent: Mr Daniel Pulford [8988]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28710 - 8988 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28715 Object****Respondent: Mr Brian Marchant [8569]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28715 - 8569 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28721 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jane Marr [6006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28721 - 6006 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28730 Object****Respondent: Surrell McGovern [8991]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28730 - 8991 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28734 Object****Respondent: Tom McLaren [8992]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The council is currently receiving a high volume of applications from developers looking to demolish existing homes or buildings on large plots. The implications of this are that the estimated development from windfall sites is being considerably underestimated and development within Blackmore is not necessary to meet the assessed housing need for Brentwood. The implications of this are that the potential development from these types of windfall sites is being considerably underestimated and development within Blackmore is not necessary to meet the assessed housing need for Brentwood.

All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28734 - 8992 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28739 Object****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Miers [8695]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Currently we have 70 or so new homes being built or under serious consideration in and around Blackmore, in addition to the 70 homes outlined in the LDP. No additional facilities are being added in the Parish, and none of the above has been mentioned in the LDP; and no improvements are proposed for our failing infrastructure. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28739 - 8695 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28743 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Miers [3959]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeyput Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28743 - 3959 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28749 Object****Respondent: Alex Mills [8993]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28749 - 8993 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28754 Object****Respondent: Mrs Diane Mills [8533]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 28754 - 8533 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28759 Object****Respondent: Greg Mills [8994]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28759 - 8994 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28764 Object

Respondent: Ms Karen Page [9000]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28764 - 9000 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28769 Object

Respondent: Ms Marquite Peacham [8999]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28769 - 8999 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28774 Object

Respondent: Ms Janice Plummer [8997]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28774 - 8997 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28779 Object

Respondent: Ms Judith Phillips [8615]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). There are far better sites with better infrastructure than Blackmore

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28779 - 8615 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28784 Object

Respondent: Mrs Jill Pritchard [4269]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28784 - 4269 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28789 Object

Respondent: Mrs Irene Power [8610]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28789 - 8610 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28794 Object

Respondent: Mr Stephen Poulton [8149]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28794 - 8149 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28799 Object

Respondent: Mrs Carol Poulton [8119]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28799 - 8119 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28804 Object

Respondent: Miss Natasha Playle [4291]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28804 - 4291 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28809 Object

Respondent: Ms Polyblank [8996]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28809 - 8996 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28814 Object

Respondent: Ms Gillian Pope [8995]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28814 - 8995 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28819 Object

Respondent: Lloyd Piper [8616]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. BBC selected Blackmore as it was developer led and so easy solution.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28819 - 8616 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28824 Object

Respondent: Mr Frederick Piper [8380]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28824 - 8380 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28832 Object

Respondent: Mrs Eileen Piper [8381]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Honeypot Lane should not have been removed before Blackmore they were in a better position to take these homes

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28832 - 8381 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28835 Object****Respondent: Mrs Patricia Dillon [8417]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28835 - 8417 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28839 Object

Respondent: Mr Douglas Piper [603]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28839 - 603 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28844 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Dimond [7055]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The alternatives to building on R26 green belt have not been fully considered.

Change To Plan: Reducing the proposed number of houses on the Blackmore green belt sites does not address the objections to the LDP regarding unjustifiable loss of green belt.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28844 - 7055 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28849 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ruth Dimond [4851]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. This site will be negatively impacted by the development on Red Rose Farm and the narrow lane access is completely unsuitable for the increased levels of traffic which will result in any additional development.

Change To Plan: Development in remote rural villages such as Blackmore will inevitably lead to increased road traffic because of the lack of jobs and infrastructure. More suitable sites with far better infrastructure are not being fully utilised. All proposed alterations to green belt boundaries should be fully evidenced and justified according to National Planning Policy and this has not happened, the choice of sites has been developer-lead. Alternatives to green belt development in the immediate vicinity of Blackmore village are being ignored by the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28849 - 4851 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28854 Object****Respondent: Mr Conrad Dixon [8688]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. R26 should be removed from the plan. There is already too much development for local infrastructure to cope with.

Change To Plan: The extra demand on infrastructure has not been adequately planned for or costed. To proceed on this basis would be reckless, given the risk of road traffic accidents and higher flood risk. There are more sound locations available for the proposed developments.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28854 - 8688 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28859 Object****Respondent: Mrs Jennifer Dodd [5498]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. I strongly believe that the BBC planners are not giving sufficient attention and consideration to the already over saturated services, school, health services, and parking within the village. Any population expansion will completely overwhelm these essential services. The planners do not appear to have any plans to take account of the damage to the infrastructure and the ability of the existing services to cope if the proposed plans are implemented.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28859 - 5498 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28868 Object****Respondent: Jack Mills [9001]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28868 - 9001 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28869 Object****Respondent: Mr Alan Dodd [4828]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. The proposed redevelopment of the brownfield site for 12 homes being smaller and more affordable houses is in my opinion more in keeping with the real needs of Blackmore village. I would be inclined to support this development subject to the other greenbelt sites being removed from the LDP.

Change To Plan: I am concerned by the development being undertaken by Epping Council on Fingrith Hall Lane that is a real threat to Blackmore local services. There does not appear to have been any published consultation between Brentwood planners and Epping DC and no evidence of working together planners that is a requirement in these circumstances. This should be rectified without further delay.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28869 - 4828 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28874 Object****Respondent: Carla Downton [9002]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28874 - 9002 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28880 Object****Respondent: Jane Mills [9003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28880 - 9003 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28885 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Downton [8432]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28885 - 8432 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28889 Object****Respondent: Mr Peter Mills [6982]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28889 - 6982 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28893 Object****Respondent: Christine Drew [9004]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28893 - 9004 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28902 Object****Respondent: Toby Mills [9005]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28902 - 9005 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28903 Object****Respondent: Anna Dunk [8426]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. It is immoral to build on green belt when brown fields are available.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No      Duty to Co-operate?: No      Sound?: No      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28903 - 8426 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28908 Object****Respondent: Dennis Mitchell [9006]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28908 - 9006 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28913 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorna Mitchell [8391]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28913 - 8391 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28918 Object****Respondent: Mr Sean Moore [8520]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Surely we should look at planing better for what we need throughout the country and investing in areas that need investment, regeneration in areas that can cope with all environmental issues not just about profit for house building companies and short term fixes. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28918 - 8520 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28923 Object****Respondent: Mrs Shui-Lin Moore [8521]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28923 - 8521 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28928 Object****Respondent: Anastasia Mootoosamy [9007]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28928 - 9007 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28933 Object****Respondent: John Moppett [9008]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: None      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28933 - 9008 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28938 Object****Respondent: Mr Bryan Moreton [8513]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28938 - 8513 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28943 Object****Respondent: Gloria Moreton [9009]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28943 - 9009 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28948 Object

**Respondent: Samantha Dunk [8438]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Just to reinforce the fact that the infrastructure in our tiny village is wholly inadequate to support building on the scale proposed on our beautiful Green Belt land. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28948 - 8438 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 28953 Object

**Respondent: Ms Christine Durdant-Pead [8117]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. How can anyone decide to build on Greenfield/Green Belt land when more than adequate brownfield is available with far more sustainable infrastructure. This decision has not been well thought through and this current situation allows for common sense to prevail and overturn the previous decision of R25 and R26. The redevelopment of Redrose Farm allows an increase in population that is just about manageable in this 'Small Village'. Local services are not large enough to cope with any additional proposals or increase in population to Blackmore.

**Change To Plan:** Had Blackmore been given the correct status in keeping with its size and facilities then this situation would never have got underway. Blackmore is not a 'Large Village' given it only has one local corner shop to provide for its current residents. Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28953 - 8117 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28958 Object****Respondent: Mr Gary Durdant-Pead [8326]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. This makes far greater sense to redevelop an existing site as opposed to removing greenfield land.

Change To Plan: As a new resident in Blackmore it is obvious that the Village cannot sustain the propped growth to the population by way of more housing. The Village is not a 'Large Village' and does not meet the criteria to be considered as such. Therefore the current LDP for Blackmore should be abandoned.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?:No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28958 - 8326 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28968 Object****Respondent: Kirsty Edwards [8450]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?:No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28968 - 8450 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28973 Object****Respondent: Ms Rebecca Edwards [8477]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28973 - 8477 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28978 Object****Respondent: J Ellis [9010]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28978 - 9010 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28983 Object****Respondent: Matthew Emerson [9011]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28983 - 9011 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**28987 Object****Respondent: Mrs Fleur Morgan [4848]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan. It will not add to the community of Blackmore as it cannot provide the infrastructure needed to meet the needs of the increase in population.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28987 - 4848 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28993 Object****Respondent: Mr Mark Morgan [4987]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28993 - 4987 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**28998 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Morgan [4505]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 28998 - 4505 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29003 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lesley Moss [7053]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29003 - 7053 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29008 Object****Respondent: Mr and Mrs Brian and Lesley Moss [2905]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29008 - 2905 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29013 Object****Respondent: Mrs Carol Moulder [4719]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29013 - 4719 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29015 Object****Respondent: Stuart Moulder [4713]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29015 - 4713 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29023 Object****Respondent: Mr Gerald Mountstevens [4911]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29023 - 4911 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29028 Object

Respondent: Mr Lewis Pincombe [8745]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29028 - 8745 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29035 Object****Respondent: Patricia Mountstevens [9012]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29035 - 9012 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29040 Object****Respondent: Mrs Vicky Mumby [8378]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29040 - 8378 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29042 Object

Respondent: Mrs Janet Pincombe [8614]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29042 - 8614 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29048 Object

Respondent: Mrs Lindsey Pavitt [8746]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29048 - 8746 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29051 Object****Respondent: Dr Murray Wood [7003]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29051 - 7003 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29057 Object

Respondent: Mr Anthony Pavitt [8747]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29057 - 8747 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29062 Object

Respondent: Ms Sylvia Pascoe [7953]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29062 - 7953 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29067 Object****Respondent: Mr John and Maureen Murrell [6846]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29067 - 6846 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29072 Object

Respondent: Mr Tony Parris [9013]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. These are only in LDP as developers have come forward, they will make a lot of money if the plan goes ahead but the houses are being built in the wrong area and do not satisfy local needs

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29072 - 9013 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29077 Object

Respondent: Ms Janet Parris [8315]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29077 - 8315 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29082 Object

Respondent: Ms Sheena Parish [9014]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Green Belt should be sacrosanct

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29082 - 9014 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29087 Object

Respondent: Mrs Beth Pardoe [8613]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

To reinforce the comments regarding infrastructure, this village has totally inadequate resources to support the proposed developments on sites R25 and R26.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29087 - 8613 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29092 Object

Respondent: Mr Albert Pardoe [8002]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.  
A - Strongly agree.

One of my major concerns is the increase to traffic in the village on current conditions. To add development would mean it would be totally dangerous because someone is likely to be killed soon. To cross a road in Blackmore village you take your life in own hands. I am fit and well and I can't imagine what it would be like for older people. RedRose Lane is becoming a major through road for traffic. I can't imagine what it would be like with this planned development.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29092 - 8002 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29097 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew Pallet [1313]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Blackmore is very community driven allowing Developer (and profit) led expansion would be extremely detrimental to that community when there are other sites that should and could be developed

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree. Green Belt and its communities (wherever they are) should be protected for future generations and not used just to satisfy government targets (whichever the government is).

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29097 - 1313 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29102 Object****Respondent: Miss Emily Dimond [7227]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: I believe the more suitable brownfield locations have not been fully considered before planning building on Blackmore's Greenfield sites (R25 & R26). As recommendation under the National Planning Policy all other alternatives should be fully considered before greenbelt development is authorised. I therefore wholly OBJECT to the inclusion of these sites within the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?:No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29102 - 7227 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29107 Object****Respondent: Callie Emmett [9019]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?:No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29107 - 9019 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29114 Object

Respondent: Mr Peter Owen [9016]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). Blackmore has a history dating back to Henry VIII to destroy it's unique character when these 50 houses could easily be accomodated at other sites would be a bad decision by BBC, who need to think again even if it means starting the LDP from scratch.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29114 - 9016 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29118 Object

**Respondent: MR David Emmett [8445]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:** No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 29118 - 8445 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29126 Object

**Respondent: Mr Jack Emmett [8372]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:** No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 29126 - 8372 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29131 Object****Respondent: Ms Jennifer Emmett [4896]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29131 - 4896 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29132 Object

Respondent: Ms Amanda Owen [9017]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29132 - 9017 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29137 Object

Respondent: Mr Scott Osborne [8456]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

This proposed development has not been given any consideration as to the impact on the local community and infrastructure. The village could not cope with the increased population and traffic that such a development would bring to a village already struggling to keep up with that demands of current residents.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29137 - 8456 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29138 Object****Respondent: Mr Joe Emmett [8436]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29138 - 8436 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29147 Object

Respondent: Mrs Faye Osborne [8458]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29147 - 8458 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29152 Object

Respondent: Mr John Orbell [4805]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29152 - 4805 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29159 Object

Respondent: Mrs Gemma Olley [8462]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29159 - 8462 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29164 Object****Respondent: Ann Eustace [9020]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29164 - 9020 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29167 Object

Respondent: Mr David Olley [8461]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29167 - 8461 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29174 Object****Respondent: Kathleen Evans [9021]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29174 - 9021 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29179 Object

Respondent: Mr Neil O'Riordan [8630]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29179 - 8630 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29182 Object

**Respondent: Pat Fahy [9022]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29182 - 9022 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29187 Object

**Respondent: Pat Fearnley [9024]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29187 - 9024 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29192 Object

Respondent: Mr Brett O'Hara [9023]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29192 - 9023 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29197 Object

Respondent: Mr Andrew O'Hara [9025]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29197 - 9025 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29203 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29203 - 5892 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29207 Object

Respondent: Ms Suzanne O'Hara [9026]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29207 - 9026 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29212 Object

Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29212 - 9027 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29214 Object

Respondent: Ms Veronica O'Brien [9027]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29214 - 9027 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29222 Object****Respondent: Mrs Susie Finlay [5892]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29222 - 5892 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29229 Object

Respondent: Ms Tracey O'Brien [9028]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29229 - 9028 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29233 Object****Respondent: Mr Andrew Finlay [8191]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29233 - 8191 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29237 Object

Respondent: Ms Jill Griffiths [5024]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29237 - 5024 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29244 Object

Respondent: Mr Graham Gregory [9029]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29244 - 9029 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29247 Object****Respondent: Mrs Ceri Fisher [8459]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. With all the environmental considerations in the World at the moment, doesn't it make sense to build on sites that have previously been environmentally damaged before damaging any new land.

Change To Plan: The process that has been followed seems flawed. 1. The parish comments were not taken into consideration at the hearing when the decision was made by BBC because they ran out of time and the parish representations were not heard. 2. I do not believe that the local villagers concerns have been listened to or addressed, hence the strong feelings that have caused the formation of BVHA and so many responses for the size of our community. 3. There are other sites more suitable that have not been considered, eg. Stondon Massey Parish have welcomed opportunities for more housing to regenerate their village. 4. The broader development picture has not been looked at, the development plans of Epping Borough council and the already agreed building that is going on. 5. A proper impact study has not been completed looking at whether the village can cope with this level of development, looking at the whole picture of recent housing expansion not just the LDP.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29247 - 8459 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29252 Object

Respondent: Mrs Anne Gregory [4305]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29252 - 4305 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29258 Object

Respondent: Ms Doreen Greenshields [8460]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29258 - 8460 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29262 Object****Respondent: Mr Richard Fisher [8480]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. As mentioned before. The LDP has not been properly considered, there are plans afoot already that potentially already deliver the required number of houses. Secondly, proper consultation has not happened as at the sign off meeting when the borough council signed the LDP off, the parish council were unable to comment or their preparations considered due to a guillotine. Other considerations not taken into account. Flood concerns, see press over past 5 years and the impact on a rural historical village with the proposed level of expansion along with the expansion that is happening but is not in the plan. Also the wider lack of planning whereby the Epping borough housing plans run alongside the edge of Blackmore, with the Brentwood plans, making Blackmore subject to 2 local plans!

Change To Plan: Technically the LDP has been poorly executed and poorly considered. Lack of joined up consultation with the neighbouring borough, not allowing local parish representations to be heard, not considering the overwhelming response of the villages that live here. We don't object to building, but use the brown field sites and common sense please.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29262 - 8480 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29266 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Fletcher [8470]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No                      Duty to Co-operate?: No                      Sound?: No                      Tests: i, ii, iii, iv                      Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29266 - 8470 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29271 Object

**Respondent: Paul Fletcher [9030]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Building on greenbelt would downgrade its designation leading to potentially further development on greenbelt land. If houses are built on sites R25 and R26 what plans would prevent further development of greenbelt land around Blackmore and throughout the Borough of Brentwood?

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29271 - 9030 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29276 Object

**Respondent: Mr Colin Foreman [4394]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29276 - 4394 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29281 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lucille Foreman [8574]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29281 - 8574 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29286 Object****Respondent: Sally French [9031]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29286 - 9031 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29291 Object****Respondent: Mr Lee Fullick [8467]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29291 - 8467 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29296 Object****Respondent: Mrs Michelle Fullick [8464]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29296 - 8464 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29301 Object

**Respondent: Daniel Furnell [9032]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29301 - 9032 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29306 Object

**Respondent: Mrs Grace Furnell [8182]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29306 - 8182 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29311 Object

**Respondent: Mr Ricky Gardner [7282]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. Green belt must remain a last resort to be used for building of any sort.

Otherwise the whole of our countryside will be in jeopardy.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:**No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 29311 - 7282 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29316 Object

**Respondent: Mr Ian Garrett [4947]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeygot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** No

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:**No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 29316 - 4947 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29321 Object****Respondent: Mrs Lorrain Murrell [8519]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29321 - 8519 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29326 Object****Respondent: Mrs Maureen Murrell [8560]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 &amp; R26

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29326 - 8560 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29331 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Murrell [8517]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29331 - 8517 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29336 Object****Respondent: Mr Colin Newcombe [8598]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29336 - 8598 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29341 Object****Respondent: Mrs Hazel Newcombe [8597]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29341 - 8597 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29346 Object****Respondent: Mr Stephen Newton [8601]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29346 - 8601 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29352 Object****Respondent: Mrs Tina Newton [8600]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29352 - 8600 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29357 Object****Respondent: Mrs Karen Geary [8483]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29357 - 8483 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29367 Object****Respondent: Beverley Gibson [9034]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP. I moved to Blackmore as it was in the green belt and had a great village community, this much additional housing will ruin this as happened in Doddinghurst

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29367 - 9034 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29373 Object

Respondent: Mrs Doreen Gray [9033]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29373 - 9033 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29375 Object****Respondent: Mr Christopher Gill [8492]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29375 - 8492 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29376 Object****Respondent: Doddinghurst Infant School (Ms. Ingrid Nicholson) [4339]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: With other sites either in Brownfield locations or sites with existing Infrastructure it is ridiculous to propose the development at Blackmore  
All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29376 - 4339 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29381 Object****Respondent: Mrs Joanne Gill [4758]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29381 - 4758 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29384 Object

Respondent: Mr Brian Gordon [9035]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29384 - 9035 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**29391 Object****Respondent: Mr John Ginivan [8476]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29391 - 8476 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

**29397 Object****Respondent: Mr Bruno Giordan [8104]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: i, ii, iii, iv

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29397 - 8104 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29406 Object

Respondent: Mr David Goodall [9036]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm). We need to protect the area. It is a lovely village and we want the village kept.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: O - 29406 - 9036 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29407 Object

**Respondent: Mr Anthony Nicholson [4709]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** It is about time that the Council accepts that unless the service provisions are put in place prior to any building ie adequate schooling, medical provision and transport links then Blackmore is clearly unsuitable for a major housing development as proposed.

All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** Not Specified

**Duty to Co-operate?:** Not Specified

**Sound?:** Not Specified

**Tests:** None

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 29407 - 4709 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29411 Object

**Respondent: Mrs M.H. Giordan [1540]**

**Agent: N/A**

**Summary:** All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

**Change To Plan:** Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

**Legally Compliant?:** Not Specified

**Duty to Co-operate?:** No

**Sound?:** No

**Tests:** i, ii, iii, iv

**Examination:** No

**Full Reference:** O - 29411 - 1540 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv

## 29416 Object

Respondent: Valerie Godbee [4943]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29416 - 4943 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29421 Object

Respondent: Mr Keith Godbee [4942]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29421 - 4942 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29426 Object

Respondent: Mrs Niyazi [9039]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29426 - 9039 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29431 Object

Respondent: Ms Viola Sherwin [9040]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29431 - 9040 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 29436 Object

**Respondent: Mr Stephen Slaughter [9041]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: None

Examination: No

Full Reference: O - 29436 - 9041 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26518 Support

**Respondent: Cllr Chris Hossack [1974]**

**Agent: N/A**

Summary: I support the reduction. The width of the ancient lanes accessing this site make it evident that the existing infrastructure cannot support additional and excessive vehicle movement that would be generated as a consequence.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26518 - 1974 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26523 Support****Respondent: Mr John Darragh [4862]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: provided includes affordable housing

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26523 - 4862 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26541 Support****Respondent: Chelmsford City Council (Ms Gemma Nicholson) [8305]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: For relevance of Chelmsford, Policy R25 and R26, located in Blackmore have a reduction in the number of dwellings for these site allocations. From 40 to 30 homes for R25, and 30 to 20 homes for R26. The capacity of Policy R01 (Dunton Hills Garden Village Strategic Allocation) has increased from 2,700 to 2,770 to take account of the reduction in numbers from the sites identified. CCC continues to support BBC's proposed approach to housing and employment allocations which are unlikely to have any obvious adverse cross-boundary impacts on Chelmsford.

BBC continues to meet its own housing need within its administrative boundaries and has not approached neighbouring authorities under the Duty to Co-operate to request other authorities help accommodate any unmet needs. This is supported by CCC.

Change To Plan: No change proposed

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26541 - 8305 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26656 Support****Respondent: Anglian Water (Mr Stewart Patience) [6824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: We note that it is proposed to decrease the amount of housing on this allocation site to address comments made as part of the previous consultation. As an infrastructure provider we closely monitor housing growth in our region to align our planned investment with additional demand for water recycling infrastructure. Therefore we have no comments to make relating to the focused change to Policy R26.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26656 - 6824 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26676 Support****Respondent: Mrs. Susan Kennard [8810]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: Such a small reduction in the planned number of houses will make little difference when it comes to infrastructure etc. bearing in mind the already proposed developments off of Fingrith Hall Lane, Red Rose Lane and Spriggs Lane.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26676 - 8810 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26698 Support****Respondent: Mr Mr J Nicholls and Mr A Biglin (Land owners) [8368]****Agent: Sworders (Mrs Rachel Bryan) [5481]**

Summary: We support the following changes to the Pre-Submission Local Plan:

- \* Policy R18 (Land off Crescent Drive, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 55" to "around 35 homes".
- \* Policy R19 (Land at Priests Lane, Shenfield): Reduction from "around 75" to "around 45 homes".
- \* Policy R25 (Land north of Woollard Way, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 40" to around "30 homes".
- \* Policy R26 (Land north of Orchard Piece, Blackmore): Reduction from "around 30" to "around 20 homes".

We support the reduction in housing numbers at the allocation sites in Shenfield and Blackmore, as this is justified by the evidence base.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified      Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified      Sound?: Not Specified      Tests: N/A      Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26698 - 8368 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26772 Support****Respondent: Mr Michael Jefferyes [5175]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: I support this reduction - but it does not go far enough. This housing will overburden the village infrastructure and destroy green belt which is already under encroachment with other developments in progress. This construction will also have an adverse impact on rainwater soakaway, increasing the existing risk of flooding.

Change To Plan:

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: Not Specified

Full Reference: S - 26772 - 5175 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

**26785 Support****Respondent: Historic England (Andrew Marsh) [8824]****Agent: N/A**

Summary: The development of this site has the potential to harm the significance of a number of designated heritage assets including the Grade II listed The Woodbines and Horselocks Cottage, and the Blackmore Conservation Area by eroding their setting. We recommend that Policy R26 includes a criterion to help secure a high quality development which respects the setting of the nearby listed buildings and conservation area.

Change To Plan: Policy R26 should refer to the sites' sensitive edge of settlement location, and the need for high quality design which will relate to both the rural surroundings to the north and to the historic settlement adjoining the site to the south. Careful master planning will be required to ensure the scale and density of the development is appropriate for the location. The cumulative impacts of the development of this site and that of R25 must be taken into account in order to ensure the setting of these listed buildings and conservation area is not compromised. Development of this site will need to conserve and, where opportunities arise, enhance these heritage assets and their settings. The development should be of high quality design. These requirements should be included in any site specific policy and supporting text of the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26785 - 8824 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 26928 Support

Respondent: Mr Authur Austin [8838]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26) To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4 (above). All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 26928 - 8838 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28462 Support

Respondent: Mr Richard Ward [8960]

Agent: N/A

Summary: Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5: PART A POLICY R26 - Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore. Greenfield and Green Belt. (37% of total responses, March 2019 - ie grand total 73% across R25 and R26 )To be read in conjunction with Focussed Change 4. All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety.

A - I agree - Green Belt land should not be built upon and Brownfield should be prioritised (eg Redrose Farm).

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART B The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed.

A - I agree - these sites are developer led and should be removed from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART C At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish).

A - I agree - There has been inadequate consultation and sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 4 - PART D Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure.

A - I agree - Sites R25 and R26 should be removed completely from the LDP.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART B R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01.

A - I agree - the Honeypot Lane site should be reinstated.

Q - FOCUSSED CHANGE 5 - PART C SOUNDNESS AND HOUSING NEED: In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed.

A - I agree - the allocation in Blackmore is disproportionate and unsound.

Q - CONCLUSION: Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

A - Strongly agree.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from plan

Legally Compliant?: Not Specified

Duty to Co-operate?: Not Specified

Sound?: Not Specified

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 28462 - 8960 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - None

## 28963 Support

Respondent: Mr John Eaton [8124]

Agent: N/A

Summary: All comments apply to both sites. Redrose Farm is a Brownfield redevelopment opportunity (opposite R26) for 12 homes, and it will deliver part of our own Village plan as opposed to digging up Green Belt land. It should therefore replace R26 in its entirety. The sites proposed are developer led and still have not been properly assessed against local housing needs. These sites should be removed. At the time of the Addendum, a large number of developments (not included within the LDP) are in various stages of progress. These will further degrade the infrastructure of Blackmore. In particular, there has been inadequate consultation and strategic planning between Brentwood and Epping Forest Councils, with EFDC considering/consenting to; 30 houses are currently under construction in Fingrith Hall Lane (1km from the Village) An additional 5 houses are going through planning in Fingrith Hall Lane There are other EFDC 'infill sites' in Nine Ashes (1km away) Within metres of the village there will be at least 10 large dwellings at Ashlings Farm (the entrance development within Blackmore Parish). Also within Brentwood running through the normal planning process is: Redrose Farm (12 dwellings) on a Brownfield site (see R26 comments) 5 starter units in Spriggs Lane - Approved; PP being sought/appealed in Spriggs Lane/Chelmsford Road, (9 dwellings) and any number of other Greenfield sites/opportunistic PPs sought by farmers and land owners. R25 and R26 should be completely removed from the LDP, as the pre-existing and future normal infill (and windfall) in the Blackmore area means this Village has more than shouldered the appropriate housing burden, which will already overwhelm our very limited resources and wholly inadequate infrastructure. R26 is also Greenfield/Green Belt, and development is undesirable in the context of better/alternative sites, both within the Village/Parish and the wider Brentwood Council area. A site that was in the LDP (from Jan 2015 - November 2018, when it was withdrawn) is Honeypot Lane (Ref was 022). Identified as 'Green Belt land - edge of Brentwood Urban Area' - an eminently better near town centre site surrounded by existing housing and would provide c200 units. It should be reinstated as this would allow R18, R19, R25 and R26 to be completely removed whilst not adding to the burden on R01. In the Addendum, sites R25 and R26 (c50 dwellings) equate to 49% of Green Belt release in 'larger villages'. Brentwood and Shenfield urban areas are identified as having the highest housing need, yet two sites (R18 and R19) have now had their allocations reduced. Blackmore remains classified as a 'Category 3' settlement ('larger village'). Our population numbers are much lower than many other villages in this category - which have sufficient infrastructure and resources that Blackmore lacks. Blackmore is Green Belt and there is no identified need for additional housing on the scale proposed. Taking all the above factors into account, I am opposed to building on the Green Belt, and that sites R25 and R26 should be withdrawn from the LDP.

Change To Plan: Remove R25 and R26 from the Plan.

Legally Compliant?: No

Duty to Co-operate?: No

Sound?: No

Tests: N/A

Examination: No

Full Reference: S - 28963 - 8124 - Policy R26: Land North of Orchard Piece, Blackmore (page 300) - i, ii, iii, iv