UKSPF Scoring Process

Mandatory (UKSPF and Brentwood Borough Council (BBC))

Response	Action	Notes
	If no, reject	
		If no, reject







Mandatory section must be met to progress to scoring. If this section is not met the project will be marked as reject and inform.

Project Evaluation and Local Insight

		Weighting			
Technical	Score 1-2	mulitplier	Notes	Total	Scoring Criteria
Outputs selected (from section above)	0	1		(see above
Outcomes selected (from section above)	0	1		(see above
	Score 0-4			•	
Does the project show an understanding of the UKSPF outcomes, outputs and evidence required to meet delivery and payment?		2		(0= no mention within the project outline 1= UKSPF outputs/comes + evidence mentioned but not integrated into project 2= limited integration in project with no path for delivery 3= incorporated in project but not fully aligned to delivery and admin 4= fully integrated and aligned with project delivery and admin capacity
Outputs - Value for Money NOT SCORED - for info only					a simple cost based assessment - Total grant request/total number of outputs per intervention (Note all outputs requiring % contributions counted as 1) To be considered as part of local insight: outputs and outcomes question
Outcomes - Value for Money NOT SCORED - for Info only					A simple cost based assessment - Total grant request/total number of outcomes per intervention (Note all outcomes requiring % contributions counted as 1) To be considered as part of local insight: outputs and outcomes question
Has the project identified key risks and mitigation actions?		1		(0= risk and mitigation not identified 1= at least one risk noted but no mitigation offered 2= several risks identified with very minimal mitigation 3= several risks clearly identified with mitigation 4= both delivery and finance risks identified and mitigated
How will the organisation support equality and diversity approaches and principles when delivering the project?		1		(0= no evidence provided 1= limited details eg single sentance general statement 2= provides E&D statement relating to own company approach or participants 3= has considered E&D in terms of company and participants, including actively engaging with those representing protected characteristics 4= has a considered E&D in all aspect of the project delivery (own company, participant pengagement and participant awareness)
Are sustainability, including reduced environmental and carbon impacts embedded in the project outline?		1			0= no evidence provided 1= generic climate change or carbon emissions statement unrelated to the project 2= have considered carbon emissions reductions in relation to their own organisation 3= have looked at how to reduce carbon emissions in relation to the project eg home working 4= have embedded carbon emissions reduction and communication in the project structure

Score 1-2 Scoring Criteria

1 = single output
2 = muliple outputs

1 = single outcome selected
2 = muliple outcome selected

			0= budget does not provide details of spend - not able to assess against delivery 1= budget offers detail of spend but does not fit timing of the programme
			2= budget offers detail and spend fits programme timing
			3= budget offers details, fits programme timing, and scale needed to deliver
Does the budget align with delivery aims		1	0 4= budget is clearly aligned with project delivery across all aspects
			This question is not scored but it is important that if unsecured match is required to deliver
			the project that it must be referenced in risk mitigation and may require further clarification
If match funding is being provided as part of project funding			prior to any award.
			This question is not scored but if not answered correctly it will be a requirement of grant
Procurement processes are in place			approval to resubmit this information.
Local Insight	Score 0-4	Weighting mulitplier	Scoring Criteria
			0= project idea is unclear and delivery information does not provide enough detail
			1= the project outline offers a reasonable indication of work but poor information on delivery
Has the project clearly outlined the nature of the project,			2= project idea is clear but delivery not fully thought through - some aspects missed
identified how it will deliver the project and that it has the			3= the project idea is clear, the delivery approach is reasonable
capacity to do this?		3	0 4= the project is well thought out, clearly explained and appears deliverable
			0= no evidence of this
			1= limited information provided - low level of integration between budget, timeline and
			delivery
			2= information provided indicates some integration between different elements of the
			project but limited planning
Do you feel the organisation has demonstrated it will be			3= necessary staff and budget lines and proposed spend timings suggest likely to achieve
able to deliver the project within the timescales and utlise all			4= offers evidence of track record in delivering, has necessary staff and budget lines, timings
funding?		3	0 suggest delivery planned and achievable
			0= no information provided
			1= identified priorities but not aligned with project
Does the project meet the local priorities set out in the			2= identified at least one relevant priority but not linked to project delivery 3= priority identified, relevant and included in project delivery plan
funding call?		3	0 4= meet multiple priorities which are fully integrated into project delivery
iuliulig call:		3	0 4- meet multiple phonties which are fully integrated into project delivery
			0= no information on local need or want
			1= general information but lacks detail
			2= more specific place/community based information but no attribution
			3= some evidence of local engagement and specific place based need
Is the understanding of local need and want demonstrated?		3	0 4= good evidence of local engagement to set out clear needs and wants
			0= no information provided
			1= limited legacy
			2= ongoing legacy and an indication that they will work on a plan
Is the project demonstrating longer term sustainability and			3= ongoing legacy and applying for further grant funding to continue delivery
legacy?			0 4= project is sustainable with no requirement of additional funding
Max technical Score	28	% Scored 0.00% Total technical score	
Max insight Score	52		
Max score	80		
1			